Keep in mind that all the changes were required to have "the approval of the people". Page 340 and 341 did NOT have the people's approval!

The Citizen's Advisory Committee was considered to be the "voice of the people," a misnomer!!

Here are some points for you to know about Page 340:

#1 At the time this was first uncovered by an alert citizen who dug into Reagan's "Master Set", it was planned that public officials would go after hand guns first which was pretty bold for the '70's! Long guns were not written into the directive. Nevertheless, ALL guns were scheduled to be outlawed.

#2 Laws had been written (but did not get passed) to permit hunting only if you checked a gun out at the Club Master's and returned it at the end of your hunt.

#3 Relate this to the little blue book from the State Department called "FREEDOM FROM WAR" (some pages back from here). The plan is total disarmament!

#4 The anti-gun legislators did not make the goal of 1983 (see opposite page) and the date obviously had to be moved up.

#5 Page 340 is still viable! You will find current bills and actions on the next few pages that prove that the direction of current day legislation is complying with the directives on Page 340.

UNLESS THIS CORRECTION IS MADE, THE PEOPLE ARE SET UP FOR A COMPLETE WIPE-OUT OF THEIR SECOND AMENDMENT.
IT IS OBVIOUS THAT PAGE 340 TAKEN FROM GOV. RONALD REAGAN’S MASTER SET OF CALIFORNIA FEDERAL STANDARDS AND GOALS STILL CONTROLS THE PUBLIC OFFICIALS OF TODAY UNDER A FEDERAL-STATE ALLIANCE. CHECK THESE ATTACHMENTS.
LOOK ON THE OPPOSITE PAGE

If you will look on the opposite page you will see the secret summary exactly as it looked when it was first discovered, ready to be passed as ‘law’ in the governor’s Master Set for acceptance in the State of California.

Where was this found?
It was found next to the last page in the governor’s Master Set, which was ready for passage. It was numbered as being the 340th page of so-called ‘approved’ Citizen Committee work. From then on this 340th page became accepted as an official Directive.

Who put it together? It was compiled as a summary of Chapter Nine of “A National Strategy to Reduce Crime” — the book used by a federal group called the National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals. The N.A.C.C.J.S.G. sneaked this summary to the governors of various states. In turn, the governors sneaked it past the required approval of 17 Citizen Committees. These citizens were selected to give approval of what was in the governor’s Master Set before it could be accepted as lawful, but the summary on the 340th page never met the absolute requirement of having obtained approval of the 17 Citizen Committees representing the interests of the people. Held quietly within the vest of the governor, this secret summary became the official authority for disarming the people: a fraudulent act!

Why didn’t the people object? The people did not know this was going on! The few who found out about the 340th page were blocked! The newspapers would not expose the fraud. The Citizens Committees responsible for submitting approval of this summary admittedly “had never studied the gun issue.” The governor, and public officials who assisted the governor, lied about knowing of the existence of the summary. They claimed they never knew about it; yet, they made no effort to investigate it when it was called to their attention. They never put out an order against its adoption! Time has proven that they did adopt it; therefore, lied about it, violated the requirement mandating the approval of the people, and are guilty of fraud! The end result in opening up this issue will be that anti-gun laws shall be declared null and void.
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The Federal Fabian Socialists produced it, and the State Fabian Socialists are enacting it...

Handguns In American Society

RECOMMENDATIONS

Enforcement of Current Laws

The Commission recommends that existing Federal, State, and local laws relating to handguns be strenuously enforced. It further recommends that states undertake publicity campaigns to educate the public fully about laws regulating the private possession of handguns.

Penalties for Crimes Committed With a Handgun

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for an extended prison term with a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

Stop-and-Frisk Searches

The Commission urges the requirement of State legislation providing for police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.

Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or Federal or State governments for military purposes.

Establishing a State Gun Control Agency

The commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and funding a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorized to regulate and modify handguns to be retained by private citizens as curios, museum pieces, or collector's items.

Prohibiting the Private Possession Of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation not later than January 1, 1983, prohibiting the private possession of handguns after that date.

...not because of crime! It is really being done to comply with the law calling for complete disarmament of the nation! Public Law 87-297
Even though it is against the law for any government entity in the United States to order the surrender of all privately owned handguns, the Small Arms Treaty, is moving ever closer for Barack Obama's signature. If Obama signs this treaty, you will be in for some rough times.

The right of the people of the United States to keep and bear arms is indisputable! Everyone knows there is no liberty wherever there are no arms available to the people. Yet, efforts by treasonous public officials continue to manipulate public thinking so that the Small Arms Treaty can be enforced when signed by the president! It will lead the way for the government to ban every privately owned handgun because their unlawful groundwork has been laid.

The groundwork to reverse the essential right listed in the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights was secretly engineered by the anti-gun federal administration in the mid-1970's. A commission called the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration was created. First, it was Ronald Reagan as California's governor. Later in 1975 Jerry Brown followed as California's governor. When this secret unauthorized page was discovered, (shown on the right), neither governor made an effort to remove it when found in the “Master Set” of work the Citizen Advisory Committees had finished. In other words, this page was sneaked into the previously approved work done by the various Committees, a misdeed totally unknown to the Committees. This page never received their approval, a requirement for acceptance of the Master Set. These CAC. groups represented the ‘voice of all the people’ of the state. This procedure was followed in every state, but the counterfeit and falsification of the “people’s permission for their handguns to be outlawed” was not known by anyone but selected persons such as those on the state or federal level. Despite the fact that alert California citizens made the effort for both governors to remove this counterfeit page from the Master Set of California State Standards and Goals, removal of this un-authored, and un-approved, counterfeited page, never happened! Neither governor would call for its removal. Its contents and false permission still apply!

This “permission” to disarm the people was just as counterfeit then as it is still counterfeit today! It is the reason state and federal officials consider themselves able to write anti-gun laws, and to sign treaties that are destined to disarm all American citizens. A planned “National Gun Registry” and a Surrender Agency will result from the people to have their arms prohibited nor to completely disarm them. Because the anti-gun public officials needed the people’s permission to over-ride the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights in order to move into the “General & Complete Disarmament Treaties” – such treachery was enacted. This information should be told!

California citizens were fortunate in discovering what had happened in their state capitol. It also explains the reason why Jerry Brown has returned after so long a time to once again be the governor of California. This 340th page will also assist to make John F. Kennedy’s Public Law 87-297 “acceptable”, since it, too, calls for the elimination of armaments of all kinds! Remember in Marbury v. Madison the court ruled: “All laws which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void.” In Norton v. Shelby County the court ruled: “An unconstitutional act is not law; it confers no rights; it imposes no duties; affords no protection; it creates no office; it is in legal contemplation, as though it had never been passed.” In Murdock v. Pennsylvania the court ruled: “No State can convert a right into a privilege.” In Shuttlesworth v. Birmingham, Alabama the court ruled: “A citizen cannot be punished for exercising a right.”
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Handguns in American Society

RECOMMENDATIONS

Enforcement of Current Laws

The Commission recommends that existing Federal, State, and local laws relating to handguns be strenuously enforced. It further recommends that States undertake publicity campaigns to educate the public fully about laws regulating the private possession of handguns.

Penalties for Crimes Committed with a Handgun

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for an extended prison term with a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

Stop-and-Frisk Searches

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation providing for police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or Federal or State governments for military purposes.

Establishing a State Gun Control Agency

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and funding a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorized to register and modify handguns to be retained by private citizens as curios, museum pieces, or collector's items.

Prohibiting the Private Possession of Handguns

The Commission further urges the enactment of State legislation not later than January 1, 1983, prohibiting the private possession of handguns after that date.

Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.
A REPORT ON THE SECRET DIRECTIVE
CAUSING THE GUN GRAB

Here’s The Reason Why All Guns Are Going To Be Prohibited!

By Bernadine Smith
March 3, 2005

The purpose of this Report is to provide reliable evidence of a powerful secret Directive that is the cause behind the gun grab. It is the unlawful, underhanded, and unfair basis for all the anti-gun bills written in the last thirty-seven years, but it has been kept secret, and hidden from the general public by both the federal and state levels of government for all these years. This harmful Directive applies nationwide, and concerns every state, as you will see, as you view Chapter 9 of the federal book entitled: "A National Strategy to Reduce Crime."

A copy of the complete text of Chapter 9 is included in this Report as evidence of federal and state collusion, and their intent to totally disarm all Americans. Chapter 9 was boiled down and its contents were made into a summary. This summary, which also became a state Directive, was the result of the work of a federal Commission of which Senators Richard Lugar and Arlen Specter were members. The name of this Commission to which they belonged was called "The National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals". You will see the Commission’s name on their various books.

The summary consisted of one page, and it was somehow covertly added to the special Master Set being used by the nation’s lead state governor. It was entered in the Master Set as its 340th page (next to the last page in the Master Set), and ever since has become the (supposed) official authorized Directive responsible for the barrage of anti-gun state legislation. The lead governor, who was in charge of the pilot state, and the Master Set, during this deception, was Ronald Reagan of California. The 340th page of his Master Set sheltered an unlawful Directive. It had been entered, hidden there, and was received as “lawful” without the required approval of the citizens. It was mandatory! Later, a patriotic whistle blower was startled to come upon the Directive, hidden as the 340th page within Reagan’s Master Set!

1 Reference: The Blocking of a Charlatan.
PROOF OF THE PUDDING IS IN THE EATING

Page 340 is still the ‘phantom behind the scene’.

Check over the following seven pages. Look at the dates on the newspaper articles. The articles link in with Page 340 and prove it is still alive! This is additional evidence of the fact that Governor Jerry Brown followed up Reagan’s action to proclaim Page 340 into law. Brown also sought to maintain California’s position in leading the nation into complete prohibition of firearms. Despite the fact that Ronald Reagan, in front of his aides and two state senators, was caught “red-handed” sneaking Page 340 past all of the Citizens’ Advisory Committees and the general public, Page 340 still continues to be squired by incoming state chief executives, the level on which this secret action was installed.

Page 340 is a seditious ‘goal’ that is causing so many bogus laws to be drafted and enacted just as if they were true and valid law. Now that you understand the evolution of Page 340, you will better understand how to interpret additional current newspaper items and brazen declarations being made against gun ownership by the federal administrations and state governors. Enforcement of Page 340 is headquartered under the federal attorney-general.

It is a serious matter that there was no citizen approval by 467 people who comprised the 17 Citizens’ Advisory Committees. They did not take the subjects on Page 340 under study. This fact can nullify all of the gun laws passed since the Gun Control Act of 1968 because they were based upon unlawful Page 340. This illegal activity is a major violation of their own international “regional law” processing. Under “regional law”, citizen approval is classed as an essential so that in the future the socialists can say that they had the “consent of the governed to prohibit all guns”. Yet, neither the 17 Citizens’ Advisory Committees representing the governed, nor the general public itself knew anything about the existence of Page 340! Page 340 is still alive, unapproved, illegal and unlawful. This sneaky activity in the State of California went on in all of the states. The reason we can report on the state/federal collusion is because we were fortunate enough to uncover it in our own statehouse.
San Francisco supes propose handgun ban

San Francisco (AP) — Frustrated by a 23 percent increase in homicides during the past year, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors has proposed a sweeping measure banning handguns, injecting the city into the national debate over gun control.

The proposal will appear on the municipal ballot in November and would bar residents from keeping handguns in their homes or businesses. It also would prohibit the sale, manufacture and distribution of any firearm or ammunition in San Francisco, where residents have bought nearly 22,000 handguns since 1986, according to the state attorney general’s office.

Supervisor Chris Daly, who proposed the measure, said he and other supervisors already have received threatening phone calls and e-mails from gun supporters.

“It’s turning firearms into a scapegoat for failed city policies,” Michel said. “Criminals are never going to have any kind of problem getting the kind of guns they want.”

Michel said he’s preparing a legal challenge claiming a ban would violate the Second Amendment and that cities do not have the authority to regulate firearms. He said he plans to file his challenge before ballots are printed.

If approved by a majority of the city’s voters, the law would take effect in January 2006. Residents would have 90 days to relinquish their handguns.

Gun-control opponents argue that such bans elsewhere have had little or no effect.

“If approved by a majority of the city’s voters, the law would take effect in January 2006. Residents would have 90 days to relinquish their handguns. Gun-control opponents argue that such bans elsewhere have had little or no effect.”

“Page 340 is always behind these acts

No Man is Above The Law!
No, not even the San Francisco supervisors have the right to overturn the U.S. Constitution. No public official has the authority to legislate into the Second Amendment, especially so since they took an oath to defend it! The date of enactment of Page 340 is moved up since this directive was originally and secretly enacted nationwide.
From Reagan to Davis, California has been the pilot state for enforcing the goals of Page 340. Unconstitutional bogus gun laws must be stopped in California lest other states fall like dominoes.

Assembly OKs bill to curb handguns

The Hanford Sentinel/Friday, August 20, 1999

JENNIFER KERR
Associated Press Writer

SACRAMENTO — After prolonged lobbying by Gov. Gray Davis, the state Assembly approved a bill aimed at curtailing the manufacture and sale of cheap, unsafe handguns known as Saturday night specials.

"This law will give California one of the strongest handgun safety standards in the country," said Luis Tolley of Los Angeles-based Handgun Control, which first proposed the measure.

The bill would make it a misdemeanor to make or sell an unsafe handgun in California after Jan. 1, 2001. Handguns would have to have a safety device and pass firing and drop-safety tests in independent laboratories.

"I believe this is a reasonable measure; it holds guns to minimal safety standards," Gov. Gray Davis told reporters a few hours before the Assembly's 43-26 vote Thursday. Opponents contend the bill would not get handguns off California streets but would instead increase the sales of new guns and the black market for existing weapons.

Gov. Davis

The bill returns to the Senate for a final vote.

The Democratic governor already has signed two gun-control bills this year to restrict assault weapons and limit gun purchases to one a month.

Highly publicized shootings, including those Aug. 10 at a Jewish community center in Los Angeles and Aug. 24 in parties in Los Angeles and Aug. 24 in parties in Los Angeles, have boosted support for gun control among the public and politicians.

Thursday's vote was delayed several hours because several Democrats, particularly those facing close elections next year, were reluctant to back the complicated bill.

Davis said he sent aides to the Assembly to talk to wary Democrats "to ensure its timely passage."

The bill's author, Sen. Richard See HANDGUNS; Page 5

Handguns in American Society

This is an exact copy of Page 340, a secret Washington, DC directive for federal/state collusion to totally disarm all citizens in the nation. The 1983 date has been updated.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Penalties for Crimes Committed with a Handgun

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

Stop-and-Frisk Searches

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or the Federal or State governments for military purposes.

Establishing a State Gun Control Agency

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and funding a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorized to register and modify handguns to be retained by private citizens as curios, museum pieces, or collector's items.

Prohibiting the Private Possession of Handguns

The Commission further urges the enactment of State legislation not later than January 1, 1983, prohibiting the private possession of handguns after that date.

Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.
This page documents the fact that the federal attorney-general's office controlled the missions of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. The L.E.A.A. laid out the agenda for divesting the law-abiding people of their guns. They set the maneuvers for making an end run around the Second Amendment. The N.R.A. installed L.E.A.A.'s "state pre-emption." Will the N.R.A. accept Janet Reno's offer next?
It's police safety vs. privacy rights
Hostile Supreme Court hears case; Reno argues for the government

WASHINGTON — Attorney General Janet Reno, in her debut as a Supreme Court advocate, met surprising resistance today as she argued for giving police more power when they make routine traffic stops.

In her 10-minute appearance, Reno repeatedly was interrupted by justices voicing doubt about the wisdom of giving police automatic authority to tell all passengers to get out of a car.

The nation's top law enforcement official traditionally makes at least one argument before the highest court. It was thought that Reno had followed the standard practice of picking one of the government was likely to win.

But even the court's most conservative members seemed troubled by the automatic rule urged in a Maryland case by Reno and state Attorney General J. Joseph Curran.

While Reno contended that she was seeking police authority for a "brief, temporary stop" of a car's passengers, Justice Anthony M. Kennedy disagreed. "This is a prolonged seizure," he said.

"You want no reasonableness limitation," Justice Antonin Scalia told Reno. He then asked her whether a police officer who stops a speeding bus may ask all passengers — not just the driver — to get out.

"That might be a more difficult case," Reno said, but then indicated that officers should have that discretion.

"They are vulnerable to attack, not just from the driver but from the passenger," she argued. "It's the person seated in the vehicle that creates the danger."

Curran, who preceded Reno, immediately ran into a buzz saw of questions from the bench when he suggested that police officers should be able to order all passengers out of a car and require them to remain at the place.

"The officer has to control the location of the passengers," Curran said.

But Justice Sandra Day O'Connor, in increasingly hostile tones, inquired how long passengers can be made to stand outside the car while a driver's license and registration are checked.

O'Connor asked Curran about the young woman and her baby who were forced out of the car and into a driving rain or a snow storm, or a driver's confused elderly parent who doesn't comprehend police orders and wanders away.

"If he doesn't understand, shoot him," she asked Curran sharply. "This could be carried to extremes, and you don't seem to recognize that there's a difference."

The court is weighing personal privacy against police safety in the context of confrontations played out hundreds of times each day across the country.

The justices must decide by July whether police who make routine traffic stops always have the power to tell all passengers to get out of the car, and what authority they have to tell the passengers not to leave.

The court ruled in 1977 that motorists stopped for routine offenses can be ordered by police to get out of their cars. Now Maryland prosecutors and Reno are seeking to apply that decision to passengers along for the ride.

University of Baltimore law professor Stephen C. Hughes then arrested him.

A. Authority to tell all passengers to get out of the car, and what authority they have to tell the passengers not to leave.

The court ruled in 1977 that before ordering passengers out of cars, police must have probable cause to believe they are involved in a crime. Hughes violated his Fourth Amendment right to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures.

Officers need "individualized and particularized suspicion" before ordering passengers out of cars in such situations, the state courts ruled.

The Clinton administration's assistance is not the only help Maryland's appeal has received. Thirty-eight states joined in a friend-of-the-court brief urging the court to make such police authority possible.


THE PRIMARY PURPOSE FOR SEARCHING CARS AND PEOPLE IS TO LOOK FOR GUNS.

Second Amendment Committee
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In 1999 Newspapers Document the Actualization of the Federal/State Alliance Planned in 1974 to Seize All Citizen-Owned Firearms.

Bill aims at ban on handguns

RICH HARRIS
Associated Press Writer

SACRAMENTO — Democrats in the California Assembly are out to ban the manufacture and sale of the cheap handguns sometimes referred to as “junk guns,” and say this time they think they have the votes to do it.

Also known as “Saturday Night Specials,” the inexpensive weapons — often mechanically unreliable — are frequently used in crimes, sponsors of the bill said.

Previous attempts to ban such guns, many of which are manufactured in the state, have failed.

But Democrats have regained the majority in the Assembly, and sponsors of the new bill said Monday they believed they could win passage of the bill this year.

“We’re going to be working very hard to convince those legislators who are in swing districts that this is a high-profile issue and one they should care about,” said Assemblyman Louis Caldera, D-Los Angeles.

The bill would require all guns made and sold in California to conform to the safety standards required of weapons manufactured outside the country, which are tougher than the standards for domestically manufactured weapons.

The bill would also require that all guns sold in California after June 1, 1999, be equipped with childproof features such as trigger locks, loaded chamber indicators or “smart gun” sensors which permit a weapon to be fired only by the person to whom it is registered.

continued
Handguns in American Society

RECOMMENDATIONS

Enforcement of Current Laws

The Commission recommends that existing Federal, State, and local laws relating to handguns be unassumingly enforced. It further recommends that States undertake publicity campaigns to educate the public fully about laws regulating the private possession of handguns.

Penalties for Crimes Committed with a Handgun

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for an extended prison term with a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

Stop-and-Frisk Searches

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or Federal or State governments for military purposes.

Establishing a State Gun Control Agency

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and financing a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorizing in-regimes and allowing modification of handguns to be retained by private citizens as curios, museum pieces, or collector's items.

Prohibiting the Private Possession of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the private possession of handguns after the date.

Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.

THE SURRENDER AGENCY

A Federal/State Alliance

The 1968 Gun Control Act was accepted by the state governors. The Act brought into being the "Law Enforcement Assistance Administration". The L.E.A.A. secretly promoted the chapter on handguns in the federal book entitled: "A National Strategy to Reduce Crime".

That chapter is summarized on only one page. It is known as Page 340. Page 340 is the official guide for public officials in state legislatures to gradually get all guns away from the law-abiding citizens.

Notice that the 'surrender agency' now includes long guns. Also the deadline has been extended for prohibiting private possession.

Planned by Nixon and Reagan in the early seventies.

State offers money for rifles

By Ken McNeely

Owners of a particular Russian-made assault-style rifle banned in the California legislature last year are given the opportunity to turn the weapon into authorities and receive a $500 voucher.

A 1997 Assembly bill became law in 1998 and banned certain models of the SKS Sporter semi-automatic rifle, which the legislature determined fit into the category of so-called assault rifles.

Just one year ago, Dec. 19, SKS owners can be compensated for complying with the law through the Department of Justice's Buy Back program.

The legislature set aside $1.15 million to buy back the thousands of SKS weapons that were illegally imported into the state.

Three eligible for the vouchers are owners who have purchased or replaced weapons between Jan. 1, 1994, and Dec. 19, 1997, and are, respectively:

1. The owner can take the rifle out of the state permanently.
2. The owner can sell it to a Department of Justice licensed firearms dealer.
3. The local law enforcement agencies will be notified of the owner's registration, and local police or sheriffs' departments will keep the weapon.

The law states that those who do not comply may be punished by up to one year in state prison or county jail. The SKS rifle was designed in the former Soviet Union immediately after World War II, it replaced the Soviet Army's earlier bolt-action rifles.

In the United States, the weapon is very popular among gun enthusiasts, but.
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No Blue Skies Under Gray Davis

The present governor of the State of California, Gray Davis, plans to run for the presidency in the next presidential election. Voters in other states need to know that Davis is very anti-gun. He has been signing massive gun laws, and is in league with Washington, D.C. promoters of the Gun Control Act of '68.

During the time Jerry Brown was California’s governor, Gray Davis was his Chief-of-Staff. The Chief-of-Staff not only manages the governor’s staff, he is the governor’s spokesperson, a watch dog for the governor, and is expected to head off anything of which the governor would not approve. He is the governor’s ‘right-hand’ man, an “insider” who gets involved in all the most confidential plans and arrangements.

It was then that Governor Brown, working in tandem with California’s out-going governor, Ronald Reagan, quietly put into effect the authorized, unconstitutional, secret Recommendations listed on Page 340. Page 340 requirements were applicable to all states with California as leader. It is inconceivable that Gray Davis, then Chief-of-Staff, could not have been involved and knowledgeable about Page 340!

Davis, now California’s governor, is openly forcing upon Californians those secretly installed “Recommendations” on Page 340. Page 340, as you know, sets up a Gun Surrender Agency; prohibits the manufacture and sale of hand-guns within the state; prohibits ownership and possession of all handguns; and calls for the police to stop automobiles and search persons and vehicles for guns.

We will continue to lose our liberty, if we fail to alert gun owners all over the nation while there is time to expose this unfaithful and devious candidate and his methods of operation. Gray Davis creates an impression of a dignified earnest representative by his demeanor and his eloquence in speaking. The man behind the mask must be exposed before the hysterical momentum builds up for a “Davis for Presidency”; lest another communitarian president takes over to begin the finish of the republic.

A careful review of California newspaper items gives powerful evidence of the “insider” state/federal collusion going on between Governor Davis’s office in Sacramento and the federal Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. The D.O.J. is the catalyst for fulfillment of the G.C.A. '68.

All state and national governors together with their attorneys-general are moving with the D.O.J. to complete the hidden goals Congress drafted in the G.C.A. '68. Not all of G.C.A. '68 goals are listed on Page 340. The G.C.A. '68 is an exponent of the 1961 “United States Pro-gram for General and Complete Disarmament” (Public Law 87-297). The people need to know that Gray Davis is no savior -just another global communitarian!
Gray Davis has violated his oath of office by signing counterfeit legislation which tramples upon the the Second Amendment. This is a serious offence! This collusion with the federal government constitutes an act of perjury. He must be held accountable!

A constitutional governor would have invoked the power of the Second Amendment against the nefarious activities of equally guilty errant state legislators. The governor is supposed to keep the ship of state on an even keel! Davis was not hired to participate in federal plans to disarm all the law-abiding citizens of this state! How safe will the people of California be when Davis’s complicity with the federal government’s secret goal of complete elimination of all citizen owned firearms is carried out?

---

**Handguns in American Society**

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

**Enforcement of Current Laws**

The Commission recommends that existing Federal, State, and local laws relating to handguns be strenuously enforced. It further recommends that States undertake publicity campaigns to educate the public fully about laws regulating the private possession of handguns.

**Penalties for Crimes Committed with a Handgun**

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for an extended prison term with a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

**Stop-and-Frisk Searches**

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation providing for police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

**Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns**

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.

**Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns**

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or Federal or State governments for military purposes.

**Establishing a State Gun Control Agency**

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and funding a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorized to register and locally handout to be ceased by private citizens as curios, museon pieces, or collector's items.

**Prohibiting the Private Possession of Handguns**

The Commission further urges the enactment of State legislation not later than January 1, 1983, prohibiting the private possession of handguns after that date.

**SECOND AMENDMENT COMMITTEE**

P.O. BOX 1776 HANFORD, CALIFORNIA 93232
THIS --------------
IS
EQUALLY
UNLAWFUL!
IT IS CALLED

PAGE 341

Both Page 340 & Page 341 were unlawfully

sneaked in by the federal government ----

slipped into the “people approved” work!
A National Commitment To Change

FEDERAL ENCOURAGEMENT

Permanent Advisory Committee

The Commission recommends that LEAA establish an Advisory Committee on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals to support the standards and goals implementation effort.

Evaluating Programs

In implementing important standards or groups of standards, the Commission urges that evaluation plans be designed as an integral part of all projects.

PROFESSIONAL, CIVIC, AND EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT

The Commission recommends that national professional and civic groups and appropriate university interests support implementation of the standards and goals.

The ‘change’ process was operational in 1975, covering the disarming of the people, and the transference of civilian law enforcement system, to the federal level, a grievous mistake. This is provable by this 341st page taken from California governor Ronald Reagan’s Master Set of California State Standards and Goals. Also note that the previous page, which he agreed to, (known infamously as Page 340), set a date for outlawing all privately owned firearms belonging to California citizens. Although the date has been extended, the plan is still viable. These commitments to ‘change’ were acquired throughout the whole nation by the federal “Law Enforcement Assistance Administration”. It was a secret action, and not with the approval of the public.
THERE IS NO STATUTORY LIMITATION ON FRAUD!

GUN OWNERS! CALIFORNIA’S ANTI-GUN LAWS ARE A FRAUD!

There is more than enough evidence to show how the State and federal government collaborated to bypass the Second Amendment and defraud the people of their right to keep and bear arms. The unauthorized insertion of “Page 340” in the Governor’s Master Set of Standards and Goals is overwhelming evidence of fraud. There is no doubt but that these two divisions of government broke the supreme law of the land in the Bill of Rights by adding Page 340; plus, they broke their own rules because there was no “citizen participation” or “citizen approval” connected to adoption of Page 340.

“Citizen participation” was required! Page 340 was subject to it, but it did not undergo it. Page 340 was ‘sneaked’ in, and was put into effect without the “consent of the governed.” In order for constitutional citizens to reverse the course we are on, charges against these misdeeds must be entered and heard. Such an action has the power to rescind all unconstitutional anti-gun laws, at least in California where the fraud is provable.

Courts are known to throw out finished work when it does not have “citizen participation” -- provided it was required -- which was the case connected to the work of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA). As the LEAA swept through all of the states, they knew they were required to have “citizen participation” for their nationwide project. The state knew it also. They both knew they would not get the 17 California Citizen Advisory Committees to approve of what was later to become known as Page 340, especially not in the days of the early seventies! So they operated deceptively in the area of gun rights without required citizen approval of Page 340. Page 340 was ‘sneaked’ into the Governor’s Master Set of Standards and Goals and made a part of the work that was approved of by the 17 California Citizen Advisory Committees. Page 340 never received the approval or consent of the Committees!

The state has been using Page 340 ever since as their standby authority to pass anti-gun laws and to eventually completely disarm the people. A fraud of serious proportions has been committed! Since there is no statutory limitation on fraud, even at this late date, those deceptive actions are subject to investigation, charges and penalty.

Supported by “citizen participation,” change agents can thwart complaints of present or future challengers, who dare protest what has happened to their gun rights! The State can claim it operated with the consent of the governed, a protective principle listed in the Declaration of Independence. This is their primary reason for establishing “citizen participation”.* The 17 California Committees never authorized or had any “say” about Page 340. There was NO citizen participation for subjects involved in Page 340! The gun issue was never before them. Yet the Governor’s Master Set of Standards and Goals contained Page 340! Someone has to answer for this!

The following sheet shows a picture of the 6 books that were created by the federal National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice Standards and Goals.** This is also the cover that was used on the Governor’s Master Set of Standards and Goals.

The fraud is on-going! Quite obviously, Page 340 still controls the majority of this State’s public officials, their policies, and unlawful legislative enactments on guns. Proper action, if stimulated, can expose this fraud and then roll back all of the anti-gun laws! The challenge is worthwhile, and
extremely necessary. It should be pursued by all the people with the aid of gun fraternities. Items clipped from newspapers and displayed in this set are evidence of Page 340’s current viability.***

If the faith the people once held in the courts, had not faded, they could now feel assured that justice would be served by action in the courts. Even though there is doubt, it is an iron in the fire that has to be tried. Another answer lies in taking this grievance to loyal sheriffs, whose power supersedes that of judges. His oath requires him to support and defend the people of his county against unconstitutional actions.

Then, too, it would be a real help if one or several truly constitutional members of the state legislature would make a personal appeal to the Grand Jury of his (or her) county to undertake a study of the Page 340 subject and issue their findings. The state representative could also introduce a resolution to do the same simultaneously with a state level investigation. All four ideas must be tried at the same time. Just to say that every angle was covered, an appeal could be made to the governor himself to reconsider the validity of his authority on firearms. Page 340 is our Rosetta Stone.**** We are hanging by our bootstraps, and we must get moving on all five of these efforts as a start, before we find ourselves embroiled in a Civil War; or, worse still, before we reach the point of no return!

Footnotes:

*In the particular situation that happened in California, it meant that those 467 people who comprised the 17 Citizens Advisory Committees were representing every citizen in the State of California, which in 1974 would have been around 26 to 28 million people. The overwhelming majority of Californians did not even know what was going on, much less that it was going on in their own name! They were never consulted as to whether or not they wanted their handguns prohibited.

**Senator Richard Lugar and Senator Arlen Specter were members of the “National Advisory Commission On Criminal Justice Standards and Goals” – the team that produced the 6 books used by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. One of their six books was entitled “A National Strategy to Reduce Crime”. This book contained the gun issue in its 9th Chapter. All 7 detailed anti-gun objectives comprising Chapter 9 in “A National Strategy to Reduce Crime” were summarized onto one sheet, which in due time became the 340th page that was fraudulently entered into the California Governor’s Master Set of Standards and Goals, totally unauthorized! “Page 340” still controls the policies of the State of California.

QUESTION: Since the LEAA ‘worked over’ all of the other states in the nation during its reign, what page number would have been quietly assigned by the governor of each of the other states as they produced their own required Master Sets of Standards and Goals under the leadership of the federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration?

***When Reagan’s fraudulent action was discovered, he had 4 weeks left in his term, after which he was replaced by Jerry Brown as governor. Brown refused appointments by protesters. Gray Davis was Brown’s chief-of-staff, and knew everything that was going on. As governor, Davis signed the infamous anti-gun legislation by California State representative Don Perata. The new California governor, Arnold Schwarzenegger, who has replaced Gray Davis, is in favor of existing anti-gun laws, and he will operate under the belief that Page 340 will cover his actions in signing more gun laws, including his authority to continue those laws that are already unlawfully being enforced in California.

****Reference to the “Rosetta Stone” is found in the dictionary. Its value was that it was a great first clue toward deciphering unknown ancient writings, thus opening up a wealth of information previously undiscovered.
“The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail.” This is succinctly stated as follows:

“The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose; since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it. As unconstitutional law, in legal contemplation, is as inoperative as if it had never been passed. Such a statute leaves the question that it purports to settle just as it would be had the statute not been enacted.”

“Since an unconstitutional law is void, the general principles follow that it imposes no duties, confers no right, creates no office, bestows no power or authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it…”

“A void act cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, in so far as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.”

Any court, government or government officer who acts in violation of, in opposition or contradiction to the foregoing, by his, or her, own actions, commits treason and invokes the self-executing Sections 3 and 4 of the 14th Amendment and vacates his, or her, office. It is the duty of every lawful American Citizen to oppose all enemies of this Nation, foreign and DOMESTIC.
This rough draft note paper was written as a result of a conversation with the systems engineer who understood the overall federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) objective for the Standards and Goals project.

These notes were jotted down as a critique on the overall project.

The prime purpose behind the LEAA project was to move the U.S.A. under a world-wide military government.

The people of the United States would be generally and completely disarmed.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Command + Control</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.2 operations</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1 planning for same</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are military concepts: SWAT, helicopters.

---

Is there a “Bottom Line” that should be told? Yes, of course! The United Nations was built to gradually convert the countries of the world under a world government, and destroy the brilliant work of the men who drew up a system of limited government, called the Constitution of the United States. This system was designed to keep limits on the power that man can exercise over his fellow man. Changes in the Constitutional system have to be approved by the people, and must achieve the “consent of the governed”. It is up to the people themselves to guard their valuable heritage!
This 1974 news article is re-printed to present testimony on how the American system of government became deceptively altered so that anti-gun office holders in states could begin violating the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights, passing so-called "laws" to disarm the law-abiding people. The federal and state governments operated in collusion to establish a method to promote the goals of the Gun Control Act of 1968 with its 1971 and 1973 amendments. This article expresses the dilemma of a Californian who tried to stop the federal "comprehensive planning processes" being installed within the state for the disarming of law-abiding people and the creation of a nationwide militarized law enforcement system. This article, written mid-way in the on-going battle against firearms prohibition, reveals the path taken to begin firearms prohibition in the 'pilot state'. It exposes those who conspired in these unlawful pursuits using public safety and reduction of crime as shields for their evil acts. The federal government seized control of the law enforcement systems of the states under operational standards and goals (called 'Findings' before they were later enacted as 'law'). California led the way to capture the unsuspecting people of the nation and the governor presented the program under the innocent title known as "Project Safer California".

THE BLOCKING OF A CHARLATAN

(1974 Re-print)

The Governor of California, Ronald Reagan, was blocked from executing a planned proclamation scheduled for Dec. 31st (New Year's Eve) which would have spelled disaster for the people of the State of California.

The California Office of Criminal Justice Planning (O.C.J.P.) is the agency directly responsible for the creation of California's "Comprehensive Planning Process" to be used in California's criminal justice system. The office is administered by Anthony L. Palumbo, executive director. Reagan appointed Palumbo to the position and he is accountable directly to Governor Reagan. Palumbo programmed, (See "Program, Evaluation, and Research Technique Chart"); scheduled, (See "Flow Process Diagram"); and tasked the Governor (See "Narrative of Task Activity") to issue a dangerous milestone proclamation on December 31, 1974, a time when citizens are least attentive to political happenings.

"This proclamation would have done massive damage, not only to California, but to the nation as a whole, because California is the pilot state in the current 'reform movement' ostensibly for criminal justice improvement," Mrs. Lester Smith charged. The proclamation would have included recommendations issued by the National Advisory Commission on Criminal Justice, and the American Bar Association, both of which are liberal entities.

“We realize that our efforts amount only to a 'stop-gap' action because their book of 'Findings' has been sent for concurrent study to each of California's 21 Regions (created by the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration L.E.A.A.) and each region is required to prepare for implementation of these so-called 'recommendations'," she warned.

The California Council on Criminal Justice (C.C.C.J.) and the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (O.C.J.P.) are currently taking these 'Findings' under study together with the soon-to-be-assigned Task Forces in each of the 21 California Criminal Justice Regions. These regions were formed under the direction of the federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (L.E.A.A.).

"Still, we have moved a mountain!" asserts Mrs. Smith. "Our mail-in campaign of letters protesting the governor's proclamation did much good, as did my appointment with the Governor. He had been scheduled to turn the dangerous 'Findings' into law! We turned a spotlight on the Governor! He had to backtrack!"

The California Council on Criminal Justice, was also programmed on three different government documents to ratify these 'Findings' immediately on December 4, 1974 upon presentation by the seventeen committees who had studied them. The C.C.C.J., in turn, was to submit the ratified 'Findings' to the Governor for his proclamation, declaring them as operational California State law.
“There were some protests over ratifying in such a hurried manner, however, when we bumped the Governor out of his time frame, there was no longer a rush for C.C.C.J.’s hurried ratification. My appointment has stopped Reagan from issuing the dreaded proclamation. All this will be a short-lived victory because ratification may still come within a few short months! Meanwhile, citizen ‘input’ has been mandated by C.C.C.J. so I strongly urge all Californians who do not wish to live under a police state to go to their local Criminal Justice Planning Councils and their local supervisors to protest the acceptance of these intolerable ‘Findings’,” Mrs. Smith said, urging the public to enter into the protest.

“The Public Safety Agency, is listed in the first section of the book of ‘Findings’. It’s composition will cause California to be the initiator of the move for the whole nation to switch over from the position of being a civilian government to a condition of being a full military government! The Public Safety Agency is also outlined in the governor’s blue book which has the gold seal of Governor Ronald Reagan on the cover, and contains his transmittal letter of approval on the inside. Law enforcement in all of the states is in various stages of being militarized and prepared for unified command.”

“The ‘Findings’ in the ‘National Recommendations’ will affect our court systems throughout the nation also, which are to be ‘unified’ so that they will interlock with the United Nation’s International Court of Justice for a total world law system. These requirements (also known as standards) are essential to the completion of ‘The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament’ -- known as Public Law 87-297 -- the law which was signed in 1961 to divest us of our armed forces,” she said.

“Many people do not realize that our federal government is committed to an agreement with foreign nations that requires the disbanding of our national armed forces and prohibits re-establishment of them in any form whatsoever, other than to contribute to a world army under the communist-dominated United Nations. The agreement calls for the U.N. world army to be strengthened to a point where no nation will have the military power to challenge it. Public Law 87 - 297 also calls for the establishment of a military force within the United States to ‘preserve internal order’.”

“This is what Governor Ronald Reagan has been working on with California as the pilot state!

‘Project Safer California’ with its innocent sounding title, is California’s cooperation toward a national police force and the plan to completely disarm all law-abiding citizens. Although he denies it, the whole program in California is clearly under Reagan’s leadership and control!”

“A national police force could not be achieved if the local law enforcement bodies in each and every state continue to operate independent of each other,” Mrs. Smith declared.

“The nation can not survive as a republic once this militarized national police force takes full shape! Militarization of law enforcement under unified command is an obvious requirement for the national police force and P.L. 87-297,” she stated.

She continued: “Just as lethal as the outlawing of handguns, and the Governor’s recommended Public Safety Agency, (which does merge California’s non-military law enforcement with the state and federal military) is the ‘standard-setting process’ which Project Safer California initiates and allows the federal government to acquire.”

“Federal acquisition of the ‘standard-setting process’ is actually more virulent than the initial standards and goals themselves, because the process is an ‘on-going process’. It is described as ‘a forcing function’ which gives to the federal government future and continual carte blanche powers over local law enforcement in all of the states! Richard Velde (who has been an Assistance Administrator to Donald Santarelli of L.E.A.A) is now hailing the ‘standard-setting process’ as the
federal government’s greatest achievement!” Mrs. Smith reported.

“When the ‘standard-setting process’ is fully operating, the people will have lost control over their own law enforcement systems! If the state law enforcement systems fall completely under the consolidated management of the federal government by the enactment of the ‘Public Safety Agency’ and its use of the ‘standard-setting process’, the removal of handguns and other guns from the citizens will be a much more simple operation than it is at the present time!” she explained.

“Anticipating the passage of the 1968 Gun Control Act, Senator George Deukmejian, in 1967 introduced in California receptive legislation (known as the Deukmejian-Moretti Act). This law was advanced in 1969 by the issuance of Governor Reagan’s Executive order R-13-69 which opened up the innards of the state’s traditional governmental operative processes to allow the federal government to make massive alterations in the state system. Through a fortuitous incident, we found his page full of recommendations calling for the stopping and searching of cars and the frisking of persons for handguns; prohibiting the manufacture and sale of handguns; the establishment of a firearms ‘Surrender Agency’; and an outright prohibition on the private possession of all handguns. This was discovered in, Reagan’s Master Set of Standards and Goals numbered as Page 340 for which he was programmed-tasked and scheduled to enact into law by proclamation. Page 340 was, and is to this day, unknown and unseen, by the 17 Citizens Advisory Committees who were to give their approval to the Standards and Goals.”

“During the December 3, 1974 meeting which was arranged for me to discuss these issues with Governor Reagan, I found myself filled with disgust as I confronted him on these matters. At one point, I held up his own book with his gold seal of the governor and with his name on the cover of it. Inside, his signature was on the Transmittal Letter, urging legislative cooperation and enactment of this lethal program. Despite all of the conclusive evidences we had, Reagan still feigned astonishment and bewilderment--he put on his look of innocence.”

“The governor still maintains that he did not know about the existence of the proclamation! Yet Reagan himself appointed the Executive Director of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (Lou Palumbo) plus the other members of the Office of Criminal Justice Planning, all of whom are fully accountable to the governor, and who were responsible for creating the three official documents that programmed him, scheduled him, and tasked him for state acceptance of federal Standards and Goals by issuing a quiet proclamation to smash the whole program into law without the people ever knowing what was inside of it.”

“Face-to-face I told him: ‘It is inconceivable that an L.E.A.A. office (and of Criminal Justice Planning operating within the borders of this state, would have scheduled you for such a gubernatorial proclamation without first obtaining your consent and consulting with you!’ ”

“The Governor is a master at side-stepping issues. Even the report I did in 1972 (‘The Overthrow of the United States Constitution - A Report on Regional Government’) which exposed Reagan as the prime instigator of regional planning* in California has been artfully ignored,” declared Mrs. Smith.

“Governor Ronald Reagan has been falsely billed as a conservative. He has done massive damage to this state in his eight years as governor. He is also primarily responsible for the proliferation of regional government in this state, as the controlling office for it is headquartered in his own office, and he oversees it personally, a fact which he cannot deny, and did not deny, when I confronted him with this fact while confronting him at the December 3, 1974 meeting.”

“The Governor is a charlatan! He is adept in the skillful use of conservative language, expertly trained in the field of acting but his professional charm can no longer shield him from exposure caused by his own acts,” Smith concluded.

End of Article

Published in Valley Times, Central Valley, Calif. 1-16-75

* Regional planning replaces our American states with United Nations’ regions. L.E.A.A. introduced military standards and integrated them with civilian government on a regional basis.
QUESTION: ARE THERE WAYS TO VOID UNCONSTITUTIONAL TREATIES THAT ARE SELLING US OUT?

ANSWER: YOU BET THERE IS!

ONE ANSWER IS:

REBUS SIC STANTIBUS.

Although it is not commonly known, there is a principle in International Law that the Congress can use to void treaties! What has to happen is that the people must first create a demand for public officials to initiate action to cause the United Nations Charter, the matrix of the problem, to be declared void. The United States membership in that organization will then cease to be obligatory; thus, the United States would no longer be a member of the United Nations.

This principle is known as Rebus Sic Stantibus* which is recognized as the highest reason in rank for a country to void a treaty, and it means that:

"the situation has changed!"

Rebus Sic Stantibus means that "there was more to the treaty than what met the eye"....more than the states and the citizens were aware of at the time of its ratification! This is the case with the United Nations Charter which was enacted as a "treaty"! Unfairly and unjustly sold as a “program for peace,” the U.N. Charter was actually engineered to overthrow the American system of government and restructure the United States as a part of a global government. The series of purported treaties that followed are being passed as "laws" and are not at all what the general public has been led to believe that they are supposed to contain.

"An unconstitutional act is not law....as inoperative as though it had never been passed." -- Norton vs. Shelby County, 118 US 425 p. 442

Another route the states may choose to force the repeal of a treaty is by using the decision of the Supreme Court. Keep in mind that it takes only one state to force the Supreme Court to rule on an issue. If the ruling comes out unfavorable, the recourse for the state(s) is to override the Supreme Court and undertake a repeal action themselves. Such an action takes thirty-eight (38) states to successfully override the Supreme Court. Repealing “enabling legislation” alone (negating previous national action) does not complete the necessary procedure to
Rebus Sic Stantibus is the premier principle of international law and is held as the highest reason in rank for voiding a treaty!

rescind a treaty! Additionally, a repeal, rescinding, and revoking action should be effected against an aberrant previously passed treaty in order to negate previous international action. It is a well known fact that one of the checks in the Check and Balance System places the responsibility upon the states to keep the federal government from exceeding the limits of power they delegated to it. Chances are that your state governor or representatives are not versed in international law and do not realize that Rebus Sic Stantibus is a recognized principle of international law which exists between nations and that it allows for the revocation of disastrous treaties that destroy the structure, sovereignty, and liberty of a nation.

The facts regarding the objectives of the United Nations were not known by the general population at the time the U. N. Charter was enacted. Transferring U.S. armed forces to permanent control of communist commanders, allowing the avowed enemies of our country to supervise the closing of our defense plants and military bases, and to prohibit law-abiding Americans from owning firearms is in violation of the United States Constitution! These U.N. objectives do not meet the criteria to qualify the U.N. Charter as a treaty! Also, little known is the fact that a treaty is enforceable upon every individual!

The people have been lied to about the "peace" program and the "safer world"! They were not told of the inverse purposes of the United Nations! Now the truth is being laid bare before the people! The situation has changed! The U. N. was plastered onto the U. S. by using laudable goals as a way of bringing in the U. N.'s hidden objectives! Plenty of grounds exist for putting pressure on representatives to void the U. N. Charter and related world government treaties.

A Word of Warning Regarding the Use of Rebus Sic Stantibus. There is a possibility, because of the deviousness of the courts, that the courts may insist that Rebus Sic Stantibus is a nullification procedure, the type of which they threw out when the Virginia and Kentucky Resolutions were defensively tried in more recent times. The courts need to understand that it is not the courts who have the "final say" on protection of the nation's sovereignty! In a united action the states have superiority over all three branches of the federal system!

* Source: Black's Law Dictionary — At this point of affairs; in these circumstances. A name given to a tacit condition, said to attach to all treaties, that they shall cease to be obligatory so soon as the state of facts and conditions upon which they were founded has substantially changed.
Folks, you now have two conflicting governments in operation in the United States!

The United Nations is a parallel government!

The United Nations Charter was unlawfully ratified as a "treaty." It did not qualify as a treaty because it calls for General and Complete Disarmament of the United States. Any instrument of any sort or kind which calls for the elimination of the nation's common defense systems is in gross violation of imperishable principles of liberty. It can't be a treaty!

The United Nations itself was not a sovereign government at the time the "treaty" was prepared and ratified. On this basis alone the so-called "treaty" could be declared 'null and void'. Years later (Mar. 19, 1970) the U.N. declared itself to be a sovereign government.

At the time the U.N. Charter was ratified as a "treaty" (July 1945), the proponents of world government began the move from theory into implementation of the world government systems. The United Nations organization had set itself down as a parallel government. Assisted by advancements, gained through the use of Executive Orders of the President, additional "treaty" agreements, and legislative acts of the U.S. Congress, the U.N. grew! Surely you must know that no treaty can lawfully supersede the principles of the U.S. Constitution, nor the confirmed endowments of the Creator embodied in the Bill of Rights! One U.N. "treaty" calls for the complete disarming of every American citizen. This destroys the function of the militia (the people at large) even though it is commanded in the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights! There is no doubt about it, folks, the U.N. Charter does not care about the security of your free state. Its intent is to overthrow your state and federal Constitutions!

No nation can survive after its common defense has been seized by a foreign power and its citizens have been disarmed! Keep in mind also that a treaty, if it meets Constitutional requirements, is enforceable upon every individual! Because there are no withdrawal rights written into the U.N. Charter, it is mandatory for the individual states to initiate an action demanding that the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and the 1949 Amendments thereto be revoked, rescinded, and repealed. One of the goals of the proponents of the U.N. Charter is to disintegrate the federal Constitution so slowly that the people will adapt and not object to the switch-over; thus, the parallel government will ultimately remain as the only government. The formula for the operation of this vicious plan was conceived by Charles E. Merriam, the Rockefeller engineer who guided Franklin D. Roosevelt. Merriam, who believed that outright revolution was "the old way" and that communism could be brought in using the coat-tails of the Constitution itself, is quoted as having said: "Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of democracy to changing conditions without serious difficulty." He also promoted the use of the word democracy to be used as a stand-in word for the word communism.

Catch on, folks!

Sam

Second Amendment Committee
Post Office Box 1776
Hanford, Ca. 93232
THE LAW OF NECESSITY*

Necessity has no law. (Necessitas non habet legem.) Necessity makes that lawful which otherwise is not lawful. (Necessitas facit licitum quod alias non est licitum.)

Necessity defends or justifies what it compels. (Necessitas quod cogit, defendit.) Applied to the acts of a sheriff, or ministerial officer, in the execution of his office.

Necessity is not restrained by law, since what otherwise is not lawful, necessity makes lawful. (Necessitas sub lege non continetur, quia quod alias non est licitum necessitas facit licitum.)

Necessity overcomes law; it derides the fetters of laws. (Necessitas vincet legem; legum vincula irridet)

Necessity gives a privilege with reference to private rights. The necessity involved in this maxim is of three kinds, viz.:
(1) Necessity of self-preservation;
(2) of obedience; and
(3) necessity resulting from the act of God, or of a stranger. (Necessitas inducit privilegeum quoad jura privata.)

*Necessity: Controlling force; irresistible compulsion; a power or impulse so great that it admits no choice of conduct. That which makes the contrary of a thing impossible. The quality or state of being necessary, in its primary sense signifying that which makes an act or event unavoidable. Quality or state or fact of being in difficulties or in need; a condition arising out of circumstances that compels a certain course of action. (Bykofsky v. Borough of Middletown, D.C.Pa., 401 F. Supp. 1242, 1250. See Irresistible impulse.)

A person is excused from criminal liability if he acts under a duress of circumstances to protect life or limb or health in a reasonable manner and with no other acceptable choice. See Justification; Self-defense.

Necessary. This word must be considered in the connection in which it is used, as it is a word susceptible of various meanings. It may import absolute physical necessity or inevitability, or it may import that which is only convenient, useful, or appropriate, suitable, proper, or conducive to the end sought. It is an adjective expressing degrees, and may express mere convenience or that which is indispensable or an absolute physical necessity. It may mean something which in the accomplishment of a given object cannot be dispensed with, or it may mean reasonably something useful and proper, and of greater or lesser benefit or convenience, and its force and meaning must be determined with relation to the particular object sought. Kay County Excise Board v Atchison T & S.F.R.Co., 185 Oakl.327, 91 P.2nd 1087, 1088.

Source: Black's Law Dictionary - Sixth Edition

Second Amendment Committee P.O. Box 1776 Hanford, Calif. 93232
On March 1, 1945, at the Roxborough High School in Philadelphia, a forum meeting was held by the United Nations Council under the auspices of the American Legion. The principal speakers were Dr. John Nason, a Rhodes scholar, president of Swarthmore, Pa., College, and Mrs. Borden Harriman. At the conclusion of his speech Dr. Nason said that after full debate on the question whether or not we should adopt the United Nations-Dumbarton Oaks Conference proposals for a world security organization to be set up at the San Francisco Conference, he knew the American people would want it and they should write their Senators to that effect.

At the question period I challenged Dr. Nason to debate, and he refused to do so. Then I asked the question, "Is it or is it not true that the United Nations-Dumbarton Oaks Conference proposals for a world security organization to bring peace to the world is none other than the British-Israel World Federation plan for a world government, world currency, world police, world court, world religion, and a world flag to fly above our Stars and Stripes?"

This is the flag, gentlemen [exhibiting], and it is treason to America, and the women will never let it happen. There it is, that flag [indicating].

The CHAIRMAN. Your time is up. You have another minute.

Mrs. SOMERS. Only yesterday, former Governor Harold Stassen of Minnesota told this committee that the Charter does not assure us that it will prevent war. Yet the American people, and even the members of the committee, are given the impression that it will prevent war.

In conclusion, gentlemen, I pray that God Almighty will inspire you, and so, blessed with the knowledge presented to you by the opponents of this vicious plot to destroy our Republic, you will, like our founding fathers in the First Continental Congress at Carpenter's Hall in Philadelphia, humbly kneel in prayer and ask Almighty God in the name and through the merits of Jesus Christ, our Lord, to give you the strength and courage to vote against this vicious Charter, and by so doing, your names, like Washington, will be immortal in the annals of American history. You will then vote against this vicious Charter.

Senators all of you, I beg of you, you whom we have elected to represent us, please, gentlemen, do not let us women have to fight these wolves in sheep's clothing alone. Be men like those that William Cullen Bryant wrote about:

So live that when thy summons comes to join the innumerable caravan that moves to that mysterious realm where each shall take his chamber in the silent halls of death, thou go not like the quarry slave at night, scoured to his dungeon, but, sustained and soothed by an unaltering trust, approach thy grave like one who wraps the drapery of his couch about him and lies down to pleasant dreams.

Thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you very much.

[Applause.]

The CHAIRMAN. Please be in order. You are not supposed to express your approval or disapproval or applaud or make any other demonstration. Next is Mrs. Griessel.

Testimony of Mrs. Helen V. Somers on the United Nations Charter before the United States Senate Foreign Relations Committee

The CHAIRMAN. Give your name and your residence and whom you represent to the reporter.

Mrs. SOMERS. My name is Helen V. Somers, and my address is 2914 Cedar Street, Philadelphia, Pa., and I just represent myself, the organization of the United States Government, the people of the United States.

The CHAIRMAN. That is a good representation. Go right ahead.

Mrs. SOMERS. Mr. Chairman, I wish to place upon the record that I am an American woman, a mother, that I am pro-America and pro-peace, anti-nothing; but I resent the propaganda from any foreign source that tries to interfere in our domestic affairs.

Members of the Foreign Relations Committee, I am before you today, July 11, 1945, to voice my opposition to the United Nations Charter, which is the betrayal of our constitutional Republic, and in doing so I am expressing the sentiments of thousands of other Americans who cannot be here to do likewise.

I definitely oppose the United Nations World Charter because it will change our form of government by setting up a world government and a World Court.

Article I, section 8, clause 9, of the Constitution specifically states that "Congress has the power to institute inferior tribunals only." If our people wish to change our form of government, it can be done only by amendment, by the vote of the people. Consequently, any ratification is illegal.

The United Nations Charter will set up a superstate because you cannot have a World Court without a world government and a world dictator. There will be no freedom, only slavery. George Washington warned against interweaving our destiny with that of any other nation. How do I know of the plan to set up a world state? Well, I have been very fortunate in learning of the British-Israel World Federation movement, whose symbol, the unfinished pyramid of Giza, appears on our one dollar bills only, placed there in 1935.

In 1893, Andrew Carnegie wrote a book entitled "Triumphant Democracy", the last chapter A Book Ahead. In it he says:

Time may dispel many illusions, destroy many noble dreams, but I shall ever be of the opinion that the wound once caused by the separation of the child (America) from its Mother (England) will not bleed forever. Let men say what they will, I say as surely as the sun in the heavens once shone upon Britain and America united, so surely is it, one morning, to rise, to shine upon and greet again the Re-united State, the British-American Union.
He left all of his money for the accomplishment of that objective.

This world movement of the British Israelites is identical with the Andrew Carnegie-Cecil Rhodes-Theodore Herzl plan to return the United States to the British Empire. The British-Israel literature boasts of Britain being mighty and that she will be mightier to rule the world.

What is to happen then to our beloved United States? Where will we be? Can't you see? Gone with the wind—No; not if the women of this country have anything to say about it. Never. We will not betray our country to any foreign power.

General Patton, speaking in London to the Officers Club said, "It is our destiny, Britain and America, to rule the world."

In British-Israel, you will learn that Edward, Duke of Windsor, is to be the messiah, the king of the world. In an article in the True Story Magazine, Wally, sunning herself on the beach at Nassau in the Bahamas, dreams of the day when the common people of the world will call on Edward to lead them and become the first President of the United States of the World.

Senator Pepper expressed the same thing about President Roosevelt. Congressman Huber wants to know how the Duke of Windsor, the repudiated leader of our ally, Britain, can travel around our country, with a private coach and crew, while our soldiers and civilians are denied transportation facilities. Gentlemen, Edward, the Duke, is here surveying our land and looking forward to the day you ratify the United Nations Charter and he then will become king of the world. You will find the evidence right here in this folder.

The CHAIRMAN. You may file the folder if you desire.

Mrs. SOMERS. It says:

"His excellency, the world potentate, shall create, organize, build, acquire, maintain, use, and command such armies, navies, air forces, and other military means, together with all properties, structures, devices, and means which he deems essential thereto in his sole and absolute discretion necessary to maintain and restore peace throughout the world—His world, I suppose—and shall use them for no other purposes whatsoever.

Now, here is the picture, gentlemen, of the world flag hanging in the British-Israel World Federation Meeting in London, England, 6 Buckingham Gate."

The CHAIRMAN. Just file that and go ahead with your testimony.

Mrs. SOMERS. You will also see the picture of the flag which is to fly above our Stars and Stripes.

On February 4, 1944, Scholastic Magazine conducted a poll in 1,303 high schools throughout our Nation, asking our children seven questions, the last of which was "Are you willing to see a flag of the world fly above the Stars and Stripes?" This questionaire appeared in the Junior Post of the Upper Darby Junior High School of Pennsylvania. This chart compiled on the subject proves it is all One World Movement.

Gentlemen of the committee, do not be deceived; the proponents of this measure are either wolves in sheep's clothing or just dupes, for no sane American would knowingly vote away our sovereignty. Surely you men won't vote yourselves out of office.

The CHAIRMAN. Please use the microphone. Some of the Senators cannot hear you well.
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Mr. LANGER. Mr. President, during my service in the Senate in behalf of the common people, I have never sold the truth to serve the hour. I have no quarrel with the vote of any honest Senator upon this floor. Each one took the same oath that I took, namely, to defend and uphold the Constitution of the United States of America.

Practically all Members of this body have indicated that they will vote for the charter. Under my oath, Mr. President, and under my conscience, I cannot so vote. If I did I would feel that I was betraying the hundreds of thousands who have died in this war for the United States, and the hundreds of thousands who have sacrificed their loved ones and their treasure. I would be willing to vote for the appropriation of the last dollar in the United States Treasury, and the last dollar that we could borrow if, by spending that money, we could eliminate war, which we all abhor and hate. I would unhesitatingly vote for the charter if I felt that it offered even the tiniest hope of a permanent peace. But, in spite of that, Mr. President, I feel from the bottom of my heart that the adoption of the charter—and, make sure, we are going to implement it—will mean perpetuating war.

I feel that it will mean the enslavement of millions of people from Poland to India, from Korea to Java, as well as people in many other places on this earth.

Mr. President, I feel that the adoption of the charter will be one step more toward compulsory and military conscription, and all that which goes with war.

In my opinion, the charter is not at all similar to the Constitution of the United States which was adopted by the Original Colonies. I may say at this point that I agree with what the distinguished Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Barrows] said earlier in the day, when he stated:

"Most important of all, the American Constitution went to great lengths to guarantee genuine equality to States entering into the Union. Neither Ben Franklin nor the other members of the Constitutional Convention would have tolerated a constitution by which two or three or five of the States were given a veto power over all of the rest."

Mr. President, I say to you and to the other Members of the Senate that, in my judgment, if the charter had been in effect when the American Revolution took place, France and all other countries which came to help us would not have been able to come, and today we would still be a colony under the rule of England.

Mr. President, in my campaign for the senatorship 5 years ago I pledged to the fathers and mothers of North Dakota that I would never vote to send our boys away to be slaughtered upon the battlefields of Europe. I kept that pledge on this floor. I promised in that campaign to vote in the Senate to expend the last dollar, if necessary, in order to defend the Western Hemisphere. Again I say, Mr. President, that I kept that pledge to the people of North Dakota.

Having so pledged myself, and having been elected to my senatorship upon such pledge, and not having been elected to create an organization to which we would give a promise, either express or implied, that it would have authority to send our boys all over the earth, I cannot support the charter. I believe it is fraught with danger to the American people, and to American Institutions. I further believe that when a candidate for office pledges himself by specific promises, those promises should be honored, regardless of the political consequences which may follow to the candidate who made them.

Furthermore, Mr. President, I reiterate that we ought not to vote on this charter in the absence of our 11,000,000 fighting men and women. They are now away, and we do not know what their attitude will be upon their return, after having been to the four corners of the earth and after having fought upon the seven seas. We sit here, Mr. President, in our fine offices and upon this senatorial floor, blissfully ignorant of what those 11,000,000 veterans may be thinking. After all, they constitute the backbone of the common people of America. Certainly there is no reason for such a hurry to pass this charter that some steps could not have been taken to have referred the matter to the people of the country, including the men and women in the armed forces, before the final vote was taken upon it. As their representative here in the Senate, I cannot, I will not, God helping me, vote for a measure which I believe to be unlawful under our Constitution, a measure which, in my opinion, betrays the very people who sent us to the Senate as their representatives.

Speaking of the United Nations Charter, Senator Langer said:

"I believe it is fraught with danger to the American people, and to American Institutions."

Senator Langer realized the seriousness of violating the oath of office!
and see our boys drafted again and sent to the four corners of the earth to fight and to give away our substance.

Under our Constitution, you say we will distribute the raw materials of the world. That is not new, either. You can find that in the 188th editorial page of Mr. McKinley's book, Triumphant Democracy, the last chapter, A Look Ahead, or the Reunion of Britain and America.

If you give away our raw materials, you will be trespassing on States' rights. If you give them away, you are sending the raw materials of this country to foreign powers who will manufacture them at the low European level, and the goods will be sent back here for us to buy, and because England will control the seas she will supply the Atlantic States and the West, or Pacific States, and our manufacturers can supply the Middle States. We will not like it at first, they say, but we will soon find it is our duty to the mother country.

When you say that you will give away billions of dollars of our money to England, Russia, and the rest of the world, where are you going? Where is it coming from? Or are you banking on the capital-lery tax that is in the making?

These are things the people of the United States should know. I am willing to say that if, under due process of law, you submit this charter as an amendment to the Constitution of this country—and that is the highest to the lowest, in this country, is sworn to uphold. If you sign this charter you are signing away the sovereign rights of the people of this country—and after a full and free and honest discussion of the merits and demerits of the matter—they vote to give away their sovereignty and their substance, then I have nothing further to say. But, until that is done, under the constitutional process or law, you submit and demerits of this charter, then I do object, and object strongly. I am not willing to have my sons or my grandsons drafted—be put under the authority of five men whom I do not know, of which I know nothing; I am not willing to have my own countrymen robbed, and their substance given away; and we women will put over the world a charter which guarantees nothing. But what is it? What do you mean? What do you say it means? Nothing at all. It does not say that you are to make a treaty with the United States, or does not an oath of allegiance, or does not an oath of office mean anything any more? If it does not, then it is time the American people knew it.

We are not children; we understand what is going on. We think it is just about time we got back to the founding fathers, and to the Constitution of the United States. I know that when you think of this in your serious moments you will not want to put yourselves in the position of having the people who live say that you were not true to your oaths.

I beg of you, gentlemen, before you put your names to this document, to weigh it carefully.

This is not a peace document; it is a document of force, of aggression, of grabbing—grabbing the raw materials of this country, grabbing our boys, grabbing our money.

We went to war in 1776 because of unfair taxes. What do you think we are going to do when you try to take away billions of dollars to Europe and all over the world? Do you think we are going to stand for that? And you wonder where you are going to lose the billions of dollars that are the things you must weigh, and think of carefully. These are the things you must decide. These are the things you must answer. These are the things you must answer to the American people.

So, gentlemen, in all fairness, I, an American woman, a mother, and a grandmother, I stand up here, and say that you must answer to the betrayors of your country.

I thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you very much, Mrs. Baldwin.
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Mr. LANGER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the Appendix of the Record the corrected testimony on the United Nations Charter, given by Mrs. Baldwin, accept this because it was agreed upon by President Roosevelt at Yalta—when we were told it was San Francisco.

The late Mr. Roosevelt is not here to speak for himself. And, furthermore, he had not the authority to promise anything in the name of the United States; people away from the concurrence of two-thirds of this body—which has not been given.

This is, to my mind, a very direct attempt to substitute a Constitution of the United States, to take away our sovereign rights. It is not a new plan. It is one that has been going on, year after year, since the war, to the point where the very heart of our charter guarantees nothing.

Under this charter five men not elected, merely appointed, whom we do not know and whom we have no opportunity of discussing, are to dictate every policy of this country. It is a damagistic, oil-garbage project. It is an instrument of war.

You say that this is an instrument of peace, but we do not intend to stand by and see our sons sent again to fight another foreign war which is not of our making.

Gentlemen, it is in fact the apex of the pyramid we are facing today. It is well known to the people throughout the length and breadth of this land. The women know what is going on, and we do not intend to stand by and see our sons sent again to fight another foreign war which is not of our making.

Under this charter five men not elected, merely appointed, whom we do not know and whom we have no opportunity of discussing, are to dictate every policy of this country. It is a damagistic, oil-garbage project. It is an instrument of war. You say that this is an instrument of peace, but we do not intend to stand by and see our sons sent again to fight another foreign war which is not of our making.
CONCLUSION:

In the United States of America, the rights listed in the Bill of Rights are unalienable rights of the people. The Bill of Rights lists only the most endangered rights. There are many others! This precious document contains rights that cannot be altered, repealed, revoked or rescinded! The founders of our nation understood that certain rights were indispensible essential rights, given as an endowment to man from the Creator, and as such, they wisely documented these rights as being inalienable! Rights are truths!

The Founding Fathers wrote about these truths when they adopted the “Declaration of Independence.” They added a long list of their grievances in their “Declaration” that proved how important it was to permanently define, guard and secure our rights. They justified the reasons why they pulled away from the control of their mother country, and waged a Revolutionary War against England: “....That to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed.” Philosophers of the time, such as John Locke, declared that everyone is born with an equality of certain rights, regardless of their nationality, and that these God-given rights cannot be justly taken away against the consent of the governed! He argued that such fundamental rights could not be surrendered in the social contract, and that preservation of the natural rights to life, liberty, and property was justification for the rebellion of the American colonies.

No one can deny that this is the heritage of the American people. Their right to use arms in their personal or national defense, or their constitutional authority cannot be denied, even though people in many other countries have been denied the exercise of all such rights and views. In our country, because of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, it would be against the law to do so. It is obvious that in a disarmed nation, the people lose their authority over those who rule them!

The most important feature we have in the 1789 Constitution is that which guarantees the people a republican form of government. This means that the people are the highest authority of the land. The most important amendment within the American Bill of Rights is the Second Amendment, because it is the only amendment that contains force, sufficient enough to protect the people’s authority, including all of the other rights of the people, whether listed or not listed. All of the other nine amendments in the Bill of Rights, for their own existence, depend entirely upon the existence of the Second Amendment. For its own existence, the Second Amendment depends entirely upon the knowledge, wisdom and quality of the people themselves! Here are some points to remember:

First: The right to keep and bear arms, being the linchpin, the keystone that backs all the other nine essential rights listed in the Bill of Rights, must be kept closely guarded! Although they will try, government officials cannot abolish or refute what God has endowed to the people, especially the right to arms! Even though Constitutionalists do recognize these facts, the atheists and socialists view these circumstances differently. They have opened the gates to hordes of untrained aliens, who
will be given the right to vote in a few short years. By not requiring them to study the rules and responsibilities of the American system, they will be ill prepared as voters of the future. Some will even run for office in elections. If the errant people in the latter group, or our “progressive” groups are allowed to gain full and final control of the United States government system, they will ban firearms and eventually dissolve the republic, while they attempt to enforce their many distorted views over the whole nation. They will seek and practice a method by which they can achieve their goal of total and complete socialistic control by getting laws passed which destroy the true intent of the Founding Fathers! The Second Amendment, which our founders drafted was not only for our protection from without, it was written to protect us against the evils that can arise from within! It is there mainly to protect the system of being a republic: the security of a free state! The people should never relinquish their duty to guard and protect this logic as well as the tools and purpose behind the enactment of the Second Amendment. If they do, first, they will lose their means of supporting their authority, which will be quickly followed by the complete loss of their own authority!

Second: The United States is a republic! Being a republic means that the people are collectively the highest authority, even higher than those officials whom they have elected to public office. It is required of the people to hold on to this authority themselves, by remaining a nation of armed citizens. If they give up their arms, they will also lose their authority! The people themselves must guard the tools which give support to their position of being the final authority in their republic. The people have a duty to keep limits on the power that man can exercise over his fellow man by protecting the guarantee of a republic in the 1789 Constitution and the confirmation of God-given rights as listed in the Bill of Rights. These two documents with their principles are interdependent: each supports the power of the other, but if one fails, the other will also become inadequate. Any attempt to disassociate or dissolve the connection between the keystone Amendment of the Bill of Rights from the principles within the 1789 Constitution is to encounter the possibility of a collapse of the republic.

Third: The ill objective behind false Page 340 and Page 341 was to claim that the people had given their “permission” to be made totally and completely disarmed, so that when the unlawful United Nations treaty (the Small Arms Treaty) or any other legislation with similar intent, is signed by the president, the attempt to disarm the people will be considered to be viable! Although a fabrication, the basis upon which the socialists intend to claim that they have “an authorization from the people to disarm the people” will be the ‘sneaked’ Pages 340 and 341! These are counterfeit pages with evil and unconstitutional intent! Because of the unlawful inclusion of Page 340, the people’s consent to be disarmed will be considered to be a legitimate recommendation from the people! Objectors, working under a limited time basis, will find it difficult to prove facts to the contrary! It could cause a revolution!

Fourth: Page 340 has never been eliminated from the California Governor’s Master Set before it was approved in the mid-seventies. Further, to add to the calamity, new laws have been written which allow house-to-house searches for guns and the incarcerating of objecting citizens will not have to be
explained or justified by government officials, because of the recent legislation known as the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA). Sections 1021 and 1022.

**Fifth:** The actual fact is that the people never surrendered their right to arms nor approved the passage of Page 340 and Page 341. As things stand now, the people will not be allowed to argue that the insertion of these two pages into government records was made without their knowledge and without their consent as was required! These pages did not even have the consent of the small number of people who comprised the seventeen California Citizen Advisory Committees, also as would have been required! Even though, the people of today will be correct in believing that the Second Amendment still protects them, they will find that this opinion will run counter to the actions of the federal government, who will choose to follow the fabrication and unlawful insertion of Page 340 and Page 341. The forcible removal of all citizen-owned guns and other armaments by errant government officials will undoubtedly be done with the aid of foreign troops trained for such searches under the General and Complete Disarmament Law (Public Law 87-297) agreements.

If the people are not told before it all breaks loose, they will not understand why government officials will choose to disarm them. They will not understand completely why the federal government is moving against their gun rights. They will not know that the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA) had pushed the Second Amendment “aside” some years ago, and permission for complete gun prohibition has been supposedly achieved by fabricated Page 340 with the supposed permission of the people! The people will not know that this scenario was all arranged when “updating” the nation’s “Standards and Goals” was occurring in the mid-seventies. This is now a sad state of affairs when all this tumult could be avoided by checking the added pages that the LEAA caused to be secretly entered into the record. The two “recommendations” are false!

**Sixth:** During the time this was happening, whatever was entered into the Master Set of State Standards and Goals was intended to represent “the will of the people” and this was supposed to have been considered as having achieved the “consent of the governed”! Simply by inserting and including, Page 340 and Page 341 in with the set of State Standards and Goals, that were approved, counterfeit Pages 340 and 341 falsely received the endorsement of every citizen within the state. These altered Standards and Goals were set to be addressed as being “the voice of the people”. In California the small group of citizens who was chosen to represent the whole of the state’s population were a tiny percent of the state’s citizenry.

**Seventh:** In addition, many of the people who worked on the Standards and Goals were not aware that some of the Standards were military standards, which was pointed out later. This could facilitate in the adoption of a world-wide military governing system, something wanted by global government planners. Not to be overlooked is the fact that the whole purpose behind the disarming of people is to conform to the United Nations plan for world-wide disarmament and world government management.
Eighth: In California there were 17 working Citizen Advisory Committee groups. When they were finished, the whole set of California’s revised State Standards and Goals were then moved and voted on by every regional group in the state, including supervisory boards, the state legislature, and lastly by the state governor who was to proclaim the complete set as being official “law” for the people of California via a governor’s proclamation. The date set in California for the proclamation to be signed had been scheduled on New Year’s Eve (a time when the public would not be watching government issues so closely). Up to this point, the problem was that no one understood the seriousness of what was happening.

Ninth: Ronald Reagan, who was California’s governor at the time, was selected to be the “pilot governor” for all the states in the nation. Because there was so much criticism by activists about the Page 340 issue, he declined to sign the anti-gun Proclamation which had originally been scheduled for him. He even issued a letter stating that he would not sign the scheduled proclamation. The article entitled: “The Blocking of a Charlatan” 1 details Reagan, who as a future presidential hopeful, chose to clear and disassociate himself from the plans on Page 340 and Page 341. The need to sign the proclamation then moved under the authority of the incoming governor (Jerry Brown) to officiate. Brown did sign the proclamation, which did include the contents of the Governor’s Master Set of State Standards and Goals, the document where Page 340 and 341 were found. Brown would not discuss the issue, nor give any appointment to anyone wishing to explain what was being done against the best interest of the people and the gun owners. Brown did not issue any public announcement relative to the Page 340 issue, nor did he call for an investigation of Page 340 or Page 341; nor did he even give an explanation of how these two phantom pages were placed in the final issue of the Governor’s Master Set of California’s State Standards and Goals! These pages were never reported as having been eliminated!

Tenth: The expectation that the federal LEAA worked the same counterfeit “permission” trick in every other state, by the same method they worked it in California, is logical! No one else but the federal government could have laid the groundwork for the Page 340 deception. LEAA, themselves, were assigned the federal government’s requirement to “update” the state’s Standards and Goals in all of the states of the nation. Page 340 was a one-page summary of the entire 9th Chapter of the federal anti-gun book entitled: “A National Strategy to Reduce Crime”. LEAA’s silent mission was obviously to lay a foundation and pave the way for fulfillment of the federal government’s Public Law 87-297 (known as the “Arms Control and Disarmament Act”). Getting the approval from the people to be disarmed was the difficult task they needed to achieve. By using Page 340, they intended to supersede the supreme protection which only the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights can provide. This could not be done honestly, because the public would not knowingly give approval of what was being done to their right to arms; therefore, the federal government obviously decided that it would have to be done surreptitiously, and the “permission” sneaked into the state’s final update of their respective state’s Standards and Goals. That is the state of affairs today.

1 (see http://www.libertygunrights.com/BlockingCharlatan.htm)
Eleventh: It is quite logical to believe that the federal LEAA group inserted the counterfeit
permission trick in every other state at the same time they worked over California. It would not be
logical to think that the trick of prohibiting guns would have been done in just one state only,
especially when charts were available showing the LEAA progress going on in every state!
However, California was the only state that had a person brave enough to inspect the records and
discover this scandal.

Because a man who was a chemical engineer, with knowledge of systems engineering, undertook to
investigate, this scandal broke open as he retrieved the official California Governor’s Master Set and
found the shocking presence of two falsified pages in his own California State LEAA office! The
two falsified pages were added without the Citizens Advisory Committee’s knowledge, study or
approval! It was evidence of what was happening in the whole nation. It was the opinion of the
engineer, who watched closely every day, that the insertion of the two counterfeit pages was added
after the 17 committees were disbanded, and the members departed for their home towns. Because
this one person had the courage to search this out, and bring out the evidence, the people of the
United States still have a chance to stop the Small Arms Treaty or any other gun banning legislation.

Twelfth: In addition to “eliminating armaments of all kinds, Public Law 87-297 also transfers the
entire armed forces of the United States to the United Nations on a permanent basis! You will find
this information in a State Department document entitled “FREEDOM FROM WAR: The United
States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World. The United States
would then have no national army, no navy, no marines and no air force of its own. All national
armed forces are scheduled to be transferred on a permanent basis to the world army that is being
trained to patrol the world. This would change the United States’ form of government so that it
would coincide with a world government system.

Thirteenth: In light of such shocking planning, one can easily see why the Small Arms Treaty or
similar legislation is now being pushed for enactment: to force U.S. citizens to surrender their
firearms! If this is allowed to happen, the people of America would lose all their authority over their
own country; their own lives, and their own destiny.

Fourteenth: Unpleasant as it is to realize, we must understand that our Constitutional government is
in the process of being overthrown on a gradual basis. Because of the pressure coming from the
United Nations upon the United States, the way has been paved for one of the two documents for
general and complete disarmament to impinge upon our nation. Meanwhile, Page 340 and Page 341
plowed the ground for the ability of a radical treaty to be able to take over our country.

Fifteenth: Keep in mind that this deception could not have happened in only one state, when the
federal government needed all of the states to agree. Other states, more than likely, have the same
two pages as California, but with a different number on the bottom of the page in their governor’s
Master Set of State Standards and Goals; however, it will be difficult to get a mid-seventies
governor in any other state to admit to having allowed LEAA to add any citizen unauthorized pages.

Sixteenth: It is hard for freedom loving Americans to determine what the work will be to turn this disaster around – whatever it takes to right this great wrong that has happened, but one thing is for sure: a beginning must be made! The people, who want to stay an armed republic, “and keep limits on the power that man can exercise over this fellow man,” had better quickly understand that they have been seriously misled. They are now set up for a wipeout of all their personal firearms.

If the Small Arms Treaty or other similar legislation becomes signed, the federal government will feel that it already has “the permission for the government to disarm the people”. It is their “mission” to be able to prohibit arms! The federal government will fight on to continue the fabrication that they caused to exist. Meanwhile, the general population, full of gun owners, will feel that their permission was never given for the federal government to disarm them! They will believe that they are “protected by the Second Amendment” (which is still really true!); however, the tumult is inevitable because the people will not know that their protection has been grievously and falsely reversed!

Seventeenth: A civil war is inevitable! It is hoped that by exposing this scandal now, a solution can be worked out and an upcoming civil war may be prevented. Only if a great number of citizens will join in and demand that these false pages be exposed and eradicated, will the people then have a chance to stop the evil intentions of the United Nations and the federal government.

If the federal government ever succeeds in completely disarming the citizens, they will void the Constitution and the Bill of Rights, and change the United States from a republic into a fully operating socialist world dictatorship which is already partly built!

Eighteenth: The first step interested persons should take is to obtain a copy of Public Law 87-297\(^2\). It is on the Internet! Secondly, ask your Congressman why he has not made a move to repeal this law! It is his duty to defend the Bill of Rights! Where is the consent of the governed for this law? There is none! As things stand now, the American people are in a very serious and dangerous situation, which should not be allowed to stand as the United Nations pushes for enactment of disarmament plans. If we fail to eliminate the problem of Page 340 and Page 341, the change will result in the changing of our form of government into a socialist world-wide dictatorship, which is neither wanted or authorized.

A BILL TO REPEAL THE UNITED STATES MEMBERSHIP IN THE UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION

A bill to rescind and revoke membership of the State of ________________ in the United Nations and the specialized agencies thereof, and for other purposes.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF ________________:

Section 1. That from and after the effective date of this ACT the ratification of the Senate of the United States on July 28, 1945, of the United Nations Charter, making the State of ________________ a member of the United Nations, be, and said ratification hereby is, rescinded, revoked and held for naught; and ALL ACTS and parts of ACTS designed and intended to carry out such membership of the State of ________________ in the United Nations, are hereby repealed.

Section 2. Effective date. This ACT shall become effective immediately upon its passage and approval by the governor or its otherwise becoming law.
Please take the form on the reverse side
to your federal senator and ask him to support
the effort to remove our nation from the
control of the United Nations influence.