DON'T BE FOOLED BY THE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATIES

Once again, by applying an innocentsounding name or title to a goal, which is not so innocent, it helps the evil aspirations of internationalists to pull the wool over the eyes of the unsuspecting public! This time an innocent sounding title is being used which glorifies the subversive Human Rights Treaties. This beguiling action replaces the inherent and natural rights of the people and substitutes "rights" that conform to totalitarian goals and world-wide management systems. Through the years, many of our presidents (George W. Bush is no exception!) have been forcing other nations to accept these communist Human Rights Treaties lest they run the risk of losing U.S. foreign aid. A section in the U.S. Foreign Aid & Assistance Act of 1961 supports this fact.

A false impression of these treaties is being given to the people of the United States, so that they will continue to be the suppliers of the money that is required to finance the 1961 Foreign Aid & Assistance Act.

Unfortunately, the people continue to honor the term "human rights" that they so fleetingly hear mentioned on a media broadcast without contest. Contrary to the impression given by our presidents in news releases, the treaties really are not intended to stop war crimes and related cruelties. As you review the treaties you will see that this is not their intention; instead, these treaties are codifying laws for the rights of (communist) man, laying down policies for world government management, and erecting segments of the world judiciary system. The underpinnings of the world court are being erected. Those rights that international law will allow to U.S. citizens are to be subject to restrictions and modifications in accordance to changes that occur in international law.

The initial enticements can easily be dropped later after adoption of these treaties.

When the United Nations organization first presented these four Human Rights Treaties (covenants), they described them as a "Global Bill of Rights" which took them "thirty years of endeavor". They are undeniably a communist Bill of Rights. Accordingly, it has become a RIGHT -- FOR EVERYONE -- ON A WORLD SCALE (whether they earn it or not) -- to receive adequate food, clothing, housing, health care, recreation, etc. As government steps in to fulfill these "rights" -- regardless of what name you may choose to call it -- it still IS communism!

Have you ever wondered what is happening to the rights we speak of as being our <u>birthrights</u>, meaning those rights that are confirmed by the Americanist Bill of Rights and defined by it as being <u>unalienable</u>, and an <u>endowment from the Creator</u>? The answer is that our birthrights are being detruded and superseded by these United Nations Human Rights Treaties!

The demotion is immediately apparent: our birthrights are being removed from God's authority to man's authority. Henceforth, our rights will be subject to alteration by the humanists, communists, and socialists who control these Human Rights Treaties.

The world judiciary that has been erected negates our own rightful judiciary. It must be recognized that we are undergoing many changes *forced* upon us as a result of various other interrelated treaties signed by many U.S. presidents. The result is that your right to control your local government, the direction of your state and nation, and

even the course of your own personal life, is now being eliminated.

Meanwhile, Congress is passing laws to negate the principles within the Americanist Bill of Rights, which delete those rights that our founding fathers confirmed and declared as not being subject to repeal. This situation will continue, provided you allow these treaties to stand.

Lyndon B. Johnson signed the first Human Rights Treaty in 1966. James E. Carter signed the other three of the four treaties in 1977. Not all have been ratified by the Senate of the United States; however, the required number of worldwide ratifications by countries that are members of the United Nations has been acquired by that international body; therefore, these treaties are considered to be "in force on an international level". All four treaties are now considered to be binding upon the United States without ratification, accessions, or adherences due to U.S. membership in It is required that U.N. the U.N. members adhere to all treaties that have been ratified within the General Assembly.

Sandra Day O'Connor was selected for the appointment to the U.S. Supreme Court because reportedly, she was prepared to make decisions in favor of international human rights. John Foster Dulles has *falsely stated* that treaties supersede the U.S. Constitution and are supreme law of this land. This is not true!

Before you deem it so essential to rally in support of our president's call to defend "human rights", better familiarize yourself with them first.

Note: A copy of the Human Rights Treaties are available upon request.