


YOUR RIGHT TO ARMS IS SAVED



BY THE FACTS AND AFFIDAVIT !

YOUR RIGHT TO ARMS IS SAVED WITH FACTS & THE AFFIDAVIT

FACT: The notarized Affidavit is a *legal document*. You should save it! It will countermand the false statements and arrangements of anti-gun officials who may claim they have permission from the people to override the Second Amendment and prohibit all handgun ownership. That is not true! They do not!

FACT: Government has no power to take away firearms from law-abiding people! It is a natural God- given right over which public officials have no authority. They have falsified a document to deceive you, and entered 2 pages, added at the back of the final Master Set of Standards & Goals intended to gain the “will of the people”. At the back, these pages gained mobility. They could be easily and quickly removed and hidden when anyone should appear, *outside of the loop of the subversive team players*. Therefore, the two unauthorized pages caused no suspicion, and no one could see that an unlawful and unscrupulous “fix” had been performed. However, by “sneaking” bogus pages into final Committee work, being able to either remove and hide them, or be able to show them, anti-gunners cannot truly nullify or ban an essential natural right!

FACT: John F. Kennedy signed P.L.87-297. It goes against Constitutional law! It should have been declared invalid and stopped by the Sixteenth Jurisprudence. A fraud has been committed by the “Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), which was established by the federal government to *revise* and *alter* all states’ Standards and Goals. The damaging evidence was first discovered in California.

FACT: LEAA’s bogus pages, added to the back of the Master Set most likely will be used to authorize “permission” and ‘allow’ passage of the unlawful “Small Arms Treaty”, now necessary to “tie in” with Kennedy’s law (P. L. 87-297 for “general and complete disarmament”); otherwise, there is no basis to support their action. Unless the government cheated, there was no other way in which it could claim the authority to take away handguns from the people. The 2 pages are a fraud. Some frauds do not carry time limits.

FACT: Even though the 340th page was listed as a “recommendation,” the dictionary defines the word as “an approval; an acceptance”, the “go-ahead”. There were seventeen Citizen Advisory Committees who worked in the project in California, the “pilot state” for the nation, and it was called “*Project: Safer California*”. The systems engineer who examined the revised standards and goals said they were acceptable for use under a *militarized* management system. LEAA would not have retired as a group if they had not achieved the nation-wide mission for which they were formed: national acceptance of Page 340

FACT: You will someday be forced to deal with this situation: a falsified permission, entered into the government records, a *bogus paper, falsely professing to have the necessary permission of the people to allow government officials to restrict all privately owned handguns; to allow for the future creation of a Surrender Agency for the disposal of personal firearms; and to authorize stop-and-frisk searches to retrieve handguns from people and/or automobile, plus an agreement confirming approval of changes.*

FACT: Inevitably, demands will be made for house-to-house searches, already discussed by the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. Liberty and freedom are empty words without the means to protect them. *Without guns, there is no liberty or freedom!* The quest for a just government, and retention of

sovereignty is not possible, unless we protect the “*Bill of Rights*, and safeguard its arms, by which a just system of government can only be maintained. *The Bill of Rights is not subject to repeal revoke or rescind.*

FACT: The Disarmament Law” does apply to the nation as a whole – to each and every state! Consequently, the “mission” set for LEAA to achieve, would, therefore, have been for LEAA to “*acquire*” the so-called “*permission*” from each and every state in order to be able to commit this nation to “general and complete disarmament capabilities”. *To them, it mattered not that they had to “sneak” “permission”!*

FACT: It may be difficult to prove in the other 49 states unless circumstantial evidence is given its proper consideration. The falsified maneuver, caught in California, has become a “Rosetta Stone” for all the states -- the records in the other 49 states are tremendously *suspect* for having been also victimized.


FACT: During the 1975-1976 time period, the final “*Master Set of Standards and Goals*” in California was about a 2” thick document, and it was passed on by all the Citizen Advisory Committees (CAC), without their knowledge of the *moveable* two added pages. It moved for approval by the 21 State Criminal Justice Regions set up for this endeavor. The approval of local government was obtained by circulating to the 58 counties. The approval of the gun owning public to nullify their Second Amendment in the whole state, was already achieved by the CAC/LEAA representing them, whether they knew it or not! Then the final work with the public was over, and the Master Set was ready for the California governor, to issue a proclamation, declaring the whole document as “law” !

FACT: California’s time scheduling had gotten delayed because of the citizen’s discovery of the added pages. As governor, Ronald Reagan had been replaced at the first of the new year in 1975 by Edmund “Jerry” Brown. Brown would not engage in any discourse with the protestors who had discovered the two added pages: Page 340 and Page 341. There never was any announcement by Brown’s administration that these two bogus pages had been removed from the governor’s Master Set. Newspaper accounts of California’s dealing in the gun subject have been gathered for many years, subsequently giving evidence that Page 340 was in control, and was still present, not only in California, but over the whole nation.

FACT: During the time LEAA was operating, it also broke ground for the creation of the Homeland Security Department. It was at that same time period that the merger of the police and the military first showed up in Governor Ronald Reagan’s “Blue Book”. When you consider the contents of the United Nations Program for “General and Complete Disarmament” (Public Law 87-297) the massive anti-gun law passed by Congress, in 1961 you will no longer wonder why the federal government would be doing this. The federal government could not do the impossible, yet they had to acquire the permission of the people to prohibit possession, use, etc. of all handguns! They believed they could supersede the *Bill of Rights* by *cheating*. Only by blowing the whistle on these “change agents” will we, The People, be able to stop them from their intent to render us completely disarmed, while they ruin the Bill of Rights, under a New World Order.

Affidavit

February 9, 2011

| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | |
|---|---|
| State of California County of <u>Kings</u> | |
| On <u>February 10, 2011</u> before me, <u>Lisseth Sanchez, Notary Public</u> (insert name and title of the officer) | |
| personally appeared <u>Bernadine F. Smith</u> who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/(her) their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/(her) their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. | |
| I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. | |
| WITNESS my hand and official seal. | |
| Signature <u>Lisseth Sanchez</u> (Seal) |  |

**Statement by Bernadine Smith to attest to the fact that
there never was any approval given by the people, nor their representatives,
for the inclusion of the 340th Page in the final Master Set of California
State Standards and Goals, entitled "Handguns in American Society."**

I, Bernadine Smith, attest to the fact that the people of the State of California have never given their approval for the prohibition of their privately owned and privately possessed handguns. There does not exist any valid or true authorization from the people for the government to be able to prohibit private possession of handguns. I am a witness to the fact that the inclusion of the 340th Page in the final Master Set of California State Standards and Goals, entitled "Handguns in American Society," was falsified and secretly inserted.

This affidavit is to certify that while I was in Sacramento, California, I spoke directly on December 4, 1974 to Mrs. Donna Vinton, who was a member of Committee No. 14, called the *Systemwide Elements of Corrections Committee*. She was listed as a public member from La Mesa, California.

Two people brought her over to speak to me that day. She told me that she "never missed a meeting of the full committee nor a meeting of the sub-committee. We never studied the gun issue!"

The gun issue was never brought up by any of the 17 working Citizens Advisory Committees in the State of California, nor their attending Sub-committees attached to "*Project Safer California*".

"*Project Safer California*" was undertaken during the gubernatorial administrations of Governor Ronald Reagan/Governor Jerry Brown, under the leadership of the federally created Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (L.E.A.A.). It participated nationwide, revising all of the Standards and Goals for all of the states in the nation.


No one knew that a prohibition had been entered into the final Master Set of California's State Standards and Goals, until the California Governor's Master Set was obtained and reviewed by a patriot man who was versed in systems analysis. He discovered Page 340 and 341 were the last two pages in the Master Set. He provided me with a copy of the Master Set. As a result an appointment was made for me to confer with Governor Reagan on December 4, 1974. I was the spokesman for a group of 12 prominent California citizens and I charged that Reagan was "selling us out". Reagan denied that he was promoting a plan to prohibit handgun ownership; however, I insisted that he would not be scheduled for such a gubernatorial proclamation which would enact the Master Set as law in California without first obtaining his consent and consulting with him! It was to be signed on December 31, 1974, New Years Eve, at which time most people would not be paying any attention to what was going on in government. Reagan sent me a letter in which he declined to sign the proclamation. The subject matter moved over to Jerry Brown's administration. As governor, Brown refused to give me an appointment to discuss the issue, and there was no word ever sent that Page 340 had been deleted from the Master Set in "*Project Safer California*".

The anti-gunners want to go down in history as having achieved the people's approval for prohibiting handguns, even if they had to falsify the record! Since Governor Brown would not confer with me, nor assure that Page 340 had been eliminated from the Master Set, the constant laws that are being written on state and federal levels, infringing upon the Second Amendment, indicate that L.E.A.A. has completed its assignment of falsifying records nation-wide. It appears that handgun prohibition may not only sit on California's books, but very possibly, a similar page as that which was discovered in California's Master Set has been included 'on the books' of other states as well.

In summary, neither the state nor the federal government can over-ride the Second Amendment in the Bill of Rights *without the permission of the people*. Getting permission of the people, by hook or crook, was the mission that L.E.A.A. was assigned, and indications today are such that L.E.A.A. *completed* their assignment *albeit that it has been achieved unlawfully and illegally!*

Bernadine Smith

The Federal Fabian Socialists produced it, and
the State Fabian Socialists are enacting it...



195 Pages
Produced in 1973

Handguns In American Society

RECOMMENDATIONS

Enforcement of Current Laws

The Commission recommends that existing Federal, State, and local laws relating to handguns be strenuously enforced. It further recommends that States undertake publicity campaigns to educate the public fully about laws regulating the private possession of handguns.

Penalties for Crimes Committed with a Handgun

The Commission urges enactment of State legislation providing for an extended prison term with a maximum term of 25 years for committing a felony while in possession of a handgun.

Stop-and-Frisk Searches

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation providing for police discretion in stop-and-frisk searches of persons and searches of automobiles for illegal handguns.

Prohibiting the Manufacture of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the manufacture of handguns, their parts, and ammunition within the State, except for sale to law enforcement agencies or for military use.

Prohibiting the Sale of Handguns

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation prohibiting the sale of handguns, their parts, and ammunition to other than law enforcement agencies or Federal or State governments for military purposes.

Establishing a State Gun Control Agency

The Commission urges the enactment of State legislation establishing and funding a State agency authorized to purchase all voluntarily surrendered handguns, and further authorized to register and modify handguns to be retained by private citizens as curios, museum pieces, or collector's items.

Prohibiting the Private Possession of Handguns

The Commission further urges the enactment of State legislation not later than January 1, 1983, prohibiting the private possession of handguns after that date.

Page 142
→

Page 143
→

Page 143
→

Page 144
→


←
Page 144

←
Page 145

←
Page 145

...not because of crime! It is really being done to comply
with the law calling for complete disarmament of the
nation! Public Law 87-297

SOURCE BOOK FOR CREATION OF PAGE 340



Chapter 9 of the federal book on the far right, named “A National Strategy to Reduce Crime”, is the source from which the content of Page 340 was drawn. The purpose has been to promote conditions to co-incide with the enacted federal law (Public Law 87-297, the “Arms Control and Disarmament Act” signed in 1961 for *general and complete disarmament of the United States*). The intent is to totally disarm all decent, law-abiding citizens of the United States, and to transfer our entire national armed forces, on a permanent basis, to the communist-led United Nations.

CONCLUSION

It has been proven that the basis upon which the federal government intends to enact and enforce provisions of the Small Arms Treaty is without any lawful foundation. Conversely, this treaty runs counter to the original basic principles and reason why our nation's founders established this republic: safety and security for good and decent people. Isn't it time to review the reasons written into the Preamble to the Constitution: "...in order to form a more perfect union, to establish justice, to insure domestic tranquility, to provide for the common defense..." . It was established for ourselves and our posterity.

As he was leaving office, after his eight years of service, George Washington gave us this advice on how to protect ourselves against ruination, as he said in his "Farewell Address, referring to keeping the Constitution intact: *"...it is requisite, not only, that you steadily discountenance irregular opposition to its acknowledged authority, but also that you resist with care the spirit of innovation upon its principles, however specious the pretext. One method of assault may be to effect, in the forms of the Constitution, alterations which will impair the energy of the system; and thus to undermine what cannot be directly overthrown".*

In our time we find the federal government is trying to enact a treaty that runs opposite of the most basic underlying principle of good government, one which the founders built into the system: a common defense (national armed forces) and an armed citizenry. The common defense was to be supported by the people, who as "a well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state...." would also always be armed, to give broad support and protection to preservation of our rights, liberty and freedom. A well regulated militia meant that it was composed of the *whole* people.

What has been entered into our current day Master Set of Standards and Goals (Page 340 and Page 341) really does not represent "the will of the people". Since there never was any real "permission" anywhere, or at any time, given from the people of any of the fifty United States of America to allow our national armed forces to be transferred to a foreign government (United Nations); nor was there any permission given by the people to prohibit themselves from keeping and bearing arms in defense of a free state, the fact is that the federal government has been taken over by wrong doers and is operating against the law!

There never was an authorization from the people to allow, grant, permit, or recommend that they deny themselves of the endowment that has been given to them from the Creator: the right to keep and bear arms. The solution is simple: (a) The Second Amendment confirms that the right is a God-given endowment. (b) The federal government has no authority to interpose and grievously interfere with this endowment. (c) The state itself has an obligation to see that the Second Amendment is enforced (d) The keeping and bearing of arms by decent law-abiding citizens of the United States is an obligation free men owe to each other: to maintain the republic. (e) The Second Amendment of the "*Bill of Rights*" is unrepeatable! No man can change that law in the "*Bill of Rights*" as it will always be necessary in order to protect the people against tyranny in government. (f) It is the duty and obligation of the people to be vigilant and protect the "*Bill of Rights*".

The following is Section 177 of Sixteenth American Jurisprudence :

**LAW OF THE LAND;
Sixteenth American Jurisprudence,
SECTION 177**

The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U. S. Constitution is the Supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement.

It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law to be valid, one must prevail. This is succinctly stated as follows:

The general rule is that an unconstitutional statute, though having the form and name of law, is in reality no law, but is wholly void, and ineffective for any purpose since unconstitutionality dates from the time of its enactment, and not merely from the date of the decision so branding it an unconstitutional law.

In legal contemplation, it is as inoperative as if it had never been passed...

Since an unconstitutional law is void the general principles follow;

that it imposes no duties, confers no rights, creates no office, bestows no power of authority on anyone, affords no protection, and justifies no acts performed under it.

A void law cannot be legally consistent with a valid one. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law.

Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby.

No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no court is bound to enforce it.

Sixteenth American Jurisprudence SECTION 177

In case the treaty gets “passed” in spite of all efforts, -- Rebus Sic Stantibus -- the international premier principle for nullifying a treaty -- can be demanded.

Some members of the Congress may be new, or young people who may not know that such laws as Public Law 87-297 and Public Law 101-216 have been passed, and are “sitting and waiting for the right time to call for their enforcement”. Not all members of Congress are aware of these previously passed bills, or the continual changes caused by them year after year. The momentum and dynamics of the truly operating Constitutional system took many decades to unwind, and was near impossible to subvert. It took time, but when the United Nations Charter was signed, the hope of the global planners was revived. As one Congressional representative (William E. Jenner) said: “There is a revolution built into that thing.” Jenner read the Charter and saw that a communist organization would someday be given control over our nation, and set the Constitution aside, especially when the entire military force of the United States was going to be taken under U.N. control.

Young members of Congress have been raised to glorify the United Nations. They may not even know that foreign troops have been trained to go house-to-house and search for firearms belonging to themselves, their parents, and friends. Possibly, they do not know that a deliberate plan exists to bring about the collapse of our government (The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform). Nor do they understand that the reason we have become “regionalized” is for the eventual elimination of our systems of 50 states. Some younger members of the Congress were just graduating from high school when P.L. 101-216 was being passed. They were never told that it interlocked with P. L. 87-297. Nor were they aware that all power taken from the states was first consolidated on the federal level, and then was being transferred to the international level. Hopefully, this mailing will be a “wake up” call.

John Adams said that “Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate for the government of any other”. In 1778 James Madison said: “We have staked the whole future of American civilization, not upon the power of government, far from it. We have staked the future...upon the capacity of each and all of us to govern ourselves, to sustain ourselves, according to the Ten Commandments of God.” Our destruction as a republic began when we signed the United Nations Charter in 1945, with that organization planning to seize our national armed forces, our personal firearms, and establishing a world government with a “world religion” destined to replace Christianity.

Would it not be better to return to being a *free people* living under a republic with a system that puts limits on the power that man can exercise over his fellow man, than to continue the drive of those who are “remaking” the people, and adopting a world socialist/communist governing system? Why can’t we return to the 1789 Constitutional intent with morals and values the nation held, when it was in its greatest glory? That was before we followed the intent of the United Nations Charter, the “grand design” of some, toward “ruling the world” under one system. It has caused us so many enemies and brought us into bankruptcy. John Adams said: “*Liberty, once lost, is lost forever*”.