

The oath included in the Constitution for all public officials to take was written expressly for them by the Founding Fathers in order to keep them from exceeding the limits of power which the people have delegated unto them in state and federal Constitutions, and to make them subject to punishment if they exceed the limits of the authority to which they have been assigned. Keep in mind that delegated power is not surrendered power!



**VIOLATION  
OF THE OATH OF OFFICE  
IS  
A SERIOUS OFFENSE!**

There must be collusion amongst federal officials and state governors in order for these massive changes to transpire. Obviously, this madness affects the security and economic stability of the individual states!



IT IS A HIGH CRIME TO TAKE  
THE OATH OF OFFICE AND  
THEN GIVE AWAY  
THE ARMED FORCES,  
CLOSE OUR BASES,  
AND DISARM  
THE PEOPLE!



IT WOULD BE  
IMPOSSIBLE FOR  
THE GOVERNORS  
NOT TO KNOW  
THE DAMAGE BY  
CLOSING THE  
BASES, GIVING  
AWAY OUR  
ARMED  
FORCES, AND  
TAKING AWAY  
THE PEOPLE'S  
FIREARMS  
UNDER  
PUBLIC LAW  
#87-297 !

THE  
PRESIDENT  
MUST  
BE HELD  
TO ANSWER  
FOR  
SIGNING  
GUN  
BILLS  
AND  
UNLAWFUL  
"TREATIES".

FEDERAL AND STATE COLLUSION



Friends,

You

can

only....



**Stand by the president  
only as long as he stands  
by the Constitution.  
No man is above the law!**

Every United States President, since F.D.R., bar none, has supported the surrender of U.S. sovereignty to the United Nations, which is controlled by communists. There is a revolution built into the U.N. Charter which intends to overthrow U.S. government! Wake up, folks!

When the Security Council and the General Assembly of the United Nations pass resolutions, they are routed to the heads of state of every member country in the world. It then becomes the duty of the head of state to push for enactment of the resolution by whatever means there is at his disposal. In the United States, the president uses executive orders as one means to achievement installation. For example, the alignment of the U.S.A. into the United Nations' ten "regions" came into being as a result of President Richard Nixon issuing Executive Order # 11647 in 1972. Now Geo. Bush is calling for a new form of government for the U.S.A. THIS IS AGAINST THE LAW! IT IS A HIGH CRIME!

Resolution Adopted by the Twelfth General Assembly  
November 14, 1957  
(on the report of the First Committee (A/3709))

1145 (XII). Regulation, limitation and balanced reduction of all armed forces and all armaments; conclusion of an international Convention (treaty) on the reduction of armaments and the prohibition of atomic, hydrogen and other weapons of mass destruction

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 806 (IX) of 4 November 1954,

Emphasizing the urgency of decreasing the danger of war and improving the prospects of a durable peace through achieving international agreement on reduction, limitation and open inspection of armaments and armed forces,

Welcoming the narrowing of differences which has resulted from the extensive negotiations in the Sub-Committee of the Disarmament Commission,

Believing that immediate, carefully measured steps can be taken for partial measures of disarmament and that such steps will facilitate further measures of disarmament,

1. Urges that the States concerned, and particularly those which are members of the Sub-Committee of the Disarmament Commission, give priority to reaching a disarmament agreement which, upon its entry into force, will provide for the following:

(a) The immediate suspension of testing of nuclear weapons with prompt installation of effective international control, including inspection posts equipped with appropriate scientific instruments located within the territories of the United States of America, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, in Pacific Ocean areas, and at other points as required;

(b) The cessation of the production of fissionable materials for weapons purposes and the complete cessation of future production of fissionable materials for non-weapon purposes under effective international control;

(c) The reduction of stocks of nuclear weapons through a programme of inspections, on an equitable and reciprocal basis and under international supervision, of stocks of fissionable material from weapons use to non-weapon use;

(d) The reduction of armed forces and armaments through adequate, self-enforced arrangements;

(e) The progressive establishment of open inspection with ground and aerial components to guard against the possibility of surprise attack;

(f) The joint study of an inspection system designed to ensure that the handling of atomic through other areas shall be equitably for peaceful and scientific purposes;

2. Requests the Disarmament Commission to reconstitute its Sub-Committee as soon as feasible for this purpose;

3. Requests the Disarmament Commission to invite its Sub-Committee to establish, as one of its first tasks, a group or groups of technical experts to study inspection systems for disarmament purposes on which the Sub-Committee may reach agreement in principle and to report to it within a fixed period;

4. Recommends that any such technical group or groups be composed of one expert from each of the States members of the Sub-Committee and one from each of three other States Members of the United Nations which shall be designated by the Secretary-General in consultation with the Sub-Committee;

5. Invites the States concerned, and particularly those which are members of the Sub-Committee, to consider the possibility of devoting, out of the funds made available as a result of disarmament, as and when sufficient progress is made, additional resources to the improvement of living conditions throughout the world, and especially in the less developed countries;

6. Requests the Sub-Committee to report to the Disarmament Commission by 31 April 1958 on the progress achieved.

Twelfth plenary meeting,  
14 November 1957.



George Bush

We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order, a world where the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful, and we will be, we have a real chance at this new world order, an order in which a credible United Nations can see its peacekeeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders. 1-17-91

This includes your own.

General Assembly Resolution 1145 (XII)  
Question of Disarmament, December 20, 1957

The General Assembly,  
Fearing with anxiety that the continuing arms race is a heavy burden for the humanity and is fraught with dangers for the peace of world peace,

1. Considers its responsibilities under the Charter of the United Nations;

2. Recalling its resolution 806 (IX) of 4 November 1954, in which it called upon Governments to make every effort to achieve a complete cessation of the production of atomic and nuclear weapons, and the reduction of stocks of atomic and nuclear weapons, and to reach agreement on the handling of atomic and nuclear areas to peaceful and scientific purposes;

3. Being deeply concerned that the objective of that resolution is not being fully achieved,

4. Fearing with particular anxiety the report submitted to the General Assembly by the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United States of America following their exchange of views on questions relating to disarmament and to the resumption of negotiations in an appropriate body;

5. Urges the joint statement of the Governments of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics and the United States of America of 12 November 1957, and the joint statement of the Governments of the United States of America and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland of 12 November 1957;

6. Recommends that negotiations on ground and aerial inspection systems should be based upon these principles;

7.

8. Being a generalist that negotiations on ground and aerial inspection systems should be based upon these principles;

9. Desiring that all States have a free access to disarmament negotiations;

10. Endorses the agreement that has been reached on the proposal of the Disarmament Commission, which membership will be: Great Britain, France, Canada, Czechoslovakia, Belgium, France, India, Italy, Mexico, Nigeria, Poland, Romania, Sweden, Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, United Arab Emirates, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland and United States of America;

11. Recommends that the Committee, in a matter of the same nature, should undertake negotiations with a view to reaching, on the basis of the joint statement of general principles and taking into account, inter alia, paragraph 4 of those principles, agreement on ground and aerial inspection systems under effective international control;

12. Requests that the Committee submit to the General Assembly a report on each agreement or view as it has been reached, and to set out any request to the Disarmament Commission, not later than 1 June 1958, a report on the progress achieved;

13. Requests the Secretary-General to make the necessary arrangements and provide the necessary services to the Committee.



**These clippings report what has been going on in recent months of this year.**

**We've been put in a totally unlawful set of circumstances.**

**It's bad deal, folks, no matter how you want to slice it. Wake up!**

**White House backs with  
standby U.N. army Clinton's  
help!!**  
Funding circumvents Congress' wishes

By George Archibald  
Washington Post

Congressional officials are in-  
vestigating \$100,000 the Clinton  
administration gave the United  
Nations last fall as seed money to  
mobilize a worldwide standby  
army for humanitarian operations.  
The State Department in-  
vestigation is the latest blow  
to a controversial bill about the  
United Nations' Capital Hill.

'97 peace  
MISSIONS  
cost U.S.  
\$3 billion  
UN critics argue  
bill crases arrears

By George Archibald  
Washington Post

**U.N. will  
increase  
size of  
payroll**

Personnel on loan  
to be transferred

By George Archibald  
Washington Post

U.N. will increase size of payroll

**U.N. officials wasted  
millions on supplies**

Corruption flourished on Annan's watch  
By George Archibald  
Washington Post

and overpriced services.  
The audit disclosed serious  
management deficiencies and in-

**U.S. could lose  
U.N. voting power  
if dues aren't paid**

Annan to take on issue in visit here

Their latest  
extortion  
attempt.

Taxation without  
Representation

**Americans  
shut out  
of crucial  
U.N. posts**

But U.S. must pay  
one-fourth of costs

By George Archibald  
Washington Post

No American holds a top decision-making post at the United Nations, even though the United States pays one-fourth of the U.N. costs.  
A survey of 100 nations by The Washington Post - that the

**World  
criminal  
court  
created**

U.S. takes stand,  
but loses in Rome

By George Archibald  
Washington Post

It's a done  
deal!



**WHAT DOES IT TAKE TO MAKE PEOPLE  
REALIZE THAT THE INTENT OF THE  
UNITED NATIONS IS TO ERODE OUR  
NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY?**

**NEW WORLD ORDER BRIEFS:**

*"Clearly we cannot meet the challenges of the new millennium with an instrument designed for the very different circumstances of the middle of the twentieth century. Make no mistake about it, the anticipated reforms will erode national sovereignty, infringe on personal liberties, and lead the world into a system of global governance. Sovereignty has been the cornerstone of the inter-state system. In an increasingly interdependent world, however, the notions of territoriality, independence, and non-intervention have lost some of their meaning. It is time to think about self-determination in the context of a global neighborhood rather than a world of separate states." -- UN Secretary General Kofi Annan, Council on Foreign Relations speech, 4/22/97*

*The McAlvany Intelligence Advisor, September 1999*

**How can anyone expect to sustain national sovereignty  
when the United Nations has already been granted  
total and permanent control over all  
United States Armed Forces and  
law enforcement systems?**

**Look at this!**

**Here's some of the reasons they want your guns!**



**Here's some of the stuff that is going on, folks. I call it tyranny against the Constitution. What do you call it?**

**DELIBERATELY INCREASING CRIME!**

**California plan to abolish the United States!**

**Deliberate plan to collapse American government!**

**Elimination of Bill of Rights!  
E.O. 13107**

**Selling off counties  
E.O. 12803!**

**NO PRIVATE OWNERSHIP OF LAND ALLOWED!**

**No political representatives-computer management!**

**ERASING NATIONAL BOUNDARIES!**

**Unconstitutional U.N. Charter passed off as a treaty!**





## **California has led in some of the worst things!**

California State Senator Alan Cranston was the one who headed up Assembly Joint Resolution #26 which enlisted California under world government. He had 25 other states signed up before he was stopped. When California dropped out, that attempt lost out.

California (under the Reagan/Nixon alliance) led the nation as the pilot state during the reign of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration which engineered the nation into a "national police force"; "merged the military with the civilian police systems for a military government; sneaked Page 310 goals behind the scenes to make gun prohibition happen; endangered the states by advancing regional government; etc. etc.

California used L.E.A.A. funds to finance the California Specialized Training Institute for teaching how to convert civilian government into military operation and assisted in building F.E.M.A.

California was home to the leftists known as the "Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions" where the world government constitutions and "Newstates Constitution" were drafted.

California taxpayers' money was taken to finance the study on how to collapse our states in order to convert the system under international management (Houlihan Plan).

California led the nation in the gun grab with the Roos-Roberti anti-gun law, the most strict legislation in the nation.

California now leads the nation in the recall of personal firearms. Page 310 is has been the guideline for more than 25 years, and

**I predict that what is happening in California will happen to every state if it is not stopped in California.**

**This law was on California's books for one year before enough strength was garnered to repeal it.**



**This would wipe me out!**

**STATUTES**

# STATUTES OF CALIFORNIA 1949

CONSTITUTION OF 1879 AS AMENDED  
MEASURES SUBMITTED TO VOTE  
OF ELECTORS, 1948

GENERAL LAWS, AMENDMENTS TO  
CODES, RESOLUTIONS, AND  
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

PASSED AT THE  
1949 REGULAR SESSION OF  
THE LEGISLATURE



**542491**



Capital

Ralph S. Dills, one of the co-authors of the California Plan was still holding office until 1997. He was an extreme liberal who held office for 41-yrs. He was stopped from running by term limits.

# REPORTER



JANUARY 12, 1958 Published Weekly—\$3.00 per year—P. O. Box 2003, Sacramento, Calif. VOL. IX · No. 2

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE:

## "CALIFORNIA PLAN" WOULD HAVE ABOLISHED THE UNITED STATES

California's politics may not have been as easy in the past as, perhaps, appeared on the horizon in other states during the depression days. Here we only had the socialist, Upton Sinclair, appear as a candidate on the democrat ticket for governor.

In the later thirties Calbert Olson became the first democrat of the century to guide the affairs of state. It was his administration that was so infected with communists that even the democrats could not take it. Sam Yorty and Jack Tenney, both democrats, started a "witch" hunt that ferreted out communists, pickets,

dupes and fellow travelers in all sorts of places.

But perhaps the most extreme venture into the fields of erratic legislation came during the administration of Earl Warren and a republican controlled legislature when Alan Cranston, now State Controller, convinced the legislators to pass a World Federalist proposal to abolish the United States.

"The California Plan", which would have amalgamated the sovereign U. S. A. in a world state, was so well-liked that the Assembly passed the resolution unanimously, and the Senate found only eight who opposed such a measure.

The resolution memorialized Congress to call a Constitutional Convention for the purpose of amending the United States Constitution to expedite and insure United States participation in a World Federal Government.

Several senators tried to rescind the 1949 resolution in the same session, but only ONE vote could be mustered for passage in the senate committee that considered the proposal.

In a special session that followed, the effort to get a rescinding action out of committee again failed, although two senators voted this time.

In March, 1950, after defeat on the floor of the senate and a motion to reconsider, the rescinding motion was passed without a vote to spare. The Assembly finally passed the measure with only two votes over the required majority.

About a month later, the proposed adopting the Cranston plan for liquidating the sovereignty of the United States. The plan of action of the United World Federalists had been

WHILE SOME OF THESE RECORDS SHOW THAT EFFORTS TO BRING A NEW CONSTITUTION UPON US HAVE EXISTED FOR A LONG TIME, THE PEOPLE INVOLVED INTENSIFIED THEIR EFFORT. THE DANGER IS WORSE TODAY. NOTE THE QUOTES FROM THE BOOK:

**"A NEW CONSTITUTION NOW"**

WHICH IS CURRENT THINKING OF THOSE WHO WANT TO OPEN UP A CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION.

WE DO NOT NEED-NOR WANT-A CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION!

**"A NEW CONSTITUTION NOW"**



HERE IS AN ORDER TO JAMES EARL RAYSON, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE NATIONAL FUTURE PARTY.

CANSTON'S RESOLUTION

*[Faded and mostly illegible text from a document, likely the Cranston Resolution mentioned in the sidebar.]*

U.S. STATES



**When you turn your armed forces,  
over to foreigners, do you really  
think you're going to have peace?**

## CHAPTER 96

*Assembly Joint Resolution No. 26—Relative to the participation  
of the United States in a world federal government.*

[Filed with Secretary of State April 2, 1942.]

WHEREAS, War is now a threat to the very existence of our civilization, because modern science has produced weapons of war which are overwhelmingly destructive and against which there is no sure defense; and

Participation  
of United  
States in a  
world  
federation

WHEREAS, The effective maintenance of world peace is the proper concern and responsibility of every American citizen; and

WHEREAS, The people of the State of California, while now enjoying domestic peace and security under the laws of their local, State and Federal Government, deeply desire the guarantee of world peace; and

WHEREAS, All history shows that peace is the product of law and order, and that law and order are the product of government; and

WHEREAS, The United Nations, as presently constituted, although accomplishing great good in many fields, lacks authority to enact, interpret or enforce world law, and under its present charter is incapable of restraining any major nations which may foster or foment war; and

WHEREAS, The charter of the United Nations expressly provides, in Articles 108 and 109, a procedure for reviewing and altering the charter; and

WHEREAS, The necessity for endowing the United Nations with limited powers rendering it capable of enacting, interpreting or enforcing world law adequate to prevent war, and guaranteeing the inalienable rights of freedom for every human being on earth and the dignity of the individual as exemplified by the American Bill of Rights, has been recognized in the California state conventions and platforms of both the Republican and Democratic parties; and



**Is it any wonder that the legislature in the State of California is foremost in the gun grab? Look what they were doing in 1919!**

Can you believe it?

WHEREAS, Many states have memorialized Congress, through resolutions by their state legislatures or in referenda by their voters, to initiate steps toward the creation of a world federal government reserving to the nations and to the people those rights not specifically granted as necessary to the establishment and the maintenance of world law and order; and

WHEREAS, Several nations have recently adopted constitutional provisions to facilitate their entry into a world federal government by authorizing a delegation to such a world federal government of a portion of their sovereignty sufficient to endow it with powers adequate to prevent war; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Assembly and Senate of the State of California, jointly, That application is hereby made to the Congress of the United States, pursuant to Article V of the Constitution of the United States, to call a convention for the sole purpose of proposing amendment of the Constitution to expedite and insure the participation of the United States in a world federal government, open to all nations, with powers which, while defined and limited, shall be adequate to preserve peace, whether the proposed charter or constitution of such world federal government be presented in the form of amendments to the charter of the United Nations, or by a world constitutional convention, or otherwise; and be it further

Resolved, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly be hereby directed to transmit copies of this application to the Senate and the House of Representatives of the Congress, to the members of the Senate and House of Representatives from this State, and to the presiding officers of each of the legislatures of the several states, requesting their cooperation.

Introduced by Senators Donnelly, Crittenden, Rich, Gibson, Jespersen, Parkman, Desmond, Tenney, Sutton, Brown, Weybret, Burns, Judah, Collier, Dorsey, Abshire, Watson, McBride, and Williams




...but it took 'em  
14 months to finally  
come up with this resolution!

June 21, 1949

REFERRED TO COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

*Senate Joint Resolution No. 36—Relative to withdrawing the application to Congress made by Assembly Joint Resolution No. 26 to propose a constitutional amendment for American participation in a World Federal Government.*

- 1       WHEREAS, Assembly Joint Resolution No. 26 was passed at  
2 a recent session of the Assembly of the State of California; and  
3       WHEREAS, That Assembly Joint Resolution urged an amend-  
4 ment to the Constitution of the United States permitting this  
5 Country's participation in a World Federal Government; and  
6       WHEREAS, It has come to the attention of certain Members  
7 of the Legislature that not all the pertinent facts relating to  
8 that subject were available and presented when this resolution  
9 was passed; and  
10       WHEREAS, Said resolution, if acted upon and fulfilled by  
11 the Government of the United States, would entail the surrender  
12 of our national sovereignty, bring into being a form of govern-  
13 ment whose authority would supersede that of the United States  
14 Government, and institute a system of laws whereby American  
15 citizens could be tried by citizens of other countries and impris-  
16 oned in foreign jails; now, therefore, be it  
17       Resolved by the Senate and Assembly of the State of Cali-  
18 fornia, jointly, That the proposal in Assembly Joint Resolution  
19 No. 26 be withdrawn; and, be it further  
20       Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate is hereby  
21 requested to transmit copies of this resolution to the Senate and  
22 House of Representatives of the Congress, to the members of  
23 the Senate and House of Representatives from this State, and  
24 to the presiding officer of each of the legislatures of the several  
25 states.



Catch on??  
It's for P.L.  
87-297!

**What is the**

**reason**

**that law makers**

**do not**

**differentiate between**

**criminals**

**and**

**law-abiding people?**

# DELIBERATELY INCREASING "CRIME" AS AN "O.K. REASON" TO CONTINUALLY REDUCE FIREARMS OWNERSHIP

These are some of the methods and programs that have been used to deliberately engineer an increase in crime:

Most crime is drug related. The importation of drugs is traceable to government sources.

Television has promoted violence, barbarous and uncivilized behavior, and has laid before the youth of America role models which denigrate and pollute our society. Talk show hosts parade deviate life styles and pan them off as the "norm", weakening the moral fiber of our society. Blame for sex crimes against children should be laid at the doorstep of the Supreme Court for ruling that pornography is an acceptable First Amendment right.

The youth have been subjected to liberal educational programs which weaken the traditional American virtues and culture.

Schools have mandated sex education upon young children, the consequence of which has forced them to assume adult responsibilities for which they are not prepared to handle.

Public libraries were closed during off-school hours and on weekends.

The time-proven moral guidelines were removed from the grade school curriculum, and in its place there was deliberately instituted a non-religious, humanistic document that shifts "morality" from traditional principles of morality, truth, justice, and patriotism to concern

for environment and social change.

Easy divorce laws contributed to the break up of the family which, in turn, often resulted in the delinquency of children.

Uncontrolled immigration which allows immigrants to enter the United States who do not understand our system and laws. They enter without proper screening or assimilation.

Russia opened its prison cells and allowed their criminals to migrate to the United States. The Russian mafia was allowed to take over big cities in the U. S.

Cuba opened its jails and allowed its worst criminals to migrate to the United States with very weak resistance by the United States government.

*Most crime is drug related. The importation of drugs is traceable to government sources.*

Experimental programs, such as the Probation Subsidy Program, which provided County Probation Departments with guidelines, awarded \$4,000 to the county for every convicted felon that would be allowed to return to the community under minimum supervision; despite the fact that the Bureau of Criminal Investigation reported that 22% of pro-

bation subsidy wards were re-arrested while still on probation.

Up until recently, laws were too soft on the criminal element who use firearms for ill-purposes.

Claiming that he could cut costs of the California operating budget, Ronald Reagan, as governor of the State of California, closed down the mental hospitals and put mentally disturbed people out on the streets to shift for themselves.

The death penalty was almost eliminated for a time, and then slowly the death penalty was attached to only a few specific crimes.

Laws are too soft on all criminal behavior, including murder for which a criminal is penalized for only 7 years or even less.

Parents have become fearful of disciplining their own children.

Increased socialism under the programs of the welfare state contributing to a decline in the incentive of the people to accept available work.

Laws discouraging law-abiding citizens from owning firearms encourages burglary and other crimes.

The planned collapse of government via the Houlihan Plan will dramatically increase unemployment and contribute to the increase of thievery.





BEFORE YOU ARE DEFRAUDED OUT OF  
YOUR INHERITANCE, BETTER TAKE A  
LOOK AT WHAT IT DOES FOR YOU.

### The United States Constitution:

1. It acknowledges the sovereignty of the Creator, the source<sup>\*</sup> from which we receive our endowment of *inalienable rights*, some of which are the right to *life*, to free speech, to freedom of the *press*, to *religion* of our own choosing, to keep and bear *arms*, to trial by *jury*, to *petition*, to seek *justice*, to *privacy*, to own *property*, to *due process*, etc.
2. It is the authority to judge, to act, or to command *against oppressive government*, prohibiting the quartering of soldiers in our homes, unreasonable searches and seizures, double jeopardy, excessive bail, cruel and inhuman punishment, violations of liberty, curtailment of rights, tyrannical rule, etc.
3. It puts *limits* on the power that man can exercise over his fellow man.
4. It reserves to the people and their *posterity* all rights and powers not specifically granted to government.
5. It guarantees a republican form of government in which the people are the *ultimate authority*.
6. It secures the right to liberty, freedom, and the pursuit of happiness.
7. It sustains our independence.
8. It allows people to be *self-governing*, to make decisions, to vote, to choose their representatives, and to judge the laws.
9. It promotes a more perfect union of our states, provides for their *common defense*, and insures domestic tranquility.
10. It furnishes a framework for the necessary separation of powers, sets standards for proper governmental operation, promotes the general welfare of the states, and provides *checks and balances* to maintain the stability and energy of the system.

**DON'T SURRENDER IT BY YOUR SILENCE.**

Second Amendment Committee

P.O. Box 1776

Hanford, Ca 93230

<sup>\*</sup>These are natural laws endowed to all people which no man can remove from his fellow man.



**Planning to erase our national borders**

**and our state boundary lines**

**is coming out from**

**the federal executive branch**

**of U. S. government.**

**Note that the map for a**

**"Dis-United United States"**

**is produced by geographers**

**working in the office of the**

**U. S. State Department!**

# MAPS: Geographers Redraw Future National Boundaries

Continued from Page 1  
 details of the future world map—the charts on these pages are composites based on the productions of half a dozen experts. (See notes on Page 5.)

But they do agree that rebarbing the globe will be the byproduct of several concurrent trends, ranging from the powerful pull of ethnicity and the spread of democracy to changes in the very concept of a modern state.

First, some borders will be altered as nations break away from traditional states, as has happened painfully in Yugoslavia over the past year and peacefully in Czechoslovakia this year.

"Borders of present countries or so-called natural boundaries will increasingly lose their importance when they do not correspond to well-recognized linguistic and territorial identities," said Fabrizio Elva, an Italian geographer.

Second, other new countries will be added as the last colonies become independent countries—the dominant trend during the second half of the 20th Century and evident most recently when the Soviet empire's collapse spawned 15 new states.

"We are now in a major new phase of demands for 'self-determination'—demands which, if all are acceded to, will result in significant changes to the world's political map at both state and sub-state levels," said David B. Knight, chairman of a special Commission on the World Political Map of the International Geographical Union (IGU).

On a third and more sweeping level, the new lines on a map will be produced by fundamental changes in the role of states, largely in response to economic and social pressures and political alternatives.

Commentator George Demko, a geographer and director of the Rockefeller Center at Dartmouth College, "The current changes in the political and economic geography of the world are as significant as what the world went through after the Treaty of Westphalia," the 1648 peace accord ending Europe's Thirty Years War

## ABOLISHING OUR STATES

This blatant activity, working toward altering all of our state and national boundary lines, can be laid at the doorstep of the United Nations whose desire it is to reduce the size of large countries for the purpose of U. N. management & control of the world.



control over defined areas."

The experts at this month's 27th International Geographical Congress in Washington supported self-determination will often prevail.

"More than ever before, 'political movements are inclined toward a subdivision within states,'" said Elva, the Italian geographer.

Score up to a third of the world's current states face border challenges either from neighboring nations or from minorities at home, geographers are already urging steps to prevent repetitions of the bloody conflict in what used to be Yugoslavia.

"What we will need is a U.N. commission on border modification to arbitrate and initiate negotiations before fighting erupts," said H.J. de Blij of Georgetown University.

In the longer term, the political geographers think the importance of borders will actually wane, as economic and technological interdependence span not only states, but continents.

"The reason of boundaries as we've known them, in terms of absolute govern-



Illustration by the author

"What we're dealing with is the re-creation of countries," says William B. Wood, State Department geographer. But he also sees a tendency to keep status quo.

ment.

• In Europe, the long-rebellious Basque and Catalan regions formally leave Spain. Brittany splits from France, Belgium disintegrates into the new states of Wallonia and Flanders. And Iceland is carved from the northern Lapp-populated areas of Norway, Sweden and Finland, then joins the northern regions of Canada and Russia in the new Circumpolar Arctic Confederation.

• In Russia, new states emerge in the

"It's a bit radical," Mingst conceded. "But it's what we're evolving toward."

All the major trends contributing to a new world map have one important common denominator: They reflect a new push toward devolution, or the transfer of political power from traditional states to smaller units—a shift encouraged by such factors as the spread of democracy, population pressures, communications and technology innovations, and political inter-

For East, the Ural, and East and West Siberia, ancient small ethnic enclaves such as Tatarstan and Dagestan gain independence, and places like Kaliningrad, Tyva and Buryat become virtually independent autonomous zones.

• In Asia, India loses Punjab and part of Kashmir. Afghanistan breaks into at least three ethnic pieces. The Philippines loses Muslim-dominated Mindanao. And a large part of Kazakhstan secedes to join Russia.

• In China, despite the longstanding dominance of the Han Chinese, Tibet and Xinjiang move out on their own. Taiwan is absorbed, while Inner Mongolia merges with independent Mongolia. Three new areas, Inner, North and Southwest China, gain autonomy, while developed Guangdong and Shanghai become quasi-independent economic hubs more like present-day Hong Kong than Beijing.

• In Africa, Ethiopia loses northern Eritrea and Tigre to secession and southern Ogaden to Somalia, while Kasa and northern-rich Katanga secede from Zaire. Sudan splits into two. And South Africa splits into three pieces, creating "Asania" and "Zolliland" in the process.

• In the Americas, Brazil breaks up into three autonomous pieces, Canada, as it has been known, disappears altogether, Mexico separates into four or more distinct pieces, and over time, even the United States takes on different forms.

The dimensions of change are almost certain to provoke an international debate over the next decade on a basic issue:

Should the world's current powers give priority to the rights of self-determination, thereby potentially threatening the current configuration of states? Or should they be committed to preserving territorial integrity—potentially at the expense of individual rights?

The United States was founded on the principle of self-determination, but since the onset of global change in 1988 Washington has supported territorial integrity in both Yugoslavia and Iraq—largely due to fears of fragmentation and its rippling effect both in the Balkans and in the Persian Gulf.

"The tendency now and in the future will be to preserve the status quo," said the State Department's Wood. "The United Nations is the best example. Its member states are recognized governments with

people with allegiance to the local level. When they feel their lives are being run by others far away who can't identify with them, they retreat into regionalism and local identities to counter the dehumanizing effect," Knight said.

To avoid being marginalized in traditional states, for example, communities are increasingly likely to seek smaller alternatives that are more familiar, convenient

**The notion of boundaries as we've known them, in terms of absolute sovereignty and legacies, will in time dwindle.'**

—JULIAN MINCH  
American geographer

and accountable to them, a trend more important in larger or densely populated states. The possibilities range from Canada's Quebec to Iraq's Kurdistan.

Technology also facilitates fragmentation by opening more options for smaller nations.

"It's like a circuit board. You can now move from one point to another without having to go through all the middle points. The world's going to be like that, which means the old ideas of hierarchy and hegemony will become obsolete," Cohen said.

"Nations of all sizes, shapes and manners will be able to reach out to other nations of all sizes, shapes and manners without having to ask for permission from larger powers or without having to go through intermediaries."

Even the emergence of regional blocs encourages the creation of smaller states by offering similar economic, political or strategic protection as the original nation state. Scotland could afford to break from Britain, for example, because it is a member of the European Community.

The accumulative impact of these trends is expected to touch every corner of the globe. Among just a few of the geographers' predictions:

• Australia breaks up into four pieces, giving birth to new states like "Shoalwater," named after the river, in the west, and "Abolard," after the aborigines, in the

As we're challenging the traditional ideas of state sovereignty, globalizing economics and communications, and breaking up the last empires, the geography of the world is unhooking old connections and hooking up new ones. Along with borders, the dynamics and functions of states will change too.

While much of the first two phases in the global reconfiguration may take place within the next decade, this part of the process is likely to last well into the 21st Century, the geographers said.

And the countries that emerge from the process may bear little resemblance to today's states. For example, "Many states won't have armies, only police. And some [new] states will allow dual citizenship with former host countries, as in the Balkans with the Russian population, or ethnic groups with their place of origin," Cohen said.

A stratified system of governance and power is likely to replace traditional states. "At the top will be a stronger United Nations or an equivalent body responsible for peace, environment and other global issues," explained Julian Minch, U.S. representative to the G11 Commission on the World Political Map.


"The second tier will be regional groupings, like the European Community, but also including others dealing with issues like trade, migration and possibly even collective security arrangements at the regional level. That may include joint parliaments."

Already, at least 17 regional blocs—from Latin America's Southern Cone Common Market to Central Asia's Economic Cooperation Organization—are reshaping the globe. The latest is the new continental pact forming the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), completed earlier this month among the United States, Canada and Mexico and awaiting confirmation by those countries' legislatures.

The lowest level will be made up of the smaller states that emerge from this round of boundary realignments—the "Bliovennas, Scotland and Brytans, which will each have more autonomy or independence. And these governments will be closer to people where it counts on issues of culture, education, languages."

# WHAT UNLAWFUL TREATIES ARE DOING TO THE U.S.A.!

To the right is a reduced diagram of the United States partitioned off in regions. Note that it was taken from a 1935 issue of the New York Times Magazine. Note the similar names being used today by the State Department Geographers to classify the regions. This article speaks heavily on the intent of the Federal Government to abolish the states, which was F.D.R.'s plan.



NINE GROUPS INSTEAD OF THE 48 STATES

## 21st-Century North America?

Some believe economics will help redraw the continent's boundaries, dividing America, creating a Pacific Rim zone and blurring the U.S.-Mexican border.



THE MAP TO THE LEFT WAS DRAWN BY THE STATE DEPARTMENT GEOGRAPHERS. THEY ARE PLANNING FOR THE UNITED STATES TO BE BROKEN UP INTO LITTLE COUNTRIES. SOME PARTS OF THE U.S.A. WILL BE MERGED WITH CANADA AND SOME WITH MEXICO. THERE WILL BE NO

*is merged into one to form a*

*The 3 Pacific are to be near to each other*



ARMY, NAVY,  
OR AIR FORCE,  
ONLY INTERNA-  
TIONAL POLICE.  
AN INTERNA-  
TIONAL SYSTEM  
OF GOVERNING  
WILL REPLACE  
THE U.S.  
CONSTITUTION.  
SEE BACK PAGE  
ONLY IF YOU  
SPEAK OUT WILL  
THIS BE STOPPED!

# The Dis-United States

We may think of the U.S. borders as among the most permanent in the world, but even this country is not immune from the forces that a panel of political geographers sees reshaping the globe.

Even as the just-negotiated North American Free Trade Agreement takes shape, for example, Canada is wrangling to keep Quebec part of the country. Over time, Canada's stranded Maritimes could join the United States, while Alberta and Saskatchewan merge and go their own way, and the Indian- and Eskimo-dominated north joins a polar confederation, the geographers said.

In turn, the western United States and Canadian provinces now integrating their economies with Asia's Pacific Rim—Alaska, British Columbia, Washington and Oregon—may also be emboldened to create their own "zone," either autonomous or independent. The geographers tentatively dub the new state, stretching from the Arctic's Beaufort Sea to the sunny climates of Northern California, "Pacifica."

On the other U.S. border, the increasingly fuzzy demarcation line between the United States and Mexico could evolve into another new zone, tentatively called "Angolica" by geographers. The rest of Mexico may then fragment into three or more parts.

The overall result: Instead of three large states, NAFTA could eventually contain a dozen smaller pieces—or more.

Even after losing Pacifica and Angolica, the United States may be vulnerable to further splits. A map designed by Stanley D. Brunn, an International Geographical Union panelist from the University of Kentucky, divides America into nine other independent or autonomous zones, not necessarily coinciding with current state boundaries.

They include a Gulf Coast zone, pulling together Florida with the southern parts of Alabama, Mississippi and Louisiana, and an Inland zone grouping all of Michigan and Wisconsin, northern chunks of Illinois, Indiana and Ohio, and western Pennsylvania. On Brunn's map, the southern sections of the Midwest states would join a New South zone, while eastern Pennsylvania would be grouped with a Mid-Atlantic state.

geography of the world are as significant as what the world went through after the Treaty of Versailles." The 1944 peace accord ending Europe's Thirty Years War and a turning point in the rise of modern states.

As we've challenged the traditional **idea of state sovereignty**, globalizing economies and communications, and breaking up the **late system**, the geography of the world is creating old connections and hooking up new ones. Along with borders, the **dynamic and fluid** nature of states will change.

While much of the last two phases in the global reconfiguration may take place within the next decade, this part of the process is likely to last well into the 21st Century, the geographers said.

And the **countries that emerge from the process may be less responsible to their citizens**. For example, **many states won't have armies, set police**. And some **border areas will allow dual citizenship with former host countries**, as in the struggle with the Russian population, or ethnic groups with their place of origin, Cuban said.

A **smaller group of sovereign and more stable states** is **emerging**, which **At the top will be a power**. United States, **Japan, Germany, South Korea** for their **economic and other global** impact, **expanded Asian** and **U.S. representatives** to the **ITU Commission on the World's Postal Map**.

The second tier will be regional groups, like the **European Community**, but also including others dealing with issues like **trade, migration and possibly even security**. **Regional arrangements** at the **national level** that may include **Latin** and **African**.

Already, at least 17 regional blocs—from Latin America's **Southern Cone** Common Market to Central Asia's **Economic Cooperation Organization**—are **emerging**. The **EU**, the **NAFTA** is **the new** continental bloc, **joining the North American Free Trade Agreement**, **1994**, **completed** **later this month** **under the** **United States, Canada and Mexico** and **opening** **negotiation** **by their countries** **later**.

The **lower level** will be made up of the **smaller states** that **emerge** from this **round** of **boundary realignments**—the **Italians**, **Scandinavians** and **Britons**, which will each **have more autonomy or independence**. And these **governments** will be **closer** to **people** where it **counts** on **issues** of **culture, education, language**.

Factors as the spread of democracy, population pressures, communications and technology innovations, and political alienation.

"People want empowerment at the local level. When they feel their lives are being run by others for ivory who can't identify with them, they retreat into regionalism and local identities to counter the dehumanizing effect," Knight said.

To avoid being marginalized in traditional states, for example, communities are increasingly likely to seek smaller alternatives that are more familiar, convenient

### The notion of boundaries as we've known them, in terms of absolute sovereignty and legalities, will in time dwindle.

JULIAN MORGAN  
American geographer

and accountable to them, a trend more important in larger or densely populated states. The possibilities range from Canada's Quebec to Iraq's Kurdistan.

Technology also facilitates fragmentation by opening more options for smaller nations.

"It's like a circuit board. You can now move from one point to another without having to go through all the middle points. The world's going to be like that, which means the old idea of hierarchy and hegemony will become obsolete," Cohen said.

"Nations of all sizes, shapes and manners will be able to reach out to other nations of all sizes, shapes and manners without having to ask for permission from larger powers or without having to go through intermediaries."

Even the emergence of regional blocs encourages the creation of smaller states by offering similar economic, political or strategic protection as the original nation state. Scotland could afford to break from Britain, for example, because it is a member of the European Community.

The **accumulative impact** of these trends is **expected** to **touch every corner** of the **globe**. Among **just a few** of the **prospects** **that** **emerge**.

• **Australia** breaks up into four pieces, giving birth to new states like "Swanland," named after the river. In the west, and "Aboland," after the aborigines, in the

the northern regions of Canada and Russia in the new Circumpolar Arctic Confederation.

• In Russia, new states emerge in the Far East, the Dniepr, and East and West Siberia, absorbed small ethnic enclaves such as Tatarstan and Dagestan gain independence, and places like Kabardino-Balkaria and Dagestan become virtually independent autonomous zones.

• In Asia, India loses Punjab and part of Kashmir. Afghanistan breaks into at least three ethnic pieces. The Philippines loses Muslim-dominated Mindanao. And a large part of Kazakhstan decides to join Russia.

• In China, despite the longstanding dominance of the Han Chinese, Tibet and Xinjiang move out on their own. Taiwan is absorbed, while Inner Mongolia merges with Independent Mongolia. Three new areas, Inner, North and Southeast China, gain autonomy, while developed Guangdong and Shanghai become quasi-independent economic hubs more like present-day Hong Kong than Beijing.

• In Africa, Ethiopia loses northern Eritrea and Tigray to secession and southern Ogaden to Somalia, while Kasai and mineral-rich Katanga secede from Zaire. Sudan splits into two. And South Africa splits into three pieces, creating "Amanat" and "Zulu-land" in the process.

• In the Americas, Brazil breaks up into three autonomous pieces. Canada, at least both known, disappears altogether. Mexico restructures into four or more distinct political and ethnic units, even the United States takes a substantial bite.

The dimensions of change are almost certain to provoke an international debate over the next decade on a basic issue.

Should the world's current powers give priority to the rights of self-determination, thereby potentially threatening the current configuration of states? Or should they be committed to preserving territorial integrity—potentially at the expense of individual rights?

The United States was founded on the principle of self-determination, but since the onset of global change in 1989 Washington has supported territorial integrity in both Yugoslavia and Iraq—largely due to fears of fragmentation and its rippling effect both in the Balkans and in the Persian Gulf.

"The tendency now and in the future will be to preserve the status quo," said the State Department's Wood. "The United Nations is the best example. Its member states are recognized governments with

and interdependence span not only states, but continents.

"The crisis of legitimacy as we've known them, in terms of absolute sovereignty and legalities, will in time dwindle," Knight said.

In the meantime, however, the number of states will grow.

"For the next decade, we cannot stop for cooperation will prevail. I am a pessimist for the next decade, but I'm optimistic over the long term."

LOS ANGELES TIMES

AUGUST 25, 1992



You sure don't want to overlook this!

This was printed in 1992. The State Dept. geographers told you then that they were going to eliminate your national boundaries. Just read the pieces I have checked for you.

This ties in with the SPP (Security and Prosperity Partnership) that George W. Bush, Vicente Fox and then-Canadian Minister Paul Martin signed in Waco, Texas on March 23, 2005 which merged the three nations into one "state" for control under the federated world government: the Imperial United Nations command! Now look at the 3-nation transportation map about 14 pages ahead. I suppose they will declare Martial rule pretty soon, and then they will declare me "obsolete".

# IS IT TIME TO ABOLISH THE STATES?

As I see it, the hidden purpose behind the grand overtures in Executive Order 13083 (Federalism), issued by William Clinton on 5-14-98 is to increase the number of federally mandated uniform national standards over the American states and their subdivisions [Refer to Section 3 (d)3 under title of Federalism Policymaking Criteria in government in Executive Order 13083].

The states, which once delegated a small portion of their own authority to accommodate a limited federal system, are now being informed that they are subordinated to the authority and judgements of federally appointed "agencies". These "agencies" are composed of unelected personnel responsible only to the federal government whose goal has been for scores of years to abolish the states. One of those federal advocates was Franklin D. Roosevelt himself with his plans for The New Deal. Luther H. Gulick, a members of FDR's Committee on Administrative Management, came right out, agreeing with his chief, and stated this very bold position:

"Is the state the appropriate instrumentality for the discharge of important functions? The answer is not a matter of conjecture or a delicate appraisal. It's a matter of brutal record: the American state is finished! I do not predict that the states will go! I affirm that they have gone!"

Federal attitudes since those days have not changed. Abolition is more of a threat now than ever before. Executive Order 13083 is guiding the United States in the same direction to which Adolph Hitler took Germany before he abolished the German states.

It is worthwhile to review Hitler's pattern revealed in the Chapter entitled "The Twilight of the German Free States" of the book entitled "The New Deal in Europe" written by Emil Lengyel. Here is Lengyel's account:

"Two weeks after the March (1933) elections, Adolph Hitler served notice in the Garrison Church of Potsdam, where Frederick the Great lies buried, with this:

'In spite of their traditions, the independent lives of the scattered German States are not only useless, but disastrous for the prestige and welfare of our nation.'

"A long chapter of Germany's history ended with the promulgation of the 'Law for the Uniformity of the States with the Reich' in the late spring of 1933. It was an important move in the transformation of the Federal Reich into a single centralized State. It was as if the Federal government in Washington had abolished the sovereignty of the forty-eight States of this country by dismissing their governors and putting in charge of them appointees responsible only to the President. Young Nazis burning the State line markers, merely imitated what the halbardiers of the French King had done centuries ago.

The Nazi reorganization of the Reich is a revolutionary break with the past, with ancient traditions, and with national sentiments. It is, however, in keeping with the National Socialist policy to establish a 'totalitarian' State in which there is only one God (Germany), and only one prophet (Adolph Hitler). This policy demands the unification of the Reich both physically and spiritually -- an autocratic country, bending to the will of the leader. The individual States must be abolished because their existence spells the danger of rival loyalties, eclipsing the only true faith. Political and spiritual resistance must be made impossible. Every function of the State must be coordinated--

Under the Law of the Uniformity of the States with the Reich the central government in Berlin, acting through the President, appointed Vice-Regents for each of the seventeen States. The Vice-Regents were made responsible only to the Federal government, and they could not be overthrown by a vote of 'no-confidence' of the State legislature. They were empowered to appoint the State governments, which were responsible to them and not to their States. Both the legislatures and the governments were threatened with being put out of business in the near future and then the map would be clean of German States. Meanwhile, the legislatures and governments were allowed to lead a shadow existence, stripped of the right to voice opinions or to command. Celebrating the first anniversary of the Nazi rule on January 30, 1934, the Reichstag adopted in five minutes the Reich Reform Bill, permanently abolishing the State Legislatures and placing their governments under the direct authority of Berlin, of which they will be merely the executive local organs."

Lengyel's account confirms that the many past alterations made in the power structure of the American state governments, and their state-and-federal relations, have been but a prelude to the potential that now exists toward their final abolishment through the application of Executive Order 13083 *Archie Smith*



What does this tell you about privatization?  
It ties in with regional government, the  
intent of which is to abolish the states  
and put "appointed" representatives  
in charge.

WILLARD W. GARVEY  
300 WEST DOUGLASS  
WICHITA, KANSAS 67202



6 April 1984

President Ronald Reagan  
Executive Office of the President  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Re: Privatization

President Reagan, congratulations on rejecting the political system negatives. Now why not adopt the all positive system -- privatization? Hold a White House conference on privatization and appoint a Presidential Task Force on privatization.

Privatization is documented in the enclosed paper from The Heritage Foundation and dates back at least to Adam Smith, Plato, Aristotle and Jesus.

Privatization's more recent advocates include most of the non-profit sector -- and the entire profit sector. To name a few, Peter Drucker, Milton Friedman, Heritage Foundation, Reason Foundation, Pacific Institute, Manhattan Institute, National Legal Center for the Public Interest, VOLUNTEER - National Center for Citizen Involvement, International Executive Service Corps, United Way with its Services Identification System, churches, labor unions, etc.

Privatization is now "an idea whose time has come". The knowledge, communication, and computer industry can make political representatives obsolete. ✓

Privatization might well be the theme for the 200th anniversary of the Constitution. Privatization is essential for national salvation.

To restore privatization is the National Center for Privatization's purpose. May we help you?

With best wishes,

WILLARD W. GARVEY

WVG:ks


Encl: Heritage Foundation paper  
National Center for Privatization brochure

# The United Nations Plan for replacing America's states with international world regions.

## Regional Government Update 1997

The country is partitioned into 10 "regions". The most bold black lines delineate the ten regions (regions), each having its own "capital". The less bold lines depict the replacements for counties. They are called U.N.-C's (United Nations Multi-jurisdictional Organizations). Every state has been so redivided. The faint lines are the present county structure that is "planned" to be abolished!

- Barracuda Smith



President Nixon on 3-27-69 through the Government Reorganization Act divided the United States into ten Regions. To further implement this Regional Governance over the U.S.A., President Nixon signed Executive Order 11629 and entered it in the Federal Register Feb. 12, 1972. (Vol. 37, No. 30)

**When the states are eliminated, their Compact, known as the Constitution of the United States, will also disappear.**





Julio S. Laguarda

This wipes us all out!



LAND

## UNITED NATIONS POLICY ON LAND

NO PRIVATE OWNERSHIP  
TO BE ALLOWED

National Association of Realtors President Julio S. Laguarda in presenting the NAR plan to the International Real Estate Federation in Toronto, Canada warned that " Failure to change an official United Nations policy that explicitly opposes the right of private property ownership will ultimately result in the destruction of our industry".

"Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlements, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Social justice, urban renewal and development, the provision of decent dwellings and health conditions for people can only be achieved if land is used in the interests of society as a whole."

# FIABCI announces major effort to change U.N. policy

TORONTO — The International Real Estate Federation (FIABCI) is launching a major effort aimed at changing the United Nations' housing policy, which for the past six years has opposed the right of private property ownership.

The board of the federation approved the plan at FIABCI's 23rd World Congress here last month.

The plan, prepared for the international organization by the National Association of Realtors, calls for coordinated efforts over the next 12 months by national chapters from each of the federation's 41 member nations.

The main focus of the FIABCI effort is next year's meeting in Helsinki, Finland, of the U.N. Habitat Commission, created in 1976 by the Vancouver Habitat Conference to formulate U.N. housing policy. In 1976, the western democratic governments were outmaneuvered by socialist nations in Vancouver, and agreed to a U.N. policy on land use that states:

"Land, because of its unique nature and the crucial role it plays in human settlements, cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Social justice, urban renewal and development, the provision of decent dwellings and health conditions for people can only be achieved if land is used in the interests of society as a whole."

The fact that "land for human settlements" is the theme for the 1983 Habitat Commission meeting means that FIABCI will have the opportunity to change that U.N. policy.

Introducing the FIABCI plan in Toron-



Julio E. Laguarda

to, NAR President Julio Laguarda warned, "Failure to change an official United Nations policy that explicitly opposes the right of private property ownership will ultimately result in the destruction of our industry. In 1983, FIABCI must make

*(Continued on page 6)*

# On Land

## FIABCI

*(Continued from page 1)*  
every effort at the U.N. Habitat meeting to achieve that change."

A resolution to approve efforts to get the U.N. policy changed was sponsored by the U.S. delegation, led by American Chapter President Al Wolff, President-elect Ralph Pritchard and ex-FIABCI World President Phil Smaby. NAR Executive Vice President and chief economist Jack Carlson called for and received unanimous support for the plan from the 41 national FIABCI delegations.

The first phase of the project begins this summer. Each FIABCI chapter is to brief its nation's delegates to the 1983 Habitat Commission meeting on the federation's support of private property rights.


Results will be reported to next year's FIABCI World Congress in Jakarta, Indonesia, and will be followed by efforts to persuade delegations at the United Nations headquarters in New York of the need to change the U.N. policy.

 National Association of Realtors  
430 N. Michigan Ave.  
Chicago, Ill. 60611

Second-class postage paid  
at Chicago, Illinois and  
additional mailing points



See! As a member of the United Nations, the U.S.A. must accept this U.N. policy on land. This policy has never been rescinded! When you lose the right to own property, you become somebody's property!



In one single,  
breath-draining  
sentence...



"But until the people of America shall have lost all virtue --

until they shall have become totally insensible to the difference between freedom and slavery;

until they shall have been reduced to such poverty of spirit as to be willing to sell that pre-eminent blessing, the birthright of a Freeman, for a mess of pottage; in short,

until they shall have been found incapable of governing themselves and ripe for a Master --

those consequences, I think, can never arrive."

...George Washington revealed his trust in the American's ability to stand firm against any future foreign rule.

## Washington's pages found under sofa

LONDON (AP) — A London auction house says it has recovered two handwritten pages of the 1789 inaugural speech that George Washington never gave.

The excerpt — a rambling, florid discourse covering both sides of a single sheet of paper — was found in an album stashed under a sofa at a house in Aldeburgh, 85 miles northeast of London, Phillips Auctioneers says.

Auction company assessor Simon Roberts said a gardener showing him around the house pointed out the album.

"It was in a tatty, stained silk slip case but the album inside was in

very good condition," he said.

"When I saw the piece by Washington in his own hand, I knew I had found something important."

The auction company says it authenticated the writing as Washington's and the pages as part of the 64-page draft for his April 30, 1789 inauguration as the first president of the brand-new United States.


The auctioneers expect the pages to go for at least \$200,000 at a June 13 sale in London.

Washington put aside the long speech after he wrote it.

Part of the excerpt upholds Americans' then-untested ability to govern their new country after shak-

ing off King George III, and stands firm against any future foreign rule — in one single, breath-draining sentence.

"But until the people of America shall have lost all virtue — until they shall have become totally insensible to the difference between freedom and slavery; until they shall have been reduced to such poverty of spirit as to be willing to sell that pre-eminent blessing, the birthright of a Freeman, for a mess of pottage; in short, until they shall have been found incapable of governing themselves and ripe for a Master — those consequences, I think, can never arrive."



Here's some excerpts from George Washington's Farewell Address. I picked these out especially for you because they fit our times.

## GEORGE WASHINGTON'S FAREWELL ADDRESS

Highlights taken from his Farewell Address as he finished  
eight years as the first president of the United States,  
September 17, 1796:

"Why quit our own to stand upon foreign ground? Why, by interweaving our destiny with that of any part of Europe, entangle our peace and prosperity in the toils of European ambition, rivalry, interest, humor and caprice?"

It is our true policy to steer clear of permanent alliance with any portion of the foreign world....

The unity of government which constitutes you one people, is also now dear to you. It is justly so;...you should properly estimate the immense value of your national union to your collective and individual happiness....think and speak of it as the palladium of your political safety and prosperity; watching for its preservation with jealous anxiety; discountenancing whatever may suggest even a suspicion that it can, in any event, be abandoned;....resist with care the spirit of innovation upon its principles, however specious the pretext.

One method of assault may be to effect, in the forms of the Constitution, alterations which will impair the energy of the system, and thus to undermine what cannot be directly overthrown.....

It is important likewise, that the habits of thinking in a free country should inspire caution in those intrusted with its administration, to confine themselves within their respective constitutional spheres....

Where is the security for property, for reputation, for life, if the sense of religious obligation *desert* the oaths which are the instruments of investigation in courts of justice?

Whatever may be conceded to the influence of refined education on minds of peculiar structure, reason and experience both *forbid us to expect*, that national morality can prevail in exclusion of religious principle. It is substantially true, that virtue or morality is a necessary spring of popular government.....Who that is a sincere friend to it can look with indifference upon attempts to shake the foundation of the fabric?....

Against the insidious wiles of foreign influence (I conjure you to believe me, fellow citizens) the jealousy of a free people ought to be *constantly* awake; since history and experience prove, that foreign influence is one of the most baneful foes of republican government.....

The great rule of conduct for us, in regard to foreign nations, is, in extending our commercial relations, to have with them as little *political* connection as possible.....

In offering to you, my countrymen, these counsels of an old and affectionate friend, I dare not hope they will make the strong and lasting impression I could wish; that they will control the usual current of the passions, or prevent our nation from running the course which has hitherto marked the destiny of nations, but if I may even flatter myself that they may be productive of some partial benefit, some occasional good; that they may now and then recur to moderate the fury of party spirit, to warn against the mischiefs of foreign intrigue, to guard against the impostures of pretended patriotism; this hope will be a full recompense for the solicitude for your welfare by which they have been dictated."

George Washington

United States  
September 17, 1796



George Washington is listed as No. 1 in the Hall of Fame. His *Farewell Address* has gone down in history as one of the greatest writings of all time. In it he warned against engaging in foreign influence and entanglements, weakening of the fabric of government, loss of respect for national morality and religious principles, growth of party spirit, and devastation brought on by pretended patriotism. The above highlights should stimulate the interest of Americans not only to read the *Farewell Address* in its *entirety*, but to demand that Congress and other public officials begin to *adhere* to George Washington's advice.

It was a disgraceful effort which caused February 22nd, Washington's birthday, to be renamed as President's Day, thus reducing the respect due to a man who had contributed so much of himself to fight against tyranny, to carefully lead the nation in setting precedents for all who were to follow in his footsteps as president, and to bequeath to the nation his immortal advice.

A great danger for us to guard against is the call for a Constitutional Convention. Many excuses are being used that are very appealing to loyal Americans such as, "a required balanced budget", "right to life", and "prayer in school". The proponents of a new constitution use these excuses to endear themselves to the unsuspecting, who are not aware of how deceitful the perpetrators of global government can be in seeking their objective.

# The Bill of Rights


As provided in the FIRST TEN AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

Effective, December 15, 1791

## PREAMBLE

The Conventions of a number of the States having at the time of their adopting the Constitution, expressed a desire, in order to prevent misconstruction or abuse of its powers, that further declaratory and restrictive clauses should be added: And as extending the ground of public confidence in the Government, will best secure the beneficial ends of its institution.

- 1 Right to Freedom of Religion, Speech, Press, Assembly, Petition. Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.
- 2 Right to Keep and Bear Arms. A well-regulated militia, being necessary to the security of free States, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed.
- 3 Rights on Quartering of Soldiers. No soldier shall, in time of peace be quartered in any house, without the consent of the owner, nor in time of war, but in a manner to be prescribed by law.
- 4 Right Against Unreasonable Search and Seizure. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable search and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.
- 5 Right to Protection of Person and Property. No person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any Criminal Case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.
- 6 Rights of Persons Accused of Crime. In all criminal prosecutions the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.
- 7 Right of Trial by Jury. In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise re-examined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.
- 8 Right to Protection Against Excessive Fines, Bail, Punishment. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishment inflicted.
- 9 Rights not enumerated retained by the people. The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.
- 10 Rights reserved to the States and the People. The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.



The Constitution and the Bill of Rights protect more rights than those that are listed here. The list is a mile long, but your Founding Fathers thought these most endangered rights deserved to be in placed in a special palladium, which is positively not subject to repeal. Only you can enforce these rights against the tyranny that has sprung up in the various administrations.



**Yes, your 'General Plan' means socialism!**

See if you can figure out how it has been a vehicle for the New World Order!

Richard Nixon issued Executive Order 11647 which partitioned the nation off into ten international federal 'regions' co-terminus with plans held by the United Nations. The intent from the onset was to break down our states and national borders, and to fully internationalize U.S. territory. Although they were nearly bankrupt, the federal planners in the '70's announced that they had 'revenue' to share with the states and communities.




In order for the 'revenue' to get 'shared', the states and communities had to adopt a 'regional' government 'General Plan' or they would not receive any federal money. The 'Plan' was connected to federal control. This control extended not only to the infrastructure of a community, it also included forced acceptance of socialistic policies and programs. Today 'local control by the people' is practically dead, and cities are governed by federally controlled 'General Plans'.

Most everyone fell for the idea of 'free' money. Real estate developers, seeking those low interest loans were always included as members of the Citizen Advisory Committee, so adoption of the regional government 'General Plan' easily won

over any unwilling Committee members. While majority action provided adequate approval for adoption of a federalized 'General Plan', this move *destroyed local control* for the people of their own local governments. Dollar signs blinded Committee members to the damage they were doing to the Constitutional Check and Balance System. All power was then moved to Washington D.C. One lone Citizen Advisory Committee member in Hanford, California tried to stop acceptance of federal 'revenue sharing' funds and to keep truly local government in control of her community, but she was asked to resign from the General Plan study in her city. She refused and sent out this warning via the local paper. →

Now possessing massive federal control, combined with Executive Order 12803 (issued by his father), George W. Bush thinks he has the power to sell off the nation's infrastructure to foreign investors. This will lead to a breakdown of the states, eliminate national borders and collapse the nation!

## 'General Plan' Means Socialism



By MRS. LESTER SMITH  
Member, Citizens Advisory Committee  
Stanford Area General Plan

After two years of preparation and study on the area "general plan" for Stanford, with one dissenting member of the Citizens Advisory Committee nearly being dismissed for disapproving of the program, the work is now nearing completion.

Those persons who understand the structure and objectives of the newly formed 10 federal regions (which are to replace the "city-county-50-state system" of representative government) will have no difficulty identifying the relationship with the Stanford area "general plan." General plans for cities (including "Model Cities" programs) have been successful because they were engineered to deliberately engage the community "in participation of the program" while at the same time restricting them from learning the true intent and purposes. Those who have not done an honest and unbiased research, and who hold positions of public trust, should undoubtedly be considered a public eye sore. The promotion of regionalism in any way, including the acceptance of this "general plan," is clearly a violation of the oath of office pledging "to preserve and defend the constitution of the United States of America." Since the same men who on Mondays and Tuesdays are known as "supervisors" and "councilmen," and then on Wednesdays give themselves to be the "board members" of the unconstitutional Kings County Regional Planning Agency, that certain dissenting member of the Citizens Advisory Committee wonders whether there exists any reason at all to hope that these men will reject the regional general plans when they come before them for final passage. The deck does appear to be "stacked." Time will prove that the last real chance to stop regionalism was in the planning act, such as we are now engaged.

There are all sorts of programs in the proposed "general plan" for Stanford. Some help the poor (such as subsidized housing, the job programs, and the program in which the local taxpayers are to establish members of minority groups in business, etc.) while other programs will hurt the poor by throwing them out of homes (urban renewal) which they thought they owned and could stay in, placing them in the streets with a check in hand, worth only one-third of the value of their former property. These are the "workable programs" that will come with urban renewal (also called "rehabilitation programs") which will bring on house-to-house inspections all over the area. Once urban renewal gets into an area it never releases the area. (Everything is regional government demands "in perpetuity" rights.) Not only the poor will be affected by these inspections, but in "upgrading" the homes, many now - unsuspecting home owners will need bank loans in order to pass the inspection code, if not in one campaign, probably in another.

For the businessmen the future under the proposed plan for the central business district may look nothing but "gray." They may anticipate great increases in their profits. Many may not be able to see that a trap is being set for them as they align themselves up into an economic order that furthers the necessary economic conversion needed by those who would impose a totally radical economic system upon all of us. The plans you carry out in a "totally planned economy" are the plans the government has for your business, which may not be at all in the liking of the owner. Profits will become secondary "to the enrichment of all mankind." It is astonishing how few businessmen realize that they are assisting in the creation of the "New Order."

GENERAL PLANS throughout the country these days are called "programs to eliminate poverty." The Stanford "general plan" is no exception to this as it states this same goal "to eliminate the causes of poverty" (Population - Economic Policy Considerations - Task III - Basic Studies Report Pg. 7 - Spiral Bound Book). Have the American people become so impoverished these days that they could target that if there is unemployment, vigorous, unpoliced, dictatorial rule is even attempted such a venture? In those countries now operating under this objective of "eliminating poverty," ALL the people are poor as a result. The fragile flower of incentive does not thrive too well in totally planned economies. After these programs in the general plan are enacted, more will follow as we are in the "consequential period" with so much more left to be done!

Many of the poor are now beginning to awaken to the fact that there has been a purpose behind all the "free" things they have been receiving from the outstretched regional federal hand. They are now seeing that it is their children who are to be taken up first in the radical new state-operated child care centers to be trained as the socialistic leaders of tomorrow. Space limitations restricted a proper discussion of the new "regional schools," but be well aware that Stanford's "general plan" does introduce them to the area! The regional schools will operate in connection with the child care centers. Whether the president vetoes the Brademas bill (H. R. 10351) or not, the goal will still be the same, i.e. the gradual state control of all children up to 14 years of age, with a "child advocate" in each area to decide which children should be taken from their homes, for reasons advantageous to the state. Another regional program, the P.F.R. System (A.R. 5000 signed into law 10-23-71) is the vehicle by which human nature is to be "changed" and "restructured" in regional schools guaranteeing essential subjects to populate the "New Order." Schools will also be centers for sending data on every person in the region, young and old, to computer data bank centers.

On the subject of land, if you doubt the goal is full control of all the land, read Calif. Bill A.B. 1201 (just passed and signed) requiring that you get governmental "permission" before you can sell your land, even a part of it. Possibly this deters the item that a few parcels of land in the Stanford area will automatically be rezoned to multi-family residence with acceptance of the "general plan," as no special public hearings will be had.

SOCIALISM is behind every phase of the program. The transportation study is working toward the complete manageability and maneuverability of each person in the region, young or old. It is essential in the goal of "full employment," it doesn't take much imagination to see that we may be required to work out where we "choose" but where we are "told" in order to shuffle every one into job slots if it can be done. The mass transit system will also be needed for picking up daily mail and packages at the "centralized stations," if the plans are carried out to eliminate door-to-door delivery of mail.

All the programs being written into the regional general plan for Stanford are costly and our taxes should skyrocket when implementation of them begins. Needless to say, we can not expect to maintain our former freedoms or the Bill of Rights when we live under this coming new social and economic order. (Formerly known as "the government," the American people now have been deposed to the status of "human resources.")

If you feel "secure" in thinking that the wealthy and powerful people in this nation "would never let this happen," bear in mind that these are the very people pushing for it. They themselves will live outside the communal system which they are setting up for the masses, and will maintain their same power and wealth (which is not earmarked for "sharing") as they become the "ruling elite."

If we are not to continue on to the point of no return, Americans had better arm themselves with the facts, and put a stop to regional general plans and other regional advances.



**Foreign governments and other investors could buy America out -- lock, stock, and barrel!!  
Look where I check marked below.**

approach this situation with calm, with tolerance, and with the respect for the rights of all individuals under the Constitution.

The United States Department of Justice will continue its criminal investigation of the police violence case in Los Angeles to ensure that the civil rights laws of our Nation are fully and equally applied. The Department of Justice has been monitoring this case since its inception and as is customary in these circumstances, the Justice Department has taken steps to accelerate the investigation several months ago.

In consultation with the Attorney General, we will continue to consider the Federal Government's role at this point and the extent of assistance that we can provide to the State of California and other States who discussed these issues with Governor Wilson. We are also in contact with other Senators and Members of Congress on the issue of excessive force and the prevention of excessive public violence.

The murder and destruction in the streets of Los Angeles last night and today must be stopped. Looting, beatings, and random violence against innocent victims must be condemned. Society cannot tolerate this kind of behavior.

There are some principles of law and of behavior that should be repeated in these circumstances. First, we must maintain a respect for our legal system and a demand for law and order. Second, we have a right to expect a police force that protects our citizens and behaves in a responsible manner. Third, in the American conscience there is no room for bigotry and racism. And fourth, we have responsibilities as citizens of this democracy.

I want everyone to know that the Federal Government will continue to pursue its legal responsibilities in this case.

Thank you very much.

*Note: The President spoke at 12:05 p.m. in the Briefing Room at the White House.*

**Executive Order 12803—  
Infrastructure Privatization  
April 30, 1992**

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and in order to ensure that the United States achieves the most beneficial economic use of its resources, it is hereby ordered as follows:


Section 1. Definitions. For purposes of this order: (a) "Privatization" means the disposition or transfer of an infrastructure asset, such as by sale or by long-term lease, from a State or local government to a private party.

(b) "Infrastructure asset" means any asset financed in whole or in part by the Federal Government and needed for the functioning of the economy. Examples of such assets include, but are not limited to: roads, tunnels, bridges, electricity supply facilities, mass transit, rail transportation, airports, ports, waterways, water supply facilities, recycling and wastewater treatment facilities, solid waste disposal facilities, housing, schools, prisons, and hospitals.

(c) "Originally authorized purposes" means the general objectives of the original grant program; however, the term is not intended to include every condition required for a grantee to have obtained the original grant.

(d) "Transfer price" means: (i) the amount paid or to be paid by a private party for an infrastructure asset, if the asset is transferred as a result of competitive bidding; or (ii) the appraised value of an infrastructure asset, as determined by the head of the executive department or agency and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, if the asset is not transferred as a result of competitive bidding.

(e) "State and local governments" means the government of any State of the United States, the District of Columbia, any commonwealth, territory, or possession of the United States, and any county, municipality, city, town, township, local public authority, school district, special district, intrastate district, regional or interstate governmental entity, council of governments, and any agency



✓  
**NOTICE  
THAT  
PORTS  
ARE  
LISTED**



# This is it, folks! Executive Order 12803 signed by George H. Bush and put in practice by George W. Bush

734

Apr. 30 / Administration of George Bush, 1993

(cont'd)

or instrumentality of a local government, and any federally recognized Indian Tribe.

**Sec. 2. Fundamental Principles.** Executive departments and agencies shall be guided by the following objectives and principles: (a) Adequate and well-maintained infrastructure is critical to economic growth. Consistent with the principles of federalism enumerated in Executive Order No. 12612, and in order to allow the private sector to provide for infrastructure modernization and expansion, State and local governments should have greater freedom to privatize infrastructure assets.

(b) Private enterprise and competitively driven improvements are the foundation of our Nation's economy and economic growth. Federal financing of infrastructure assets should not act as a barrier to the achievement of economic efficiencies through additional private market financing or competitive practices, or both.

(c) State and local governments are in the best position to assess and respond to local needs. State and local governments should, subject to assuring continued compliance with Federal requirements that public use be on reasonable and nondiscriminatory terms, have maximum possible freedom to make decisions concerning the maintenance and disposition of their federally financed infrastructure assets.

(d) User fees are generally more efficient than general taxes as a means to support infrastructure assets. Privatization transactions should be structured so as not to result in unreasonable increases in charges to users.

**Sec. 3. Privatization Initiative.** To the extent permitted by law, the head of each executive department and agency shall undertake the following actions: (a) Review those procedures affecting the management and disposition of federally financed infrastructure assets owned by State and local governments and modify those procedures to encourage appropriate privatization of such assets consistent with this order;

(b) Assist State and local governments in their efforts to advance the objectives of this order; and

(c) Approve State and local governments' requests to privatize infrastructure assets, consistent with the criteria in section 4 of

this order and, where necessary, grant exceptions to the disposition requirements of the "Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments" common rule, or other relevant rules or regulations, for infrastructure assets; provided that the transfer price shall be distributed, as paid, in the following manner: (i) State and local governments shall first recoup in full the unadjusted dollar amount of their portion of total project costs (including any transaction and fix-up costs they incur) associated with the infrastructure asset involved; (ii) if proceeds remain, then the Federal Government shall recoup in full the amount of Federal grant awards associated with the infrastructure asset, less the applicable share of accumulated depreciation on such asset (calculated using the Internal Revenue Service accelerated depreciation schedule for the categories of assets in question); and (iii) finally, the State and local governments shall keep any remaining proceeds.

**Sec. 4. Criteria.** To the extent permitted by law, the head of an executive department or agency shall approve a request in accordance with section 3(c) of this order only if the grantee: (a) Agrees to use the proceeds described in section 3(c)(ii) of this order only for investment in additional infrastructure assets (after public notice of the proposed investment), or for debt or tax reduction; and

(b) Demonstrates that a market mechanism, legally enforceable agreement, or regulatory mechanism will ensure that: (i) the infrastructure asset or assets will continue to be used for their originally authorized purposes, as long as needed for those purposes, even if the purchaser becomes insolvent or is otherwise hindered from fulfilling the originally authorized purposes; and (ii) user charges will be consistent with any current Federal conditions that protect users and the public by limiting the charges.

**Sec. 5. Government-wide Coordination and Review.** In implementing Executive Order Nos. 12291 and 12458 and OMB Circular No. A-19, the Office of Management and Budget, to the extent permitted by law and consistent with the provisions of those authorities, shall take action to ensure that

the policies of the executive departments and agencies are consistent with the principles, criteria, and requirements of this order. The Office of Management and Budget shall review the results of implementing this order and report thereon to the President 1 year after the date of this order.

**Sec. 6. Preservation of Existing Authority.** Nothing in this order is in any way intended to limit any existing authority of the heads of executive departments and agencies to approve privatization proposals that are otherwise consistent with law.

**Sec. 7. Judicial Review.** This order is intended only to improve the internal management of the executive branch, and is not intended to create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by a party against the United States, its agencies or instrumentalities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

George Bush

The White House,  
April 30, 1992.

[Filed with the Office of the Federal Register,  
4:17 p.m., April 30, 1992]

Note: This Executive order was published in the Federal Register on May 4.

**Message to the Congress  
Transmitting the District of  
Columbia Budget and Supplemental  
Appropriations Request**

April 30, 1992

To the Congress of the United States:

In accordance with the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act, I am transmitting the District of Columbia Government's 1993 budget request and 1992 budget supplemental request.

The District of Columbia Government has submitted two alternative 1993 budget requests. The first alternative is for \$3,311 million in 1993 and includes a Federal payment of \$656 million, the amount authorized and requested by the D.C. Mayor and City Coun-

cil. The second alternative is for \$3,286 million and includes a Federal payment of \$631 million, which is the amount contained in the 1993 Federal budget. My transmittal of this District budget, as required by law, does not represent an endorsement of the contents.

As the Congress considers the District's 1993 budget, I urge continuation of the policy enacted in the District's appropriations laws for fiscal years 1989-1992 of prohibiting the use of both Federal and local funds for abortions, except when the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term.

George Bush

The White House,  
April 30, 1992.

**Statement by Press Secretary  
Fitzwater on the President's Meeting  
With President Richard von  
Weizsäcker of Germany**  
April 30, 1992

The President met for approximately one hour with President Richard von Weizsäcker of Germany, who is in the U.S. on a state visit. The discussion focused on the nature of the new partnership between the U.S. and united Germany. The President stressed our intention to maintain a strong presence in Europe, along with the importance of NATO and the North Atlantic Cooperation Council. He also reiterated our support for reforms and controlling nuclear weapons in Russia and the other republics. President Bush said it was important to reach an agreement on GATT soon and that he looked forward to addressing these and other issues at the upcoming G-7 meeting in Munich.

**Nomination of Robert L. Barry To Be  
United States Ambassador to  
Indonesia**

April 30, 1992

The President today announced his intention to nominate Robert L. Barry, of New

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176



This stuff is heading us for an economic collapse! Sure looks like the idea to do this is tied in with the Hoolihan Plan to collapse our whole government! First, these competing communist nations, come in and learn our management techniques and our manufacturing secrets, then sell us their slave labor products at a cheap price, and then use the money they make to buy out our industries! Put it all together, folks! Why is this being allowed by the administrators running this nation???

The Sentinel — MONDAY, MARCH 20, 2006

Around the Nation

## A nation for sale

# Ports sale spurs debate about foreign ownership of U.S.

By **Marna Chursow**  
AP Economics Reporter

WASHINGTON — The furor over efforts by an Arab company to buy U.S. port operations has focused attention on a little noticed economic fact of life: America increasingly is foreign-owned.

From the ritzy Essex House hotel in Manhattan, owned by the Dubai Investment Group, to the nationwide chains of Caribou Coffee and Church's Chicken, owned by another company serving Arab investors, foreigners are buying bigger and bigger chunks of the country.

The U.S. must borrow more than \$1 billion per day from foreigners to finance its huge trade deficits. In 2005, for example, there was a record deficit of \$606 billion in the current account, the broadest measure of trade.

Foreigners sell their televisions, cars and oil to Americans and hold dollars in return. Those dollars are invested in stocks, bonds and other assets, including real estate and factories.

Foreigners already own half of the U.S. government's publicly traded debt. As of January, some \$1.8 trillion in Treasury securities were in the hands of central banks, including China and Japan, and private investors abroad.

At the end of 2005, the total foreign direct investment in this country — actual factories, office buildings and other tangible assets as opposed to stocks and bonds — came to \$1.53 trillion, 8.2 percent more than in 2001.

That investment shows up in all of the 50 states.

In Oakland, Maine, it's a customer service center for T-Mobile USA Inc., which is a subsidiary of German-based Deutsche Telekom. In Glendale, Calif., it's the U.S. headquarters for Nestle, the Swiss-based food and beverage company.

Arab investment has gotten the most scrutiny of late because of the now-withdrawn bid by a Dubai-based company to buy operations at six major U.S. ports. But statistics show that Arab investments represent only a fraction of the total direct investment in the U.S. by foreigners.

European nations accounted for \$877 billion, or two-thirds, of the \$1.53 trillion of foreign direct investment, according to figures compiled by the Commerce Department.

By contrast, Arab countries in the Middle East accounted for \$9.3 billion, led by \$4.7 billion in investment from Saudi Arabia. The United Arab Emirates was second among Middle East Arab countries with \$1.8 billion in investments, according to the data.

DP World of Dubai said last week it intends to sell its U.S. operations to an American-owned company. But that has not stopped some members of Congress from seeking to overhaul the way such deals are reviewed by a secretive government panel.

*"That strikes me as scary.*

*When you make yourself so dependent on inflows of capital from the rest of the world, the question is what happens if the inflows slow down."*

— **David Wyes,**

**Chief economist for Standard & Poor's**

A bill by the chairman of the House Armed Services Committee, GOP Rep. Duncan Hunter of California, would bar foreign ownership of U.S. infrastructure deemed critical to the national security.

"To those who say this is protectionism, I say — America is worth protecting," Hunter said.

Opponents say his proposal would mean the fire sale of billions of dollars of assets now in foreign hands and end up hurting the U.S. economy.

Consider that for more than a decade, French tire maker Michelin has been the exclusive supplier of tires for NASA's space shuttles. DSM, a Dutch company, makes body armor for U.S. troops, while French-owned Sodexo provides meals for the troops at a number of military installa-

tions.

Nearly one in five U.S. oil refineries is owned by foreign companies. Foreign companies also have a sizable presence in running power plants, chemical factories and water treatment facilities in the United States.

"People don't understand how integrated the U.S. economy has become with the global economy, how dependent we have become on other nations," said Clyde Prestowitz, president of the Economic Strategy Institute, a Washington think tank.

Some analysts believe such realities are getting lost as politicians try to respond to growing anxiety about the trade deficits, the loss of nearly 3 million manufacturing jobs since mid-2000, immigration problems and the threat of more terrorist attacks.

"We have to be very careful that we don't overreact in the legislative process and enact economic policy masquerading as national security policy," said Todd Malan, head of the Organization for International Investment. The Washington group represents foreign companies that do business in the United States.

To the puzzlement of some economists, the current debate centers on direct foreign investment, the most stable type of investment. Yet the far larger share of foreign investment is in Treasury securities, corporate bonds and stocks.

If foreigners suddenly decided to reduce their holdings of these assets, the dollar could plunge in value, interest rates could soar and stock prices could suffer a big blow.

David Wyes, chief economist at Standard & Poor's in New York, cited the 31 percent share of foreign ownership of the federal government's debt — and that share is rising.

*"That strikes me as scary," Wyes said. "When you make yourself so dependent on inflows of capital from the rest of the world, the question is what happens if the inflows slow down."*

The amount of federal debt that must be financed each year is climbing because of the budget deficits. On Thursday, Congress acted to raise the debt ceiling — the amount the government can borrow — by \$70 billion, to nearly \$9 trillion.

This map was drawn before Pearl Harbor! Even then there were plans to merge us with Canada and Mexico. What is in the square blocks is shown a few pages ahead.

- U.S. and possessions
- Canada
- ▨ Mexico
- A

# Outline of POST-WAR NEW WORLD MAP

In the U.S.A., with the agreement of the Government of Newfoundland, the British Government of Canada and the United States of America, a new world map is being prepared for the institutions of a New World World Order.

For permanent peace, freedom, justice, security and world reconstruction.

In original form this blueprint map is done in black-inks to indicate consolidations. You will note that the United States includes Central America, Mexico, Iceland, Greenland, & Canada.

1941



Outline of POST-WAR NEW WORLD MAP

**THE NEW WORLD ORDER**  
 The new world order is a plan for the future of the world. It is a plan for a world of peace, freedom, justice, security and world reconstruction. It is a plan for a world where the United States, Canada, and Mexico are united in a single world order. It is a plan for a world where the United States, Canada, and Mexico are united in a single world order. It is a plan for a world where the United States, Canada, and Mexico are united in a single world order.



Division of  
**POST-WAR NEW WORLD MAP**  
Map by [unreadable]

[The text in this column is extremely faint and illegible, appearing to be a newspaper article or a list of related information.]



"In the future days which we seek to make secure, we look forward to a world founded upon four essential human freedoms.

"The first is freedom of speech and expression — everywhere in the world.

"The second is freedom of every person to worship God in his own way — everywhere in the world.

"The third is freedom from want, which translated into world terms, means economic understandings which will secure to every nation a healthy peaceable life for its inhabitants — everywhere in the world.

"The fourth is freedom from fear, which translated into world terms, means a world-wide reduction of armaments to such a point and in such a thorough fashion that no nation will be in a position to assault an act of physical aggression against any neighbor — anywhere in the world.

"That is no vision of a distant millennium. It is a definite basis for a kind of world attainable in our own time and generation. That kind of world is the very antithesis of the so-called 'new order' of tyranny which the dictators seek to create with the crash of a bomb.

"To that 'new order' we oppose the greater conception — the Moral Order . . .

. . . "The world order which we seek is the cooperation of free peoples, working together in a friendly, civilized society . . .

. . . "Freedom means the supremacy of human rights everywhere . . .

. . . "To that high concept there can be no end save victory."

From President Roosevelt's message to the 77th Congress in the State of the Union.

## Our Policy shall be this:

1. We, the U.S.A., in cooperation with our allies, for reasons of our national safety and in the interests of international morality, are determined to crush and completely destroy the military power of the Axis aggressors and their satellites regardless of cost, effort and time necessary to accomplish this task.

2. The old world order of colonial oppression, exploitation of dominions, vital inequalities and arbitrary influence of power diplomacy, of majorities, dictators, privileged minorities, plutocratic monopolies and similar social injustices; the corrupted order responsible for the present world catastrophe, endangering our national safety and peaceful progress, shall never rise again.

3. A New World Moral Order for permanent peace and freedom shall be established at the moment of conclusion of the present war.

4. For reasons of history, economic structure, favorable geography and the welfare of mankind, the U.S.A. must, ultimately, assume the leadership of the newly established, democratic world order.

5. To reduce the burden and criminal waste of armaments everywhere in the world, the U.S.A., in cooperation with the Republics of Latin-America, the Soviet Union, the Republics of France, and the U.S.S.R., shall undertake to guarantee peace in the future which will be permanently guaranteed and maintained after the conclusion of the present war.

6. In order to be able, in fulfillment of our obligations, to effectively prevent the possibility of a resurgence of greater world catastrophe, the accessibility of the U.S.A. as a military, naval and air power, shall be the major prerequisite.

7. For complete reconstruction of Europe and our level of well-being, it is imperative that the U.S.A. shall obtain relinquishment of control of their possessions from all foreign Powers in the entire Western Hemisphere, its surrounding waters and strategic island groups as outlined in accompanying map.

8. For reconstruction of southeastern Europe and in the spirit and tradition of the 14th Point Program, the U.S.A. shall guarantee the "Great Britain Plan," the "U.S.S.R. Plan" and the "Latin American Program," that shall secure and protect the rights of the international territories.

9. To strengthen our position in the Caribbean area which is of obvious importance to hemispheric defense, all possible influences shall be offered to our neighbors in Central America and the West Indies to facilitate their recovery of full control of their U.S.A. as outlined on map.

10. To justify the politico-economic unity of the Western Hemisphere, the U.S.A. shall promote and assist the unification of South America into a well organized, democratic, federated "United States of South America."

11. The Hawaiian, French and Netherlands Colonies shall be recognized as one state of the U.S.A.

12. All Powers shall relinquish their control of their colonial, maritime and strategic island possessions everywhere in the world.

13. The British Commonwealth of Nations, the second military and naval Power of importance representing a World Power with the U.S.A. as a Power for Europe, shall retain and acquire control of such territories, possessions, bases and strategic island groups essential for the maintenance of world peace and defense of the sea as outlined on map.

14. The U.S.S.R., the third military Power of importance cooperating with the U.S.A. as a Power for freedom and the maintenance of world peace, shall acquire control of the Russian, Scandinavian, adjacent areas and those of Germany, Italy, to be organized as a democratic, federated "United States of Europe" as outlined on map.

15. A World League of Nations with arbitrative and supervisory powers shall be organized.

16. A World Court with positive powers of absolute justice, arbitration, mediation and settlement by international law, shall be organized as outlined on map.

17. The U.S.A. with the close cooperation of the United States of South America, the British Commonwealth of Nations, the U.S.S.R. and the World League of Nations, shall promote and assist in the unification of the relinquished territories and the areas at present currently divided into well organized, democratic and absolutely guaranteed federated republics as approximately outlined on map.

18. The areas known as Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg, Denmark, France, Spain, Portugal, the island

of Corsica, and eventually Italy and the islands of Sicily and Sardinia shall be united as a federated republic, hereafter "United States of Europe."

19. The areas known as Sweden, Norway, Denmark and the Far Islands shall be united as a federated republic, hereafter "United States of Scandinavia."

20. The continent of Africa shall be recognized and united as a federated republic, hereafter "Union of African Republics."

21. The areas known as South Africa, Syria, Lebanon, Iraq, Egypt, Yemen, Sudan and Oman, shall be united as a federated union of "Arabic, Islamic Republics."

22. The areas known as India, including Afghanistan, Hindustan, Nepal, Siam and Burma shall be united as a federated "United Republics of India."

23. The areas known as China, Inner Mongolia, Tibet, Thailand, Malaya, Indo-China and Formosa, shall be united as a federated republic, hereafter "United Republics of China."

24. The areas known as Greece, Macedonia, Albania, Crete, Bulgaria and adjacent islands in the Aegean and

shall be united as a federated "United Republics of Greece."

25. The areas known as Eire and Northern Ireland shall be united as a federated independent republic of "Eire."

26. The area of the Holy Land of the ancient Hebrews, at present known as Palestine and Trans-Jordan, and the adjacent republics regions as outlined on map, for reconstruction of history and the imperative necessity to eliminate a post-war collapse problem, shall be united as a federated independent republic of "Israel."

27. The areas known as European Turkey, adjacent to the Dardanelles, sea of Marmara and Bosporus, for non-alteration of existing peace treaties shall be placed under joint control of the U.S.S.R. and Turkey.

28. The areas known as Turkey shall be a federated independent republic of "Turkey."

29. All problems of exchange, transfer and repatriation of populations shall be administered by the World League of Nations.

30. The criminal perpetrators and their partners in guilt

of this hideous war shall be brought to justice and an equitable punishment administered.

31. All subjects of Japan and all persons of Japanese origin of doubtful loyalty shall be permanently expelled from the entire Western Hemisphere, U.S.A. possessions and strategic island groups and their property confiscated for post-war reconstruction work.

32. All subjects of Germany and Italy and all persons of German and Italian origin known as active supporters of war and fascist ideologies shall be treated similarly.

33. German, Italian and Japanese immigration to the Western Hemisphere, its possessions and island groups shall be immediately stopped.

34. All persons of German origin in East Prussia and the Danzig shall be transferred to inner Germany and the regions permanently de-populated.

35. All persons of German, Italian and Japanese origin shall be permanently expelled from their now conquered territories and their property confiscated for post-war reconstruction work.

36. To cleanse the population of the defeated Axis aggressors of the incrustation of military character, to efface the conquest and destruction of their pe-

tricial military establishments, to recover the untroubled land and to reconvert them for their essential membership in the Family of Nations, the areas of Germany, Italy, and Japan, shall be recognized and completely destroyed and either united to a federated republic or to be supervised by the World League of Nations.

37. All resources, industrial and labor capacity of the now seized areas shall be employed for the post-war reconstruction and reconstruction work.

38. To reduce the commercial power of the aggressor nations, as a general military advantage, a Population Control Policy shall be observed and applied in the conquered areas.

39. In the New World Moral Order which we seek to establish, under the democratic political tradition, the following fundamental economic changes are imperative:

(a) Nationalization of all natural resources and means of production of raw materials and minerals in the world.

(b) Nationalization of international banking, foreign investments, insurance, shipping and power plants, etc., etc. in the world.

(c) Nationalization of all economic producing units, resources and industrial military plants.

(d) Federal control of foreign commerce and shipping.

(e) The establishment of a world currency, monetary system.

(f) World-wide limitations of interest rates in a world bank of free nations.

40. To create the victory and leadership of our united democratic effort—the aim of which is not vengeance or exploitation, but freedom and security to all nations for peaceful progress—the United States, Great Britain, the United States of Europe, the United States of Asia, the United States of Africa, the United States of India, the United States of China, the United States of South America and the United States of the Holy Land shall be organized and maintained into a federated republic of "United States of the World League of Nations" and be united into "Eire."

41. The "League of Nations and Economic Council" shall succeed the League to administer the organized world and their essential needs.

For this purposeful beginning we must fight and achieve victory.

WALTER WINSTON

On the pages that follow you will see that merging the United States, Canada, Mexico, and the Central American states under one united government has been in the works since F.D.R.'s time, and even before! George W. Bush makes it look like Mexico is the cause behind it!

F.D.R.'s desire to abolish the states fits in with the overall plan to merge us with these other countries. Be sure to read the last paragraph in the article entitled: "NINE GROUPS INSTEAD OF THE 48 STATES" which says:

*"The revisionists may never be heard from publicly --especially if the federal courts soon experience a miraculous transformation and begin with unanimity interpreting law in the light of social change."*

You will be able to connect the dots yourself when you look at the next several pages. You will see that the things that are happening to the United States were planned away back!

Why the loose borders? Why are our industries moving out of the United States? Why all the treaties that are erasing our borders?  
Why? Why? Why?

When Ronald Reagan was president, he boldly displayed his presidential book on television, stating that we are going from an industrial society to a services and information society. Reagan also wanted to replace the "Star Spangled Banner" as our national anthem.




# THE New World Order - AIN'T SO NEW!

Pa.1

## TEN GROUPS INSTEAD OF THE 50 STATES

1935



1991



Marshall and FDR tested many regional alignment versions in the thirties.



PRES. GEO. BUSH'S NEW WORLD ORDER IS A BRUSH OF F.D.R.'S WORLD GOVT. PLAN.

The diagram of the U. S. on the left is the plan set forth by the National Resources Planning Board in the 1930's during the administration of FDR with the stated purpose of abolishing the states. Read the attached article which accompanied the map as they can in the New York Times in 1935. In the least, read the first paragraph and the last paragraph. Since the public outcry was so great, the Congress shut down the National Resources Planning Board in 1942; however the plans of the NRPB were shelved only temporarily.

The diagram on the right depicts the updated version of a "regionalized" United States. The dormant plans were activated in 1952 under Pres. Richard M. Nixon who partitioned the nation thusly in order to install the regional system. In 1935 "regionalists" were called "revisionists". The plans today are the same as they were then - to disintegrate the states and the counties and to substitute a socialist management system. The new management system is not only geographical in change - it is a totally different concept of government, socially and economically. It means complete control - a totally centralized government in Washington, D.C. It is both totalitarianism and dictatorship commanded under the United Nations system.

THE PLAN  
TO ABOLISH  
OUR STATES  
AND OUR  
CONSTITUTIONS.

WHEN THE STATES ARE ANNIHILATED ENTIRELY (WHICH IS VERY NEAR) OUR PARADISE OF FREEDOM & LIBERTY WILL SIMULTANEOUSLY BE ANNIHILATED. THE REGIONAL SYSTEM IS MILITARY IN FULL OPERATION. THESE ACTIVITIES BEING CARRIED OUT BY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ARE AGAINST THE LAW | PROTEST TO YOUR STATE REPRESENTATIVE.



The Map of the United States as It Might Be Redrawn by the "Evolutionists"—States' Rights Would Be Abolished and the Country Would Be Divided Into Nine Departments

# NINE GROUPS INSTEAD OF THE 48 STATES

# NINE GROUPS INSTEAD OF THE 48 STATES

## A Proposal for Rebuilding the Structure of Government In Order to Deal With Issues on a National Scale

BY DEWLETT CLARK  
Washington.

There is a growing sentiment in all too many minds to be termed a movement among certain members of Congress and advanced social views and a desire to break with tradition, a fear of drastic change in our form of government is naturally a natural result of the reforms proposed by the various groups of our country. It is, however, a movement to which I am opposed. It is as far as they provide a check upon the Federal Government, so far as they do not in itself, it is a fact that the abolition would be a disaster to the United States. It is a fact that the abolition would be a disaster to the United States. It is a fact that the abolition would be a disaster to the United States.

A fourth as a two-thirds vote of both houses of Congress and a three-fourths vote of the States. The ready alarming features, there are many, is the fact that in times of great emergency, when trade union States sovereignty must be forgotten for the common welfare, emergency acts of the Federal Government may be effectively restricted by the fact that there exist States in which cannot be removed by that great national parliament.

The time has come, they say, when we should realize that the functions of the Federal Government have become more than those of a peace officer, when the successful writing of July 4th States has been made for recognition, through revivals of what has become a cumbersome instrument of government.

This bill has often largely under the law, which has brought to the fore urgent national problems that can be met only on a national scale; proposed remedies are often

New York—was told by the Supreme Court of the United States that it must not regulate the price of milk within its borders if that milk was produced in another State, since that would constitute an interstate commerce with interstate commerce.

While many of the more advanced school do not necessarily quarrel with these decisions on legal grounds, they are tremendously irritated by the system which makes them possible. It takes a very great deal indeed, they admit, to fly in the face of tradition and establish an important precedent. Even those who would shy away from any suggestion of fundamental change in our instrument of government believe more willing to accept, or if not willing then change of method, if all reform legislation of a national character is not to be hampered by interminable court opinions.

Among those who believe the States should accept and coordinate changes as a uniting principle is a growing sentiment.



Gateway National Park Forest.

has its own...  
...with its...  
...of New York.

Senator Wagner, himself a lawyer and former justice of a high State tribunal, and governor in his last elected career of such a State, is firm legislation, believes that adherence to the logic of a do-nothing, laissez-faire States, with few of our present problems, leads to a state of that do-nothing, laissez-faire, rather than the living organism it was intended to be. It is a fact that the abolition would be a disaster to the United States. It is a fact that the abolition would be a disaster to the United States.

There are, he pointed out recently, two major considerations before the Supreme Court when it is called upon to determine the constitutionality of a given law. The first is: "Does the legislation violate the process of law or infringe liberty of contract?" This, he added, "is a serious consideration of whether the form of government has overstepped the boundary that separates it from freedom of the individual under our constitutional system." The second consideration is: "Has the Federal Government acted within the limits of its delegated authority under the constitution?" This, he added, "is a serious consideration of whether the form of government has overstepped the boundary that separates it from freedom of the individual under our constitutional system."

Neither of these considerations, said Senator Wagner, should be fixed or inflexible, because "flexible social and economic conditions constantly demand solutions into which State and State laws must be adapted." As an example of what was considered unconstitutional in that it infringed the rights of the individual, the Senator recalled a decision in 1905, when the



Group 8 and Group 9 are relatively easy: the Department of the Rockies and the Department of Transmountains, or, if your prefer, the Department of the Pacific.

NO one seems to have worked out anything resembling a definite plan for an interim Federal Constitution. The very nature and origin of our form of government are such that reform seems quite an arduous task. Could it be brought about by a simple constitutional amendment, and if so, would it affect merely the administrative details of more than thirty-four of the States to which it is applicable?


As to the other two, and their associated problems, we must clearly the greatest difficulty in the task of such a proposed reorganization of our political system is that of a suitable system of state-territory administration. While many of the states in the Union have a long history, this is not true of the territories. There has resulted of late a remarkable movement of population, a self-sustaining movement, a self-sustaining movement of States some of which in the old pre-revolution days hardly knew they had territories. Whether the territories will ever be included in a new system, the territories are more to be feared than to be desired, especially if the Federal Government were to undertake a reorganization and legislate with any possibility of interpreting law in the light of world change.

1935

# Today's Reality

## ADMINISTRATIVE UNITS OF UNITED NATIONS COMMAND

1991



The U.S. regional map above is from an official government publication.

In the 1930's when people rejected the idea of abolishing the states, the method by which the effort was to be continued is revealed in the very last two paragraphs in this article. Jobs were selected who did begin with unanimity to interpret law in the light of the changes desired by the designers of the new world order. Geographical, physical, economic, and social changes were then engineered to accommodate and promote the transition with the Congress supplying continual legislation to advance the effort.

BY KEEPING THE PEOPLE IN UTTER IGNORANCE, WHAT WAS ONCE A THEORY IN THE THIRTIES, THUS BECAME AN ACCOMPLISHED FACT. DUAL GOVERNMENTS HAVE BEEN IN OPERATION SINCE THE ABOVE UNITED NATIONS TEN REGIONS WERE INSTALLED. CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT LINGERS ON A THIN THREAD, AS SOON AS OUR BASE GETS DOWN AWAY, OUR CONSTITUTION AND INDIVIDUAL LAND OWNERSHIP WILL CEASE, WHICH IS A STATED GOAL OF THE UNITED NATIONS. YOUR STATE LEGISLATURE COULD STOP THIS AGAIN AS IN F.D.R.'S DAY.



Did you ever wonder where Vicente Fox gets these ideas from? It takes two to tango, folks! What do you suppose the reason is that Geo W. Bush goes to Mexico for? They're trying to get rid of me!


### THE MEXICAN ARMED FORCES IN TRANSITION

#### INTRODUCTION: NORTH AMERICAN SECURITY AND THE MEXICAN MILITARY

Since coming to power in December 2000, Mexican President Vicente Fox continually has expressed a willingness to pursue further North American integration beyond the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA). At the Quebec City Summit of the Americas in 2001, for example, he declared his hope of moving toward a "North American Union"—an arrangement similar to the European Union (EU) that would involve a common currency, a customs union, new political institutions, the harmonization of a wide range of policies, and the establishment of a North American Regional Development Bank. The then Canadian Prime Minister Jean Chretien received the proposal somewhat coolly, stating his



SOURCE:



h American integration should be strictly economic. George W. Bush did not appear any more receptive has continued, nonetheless, to express his interest in American integration, but, despite having developed a relationship with Bush, his proposals have not gotten complicated that cooperation may be limited only to an agreement.

attacks in the United States in September 2001 changed the foreign policy priorities of the Bush administration to the extent that even an immigration agreement between the United States and Mexico may not now be achievable. In 2001, the United States has focused on issues such as terrorism, and other parts of the world such as the Middle East have taken precedence over North American issues. Fox's desire for closer North American integration does not appear to have abated, however, and he has, in fact, added a defense component to his vision of a more integrated continental system. Soon after the attacks of September 11, he declared:

[W]e consider that the struggle against terrorism forms part of a commitment of Mexico to Canada and the United States, as a result of the need to construct the framework of the North American Free Trade





## NOW HEAR THIS!

Franklin Delano Roosevelt opened the doors of government for the socialist/globalists to apply their 'planning' techniques upon unaware American citizens. Borders between Canada, Mexico, and the U.S.A. were to be eliminated as well as the boundary lines separating the 48 states. Hawaii and Alaska had not yet become 'states'. F.D.R.'s *National Resources Planning Board* in which this subversive planning for global government was engineered was declared 'unconstitutional' in 1942, and the NRPB was ordered to be closed down because of the vigorous outcry from patriotic people who learned what F.D.R. was planning. The intent was to install a whole different foreign system of governing over the people of this nation. The Congress was fearful of the exposure and they had to order the NRPB to be closed down. All funding was ordered by the Congress to be stopped. As time passed, Roosevelt died and Harry Truman picked up the pen and signed the Roosevelt/Hiss inspired United Nations Charter, which activated an international management system over the world. When Eisenhower came into office he re-instituted the NRPB under a different name in 1959. It was then called the "Advisory Commission of Intergovernmental Relations". Funded by Rockefeller money, it spent scores of years drafting model laws for statesmen and Congressmen to use which would gradually alter the system, seep its strength, and permit the conversion of the U.S.A. into machinations as you see in maps such as above. The united "states" were to be replaced by a totalitarian communitarian global management system. International transportation planning only is being shown above, but the intent has been to take away land, cars, guns and American sovereignty and to integrate the people of these countries not only economically, but in every facet of human relationship. You will not be allowed free use of your automobile! Look for Canames and the various Corridor maps also on the Internet. Treaties such as NAFTA, CAFTA, FTAA make such sedition possible. This 'super corridor' will be 4 football fields wide. Eminent domain which will seize people's private land is endorsed by George Bush's E.O. 13406. The East, West corridors are not shown here. Now you may find various maps, maps not only revealing the plans for merging all the North American countries into one government, but altering the whole world. Some candidates for election in the U.S.A. today push the global system upon the unsuspecting people by calling it "democracy". Landowners haven't been able to catch on due to the political double talk! Some people enjoy planning other people's lives for them whether the victims want that kind of cradle-to-grave tyrannical militarized government or not! How about you, my friend? Here is where your tax money has been going!

You need to pull out the map on the other side. It shows all the ten federal regions and subregions for the whole nation. These delineations are for replacement of the American states! Interstate compacts have been written to merge several states into one -- within each region.

What a mistake it was to sign the United Nations Charter! It was signed as a "treaty" but the Charter did not qualify as a "treaty" in 1945! This can all be stopped. The U.N. did not acquire sovereignty until March 19, 1970 at which time the U.S. Senate voted 78 to 22 on "Executive J" to bestow "sovereignty" upon the U.N. Do you know that you can void a treaty? The process is known as "Rebus Sic Stantibus. Look for it up ahead.




# SUB-STATE PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SEPTEMBER 1972



1990 in  
The cou  
into 10  
bold bl  
the ten  
having  
less 50  
places  
are cal  
Multi-j  
tions).  
so recar  
are the  
ture the  
abolish

# MENT DISTRICTS,

STATES AND COUNTIES ARE NO LONGER DESIRED BY THE RECASTING GROUP IN WASHINGTON, D.C. THESE LINES ARE SCHEDULED TO BECOME INACTIVE. ELECTED REPRESENTATION IS ALSO NO LONGER DESIRED. THE ORDER FOR THIS RECASTING SYSTEM CAME FROM THE UNITED NATIONS.



"New States may be admitted by the Congress into this Union; but no new State shall be formed or entered within the jurisdiction of any other state; (nor any State formed by the junction of two or more States,) or parts of States without the consent of the Legislatures of the States concerned as well as the Congress."

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION  
Article IV, Section 3, Paragraph 1

## REGIONALISM—PRESIDENT NIXON'S NEW FEDERALISM

### REGIONAL ALIGNMENTS

Region	Capital	States	Region	Capital	States
1	Boston	Conn., Maine, Mass., N.H., R.I., Vt.	6	Denver	Ark., La., N. Mex., Okla., Tex.
2	N.Y. City	N.Y., N.J., Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands	7	Kansas City	Iowa, Kan., Mo., Nebr., Okla.
3	Atlanta	Del., D.C., Md., Pa., Va., West Va.	8	Denver	Colo., Mont., N.D., S.D., Utah, Wyo.
4	Atlanta	Ala., Fla., Ga., Ky., Miss., N.C., S.C., Tenn.	9	San Francisco	Ariz., Cal., Hawaii, Nev.
5	Chicago	Ill., Ind., Minn., Mich., Ohio, Wis.	10	Seattle	Alaska, Idaho, Ore., Washington

President Nixon on 3-27-69 through the Government Reorganization Act divided the United States into ten Regions. To further implement this Regional Governance over the U.S.A., President Nixon signed Executive Order 11647 and entered it in the Federal Register Feb. 12, 1972. (Vol. 27, No. 30)

Through the authority vested in him as President of the U.S., he established a Federal Regional Council for each of the ten standard regions. It stated that, the President shall designate one member of each such Council as Chairman of that Council and such chairman shall serve at the pleasure of the President.

### UPDATE:

ormation:  
try is partitioned off "regions". The most ck lines delineate sections (regions), each its own "capital". The d lines depict the re- ts for counties. They ed U.M.J.O.s (Umbrella risdictional Organiza- Every state has been t. The finest lines present county struc- t is planned to be d.

# 1 - ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS:  
 S.F., Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano,  
 Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo

# 2 - ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA  
 GOVERNMENTS: Monterey, Santa Cruz  
 GOVERNMENTS:

# 3 - BUTTE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF  
 GOVERNMENTS:

# 4 - CENTRAL SIERRA PLANNING COUNCIL:  
 Amador, Alpine, Calaveras, Tuolumne

# 5 - CITIES AND COUNTY OF COLUSA PLANNING  
 COMMISSION (Inactive since October, 1973)

# 6 - CITIES AND COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN  
 ADVISORY PLANNING ASSOCIATION

# 7 - COUNCIL OF FRESNO COUNTY GOVERNMENT

# 8 - MENDOCINO COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVTS.

# 9 - INYO-YUBA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL  
 ENTITIES

# 10 - YUBA COUNTY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

# 11 - KINGS COUNTY REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

# 12 - LAKE COUNTY AND CITIES AREA PLANNING  
 COUNCIL


# 13 - MENDOCINO COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVTS.

# 14 - MENDOCINO COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVTS.

# 15 - SACRAMENTO REGIONAL AREA PLANNING  
 COMMISSION: Sacramento, Yuba, Sutter,  
 Yuba, El Dorado, Placer


# 16 - SAN DIEGO COUNTY COPPER-  
 INTENSIVE PLANNING ORGANIZATION

# 17 - SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY-  
 CITIES COORDINATING COUNCIL  
 # 18 - SANTA BARBARA  
 COUNTY-CITIES AREA  
 PLANNING COUNCIL



The United Nations Regional Method of Operating The States of America Under World Control. California is "In Transition".

# 19 - SHASTA COUNTY-CITIES AREA PLANNING COMMISSION  
 # 20 - SISKIYOU ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES  
 # 21 - SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS:



- ✓ 22 • STANISLAUS AREA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
- ✓ 23 • TADPOLE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
- ✓ 24 • TRI-COUNTY PLANNING COUNCIL: Tehama, Glenn, Colusa
- ✓ 25 • TULARE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

\*Colusa is a single county COG, within a multicounty COG. Del Norte, Trinity, Modoc, Lassen, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Mariposa, Madera and San Benito are not covered by ANY COG. Information about these areas, and all the other areas as well will be most welcome.

The above delineations have been called by many titles:

- Standard Metropolitan Districts
- Substate Districts
- Umbrella Multi-jurisdictional Organizations
- Super Counties
- Regional Planning Districts
- Area-wide Planning Organizations

These are the most popular titles. What they are in actuality is administrative units of the United Nations System. They are part of the conversion to operation of America under full world (socialist) government. The implementation of these units to abolish our counties is simultaneous-ly the process of abolishing our states. As the governing board of a district takes control (at first 5% are elected officials and 47% are unelected officials) there exists the directive that members of the state and federal government are also a part of the governing board. Because that reaction was so strong against it, the DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE was removed as a part of the govern-ing board at the Lake Tahoe Regional Planning Agency. This was in Jimmy Carter's administration. There are a great many evidences that a military government is being structured to replace the Constitutional system of government in America.

Substate District No. 6 has been colored in. This is your assigned "Umbrella Multi-jurisdictional Organization". We are not addressing "theory". The plan has been forced upon us and has been opera-tional for some years (1971). Because local government was reluctant to give up its county struc-ture, the state accepted what combinations it could get, haphazardly which resulted in differences in regional alignments of Comprehensive Health Program Planning, Air Resources Regions, Criminal Justice Regions, Water Quality Regions, Cal-trans Districts, etc., melding counties together so that these above districts are ultimate management systems after all counties (and cities) have disap-peared. Consider this: The above "Umbrella Multi-Jurisdictional Organization" with six melded counties will operate with one governing board over the whole area. Too large, too remote, and too dictator-ial, these boards will have these powers and functions: Comprehensive Planning Process over the whole area with one planning director in charge; Power of the purse with one treasurer collecting the money from the area's residents; One taxing authority; Control of everything including Special Districts; Holds veto power over actions of the whole area; Holds clearinghouse power and functions; Mandatory membership requirements (and if an area does not direct its taxes to them, the area or former county gets no voting rights); Ultimate direction is full appointive management and not elected re-presentation; Holds the "right to perpetual succession" for its form of government; Operated by "intellectuals" calling themselves welfare scientists, political and behavioral scientists, etc. Civilian administrations and military concepts are being integrated on a regional basis.

# THE TWILIGHT OF THE STATES



The President's Council on Administrative Management, 1938-39  
Left to right: Luther H. Gulick; Charles E. Merriam; Louis Brandeis

Hired by F.D.R., these three men were the central figures in the early day planning for the overthrow of the American government. One of their intentions was to abolish the states and replace them with "regions". They conceived the methodologies and techniques now being used in the gradual step-by-step transformation of the United States from a republic into a link in a socialist world order. They used the word "democracy" as a *stand-in* word for communist/socialist practices and principles that were to come.

Luther Gulick expressed his feelings for the states in this way:

"Is the state the appropriate instrumentality for the discharge of important functions? The answer is not a matter of conjecture, or delicate appraisal. It's a matter of brutal record: *The American state is finished! I do not predict that the states will go! I affirm that they have gone!*"



Charles E. Merriam who was the go-between for the Rockefeller family and Franklin D. Roosevelt stated:

"Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of *democracy* to changing conditions without serious difficulty."

In his book, entitled: "*On the Agenda of Democracy*," Merriam revealed what is meant by *democracy*. It means the adoption of communist/socialist principles and goals. Many gradual step-by-step alterations were introduced leading toward the decline and eventual elimination of the state as an entity.

# THE TWO PHANTOMS BEHIND GEORGE W. BUSH



George W. Bush, like all other presidents, in both parties, venerates Franklin D. Roosevelt. Bush, just as all presidents before him, adheres to the doctrine of F.D.R. and his mentor, Charles E. Merriam. Merriam summed up his doctrine by saying: "Revolution is the *old way!* The *new way* is Education, Persuasion, Co-Operation, and Participation". Merriam set the course for F.D.R. and all future presidents on *how to discreetly "...bring in socialism (communism)...."* In Merriam's book entitled "On the Agenda of Democracy", he advocated and defined communism, but he deliberately labeled it "democracy". So, by using "democracy" as the 'stand-in' word, they would be able to gradually convert America into a socialist/communist country. Regarding the tactics they would use, one of the revealing statements Merriam made was: "*Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of democracy to changing conditions without serious difficulty.*"

The idea was to get past the people's objection, to daunt their resistance by bringing in communism on the coattails of the Constitution, and by cloaking it with Constitutional rhetoric, they could justify and force its acceptance. Essentially, what he was saying is that the socialists could use the Constitution to successfully bring in communism without the people catching on! Obviously, Merriam's technique has worked. F.D.R. instituted that method in government and every president since has operated under it.

President Bush is so obvious in his adherence to Merriam's instruction by his most frequent use of *persuasion*. "*Just trust me*" he pleads as he converts the U.S.A. into a dictatorship type of government under the name of 'Homeland Security', something so necessary to the New World Order! F.D.R.'s "New Deal" previously consolidated much power at the federal level and led to the breakdown of local control in cities all over the nation. "Human Rights", "Four Freedoms", "Regional Government", Redevelopment, the 'General and Complete Disarmament Program' (including taking guns away from the law-abiding citizens), Unification of Laws, the "United Nations", etc., all can be documented in their origin in the Franklin D. Roosevelt time period. Roosevelt and Merriam broke ground for every president that followed. The "New Deal" at that time was also called the "New World Moral Order". They laid out the plans and structure, which is why so many presidents pay tribute to Franklin D. Roosevelt, regardless of party. Truly, Charles E. Merriam and F.D.R. are the phantoms behind the scene!

## Charles E. Merriam

He was the mentor to F.D.R. Merriam was funded by the Rockefeller family. He was acclaimed to be the father of the behavioral approach to political science. He held three Master degrees in subjects dealing with people, politics, and power. These subjects were co-ordinated in his mind enabling him to plan out and chart the road to a socialist U.S.A.



Charles E. Merriam



Franklin D. Roosevelt

## Franklin D. Roosevelt

Franklin D. Roosevelt was a socialist. F.D.R.'s casual manner and his father-like appearance gave confidence to the nation in the Depression days. He collaborated with Alger Hiss, the convicted communist spy who drafted the United Nations Charter. It is a plan for overthrowing the American system of government.



# SHOULD WE ALLOW THE NULLIFICATION OF THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE TO GO UNCHALLENGED ? ?



1976

## HERE IS A PHOTO OF REPRESENTATIVE HENRY S. REUSS, D-WISC. SIGNING A DECLARATION OF INTER-DEPENDENCE

He was joined in this act to attempt to nullify our Declaration of Independence by about 124 other members of the United States Senate and House of Representatives. It was set forth by the World Affairs Council of Philadelphia. The ceremony was held on Friday, January 30, 1976.

### Loyalty Oath Is Now 'Dead'

WASHINGTON, Jan. 6—The loyalty oath that the past generations of federal employees had had to sign is dead.

The Civil Service Commission quietly informed all federal departments and agencies three months ago that prospective employees would not have to sign an affidavit stating the applicant is neither a Communist nor a Fascist, nor needs to give the government.

Yet, because many federal agencies have not published the change, about 75,000 new federal employees have papers containing the loyalty oath.


The alteration stems from a suit in which a Washington schoolteacher, Mrs. Nancy Stewart, successfully challenged the constitutionality of the law that sustained the loyalty oath.

One year ago Mrs. Stewart applied for a substitute teacher's position in the District of Columbia school system and was told she was hired. However, she refused to sign the standard federal "appointment affidavit" on the ground that "it was unconstitutional and contrary to her obligations and duty as a citizen, and objectionable as a matter of conscience."

Mrs. Stewart was told by school authorities she could not be hired and that there was no appeal from this decision. She sued, supported by the local chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union.

On June 4 a special three-judge federal court ruled in her favor that the statute in which the loyalty oath section of the affidavit was issued was unconstitutional upon.

# THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE



1776

YOU CANNOT SERVE TWO MASTERS - EITHER YOU BELIEVE IN THIS DOCUMENT OR ELSE YOU BELIEVE IN THE DOCUMENT FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT WHICH IS BEING SIGNED ON THE OTHER SIDE.

IT IS WELL TO REMEMBER THAT THIS DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE IS DOCUMENTARY PROOF OF YOUR NATURAL RIGHTS, CONFIRMED BY THE FOUNDERS OF THIS REPUBLIC.

"BEFORE ENTERING ON SO GRAVE A MATTER AS THE DESTRUCTION OF OUR NATIONAL FABRIC, WITH ALL ITS MEMORIES, ITS BENEFITS, ITS HOPES, WOULD IT NOT BE WISE TO ASCERTAIN WHY WE DO IT? WILL YOU HAZARD SO DESPERATE A STEP, WHILE THERE IS ANY POSSIBILITY THAT ANY PORTION OF THE ILLS YOU FLY TO ARE GREATER THAN THE ILLS YOU FLY FROM? WILL YOU RISK THE COMMISSION OF SO FEARFUL A MISTAKE?" .....Abraham Lincoln

THE PEOPLE WHO ARE SIGNING THE DOCUMENT ON THE REVERSE SIDE ARE DOING SO WITHOUT THE CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED.

THESE PEOPLE WERE NOT SENT TO WASHINGTON, D.C. TO DO THIS. THEY WERE NOT HIRED FOR THIS PURPOSE. THEY MUST BE TOLD THAT WHAT THEY DO IS AGAINST THE LAW!

## Declaration of INTERdependence:

(p. 37) *"To establish a new world order of compassion, peace, justice and security, it is essential that mankind free itself from the limitations of national prejudice . . ."*

—Henry Steele Commager

U.S. Ambassador to Italy: "If instant world government, Charter review, and a greatly strengthened International Court do not provide the answers, what hopes for progress is there?"

—Richard Gardner (see p. 4)

U.S. Ambassador to Great Britain: "Maybe by 1987 we could . . . celebrate the 200th year of the Constitution with at least the beginning of global arrangements and institutions . . ."

—Kingman Brewster, Jr. (see p. 6)

Advisor to Pres. Carter: ". . . some international cooperation has already been achieved, but further progress will require greater American sacrifices."

—Brzezinski (see p. 4)

Former U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency: ". . . the trilateral community of the developed nations . . . could well be a major factor in building a new world order."

—Gerald C. Smith (see p. 7)

Former Sec. of State: ". . . there exists an extraordinary opportunity to achieve for the first time in history a truly global society, carried by the principle of interdependence."

—Henry Kissinger (see p. 5)

The signing of the INTERdependence Declaration was given no attention in the nation's press and, of course, the Congressmen endorsing the instrument have not been publicized. They are"

#### SENATORS

James Abourezak (D.-S.D.)  
Edward W. Brooke (R.-Mass.)  
Dick Clark (D.-Iowa)  
Alan Cranston (D.-Cal.)  
Frank Church (D.-Idaho)  
Jacob K. Javits (R.-N.Y.)  
Mike Gravel (D.-Alaska)  
Philip Hart (D.-Mich.)  
Vance Hartke (D.-Ind.)  
Mark Hatfield (R.-Ore.)  
Hubert H. Humphrey (D.-Minn.)

Daniel K. Inouye (D.-Hawaii)  
Gale W. McGee (D.-Wyo.)  
George McGovern (D.-S.D.)  
Thomas J. McIntyre (D.-N.H.)  
Mike Mansfield (D.-Mont.)  
Charles McC. Mathias (R.-Md.)  
Lee Metcalf (D.-Mont.)  
Walter F. Mondale (D.-Minn.)  
Gaylord Nelson (D.-Wisc.)  
Robert Packwood (R.-Ore.)  
James B. Pearson (R.-Kans.)

Claiborne Pell (D.-R.I.)  
William Proxmire (D.-Wisc.)  
Abraham Ribicoff (D.-Conn.)  
\*Richard S. Schweiker (R.-Pa.)  
Hugh D. Scott (R.-Pa.)  
John Sparkman (D.-Ala.)  
Adlai E. Stevenson, III (D.-Ill.)  
John V. Tunney (D.-Cal.)  
\*Milton R. Young (R.-N.D.)  
Harrison Williams, Jr. (D.-N.J.)

#### REPRESENTATIVES

Carl Albert (D.-Okla.)  
\*Mark Andrews (R.-N.D.)  
John B. Anderson (R.-Ill.)  
Les Aspin (D.-Wisc.)  
Herman Badillo (D.-N.Y.)  
William A. Barrett (D.-Pa.)  
Berkeley Bedell (D.-Iowa)  
Edward G. Biester, Jr. (R.-Pa.)  
Jonathan B. Bingham (D.-N.Y.)  
Edward Boland, Jr. (D.-Mass.)  
Richard Bolling (D.-Mo.)  
John Brademas (D.-Ind.)  
William Brodhead (D.-Mich.)  
George E. Brown, Jr. (D.-Cal.)  
Yvonne B. Burke (D.-Cal.)  
\*Goodloe E. Byron (D.-Md.)  
Robert Carr (D.-Mich.)  
Cardiss Collins (D.-Ill.)  
Silvio O. Conte (R.-Mass.)  
John J. Conyers, Jr. (D.-Mich.)  
James C. Corman (D.-Cal.)  
George E. Danielson (D.-Cal.)  
Ronald V. Dellums (D.-Cal.)  
Robert F. Drinan (D.-Mass.)  
Robert B. Duncan (D.-Ore.)  
Robert W. Edgar (D.-Pa.)  
Don Edwards (D.-Cal.)  
Joshua Eilberg (D.-Pa.)  
Millicent H. Fenwick (R.-N.J.)  
\*Daniel J. Flood (D.-Pa.)  
James J. Florio (D.-N.J.)

William D. Ford (D.-Mich.)  
Edwin B. Forsythe (R.-N.J.)  
Donald M. Fraser (D.-Minn.)  
Sam M. Gibbons (D.-Fla.)  
William J. Green (D.-Pa.)  
Gilbert Gude (R.-Md.)  
Thomas R. Harkin (D.-Iowa)  
Michael Harrington (D.-Mass.)  
Augustus F. Hawkins (D.-Cal.)  
Henry Helstoski (D.-N.J.)  
Frank Horton (R.-N.Y.)  
Robert E. Jones (D.-Ala.)  
Barbara Jordan (D.-Tex.)  
Martha E. Keys (D.-Kans.)  
Robert L. Leggett (D.-Cal.)  
Norman F. Lent (R.-N.Y.)  
Clarence D. Long (D.-Md.)  
Paul N. McCloskey, Jr. (R.-Cal.)  
Matthew F. McHugh (D.-N.Y.)  
Torbert Macdonald (D.-Mass.)  
Spark Matsunaga (D.-Hawaii)  
Lloyd Meeds (D.-Wash.)  
Ralph H. Metcalfe (D.-Ill.)  
Helen D. Meyner (D.-N.J.)  
Edward Marvinsky (D.-Iowa)  
Abner J. Mikva (D.-Ill.)  
Norman Y. Mineta (D.-Cal.)  
Patsy Mink (D.-Hawaii)  
William S. Moorhead (D.-Pa.)  
John E. Moss (D.-Cal.)  
Thomas E. Morgan (D.-Pa.)

\*John M. Murphy (D.-N.Y.)  
Robert N. C. Nix (D.-Pa.)  
Richard Nolan (D.-Minn.)  
Richard L. Ottinger (D.-N.Y.)  
Claude D. Pepper (D.-Fla.)  
Charles B. Rangel (D.-N.Y.)  
Thomas M. Rees (D.-Cal.)  
Henry S. Reuss (D.-Wisc.)  
Frederick Richmond (D.-N.Y.)  
Peter W. Rodino, Jr. (D.-N.J.)  
Fred B. Rooney (D.-Pa.)  
\*Charles Rose (D.-N.C.)  
Edward R. Roybal (D.-Cal.)  
Leo J. Ryan (D.-Cal.)  
Fernand J. St. Germain (D.-R.I.)  
Herman T. Schneebeli (R.-Pa.)  
Patricia Schroeder (D.-Colo.)  
John F. Seiberling, Jr. (D.-Ohio)  
Paul Simon (D.-Ill.)  
Fortney H. Stark (D.-Cal.)  
Louis Stokes (D.-Ohio)  
James W. Symington (D.-Mo.)  
Frank Thompson, Jr. (D.-N.J.)  
Paul E. Tsongas (D.-Mass.)  
Morris K. Udall (D.-Ariz.)  
Lionel Van Deerlin (D.-Cal.)  
Richard Vander Veem (D.-Mich.)  
Charles A. Vank (D.-Ohio)  
\*Bob Wilson (R.-Cal.)  
Clement J. Zablocki (D.-Wisc.)

X Those names with an X marked before them have either removed their names -or- have announced that their name was used without their permission.

# A Declaration Of INTERdependence

---

**WHEN** IN THE COURSE OF HISTORY the threat of extinction confronts mankind, it is necessary for the people of The United States to declare their interdependence with the people of all nations and to embrace those principles and build those institutions which will enable mankind to survive and civilization to flourish.

Two centuries ago our forefathers brought forth a new nation; now we must join with others to bring forth a new world order. On this historic occasion it is proper that the American people should reaffirm those principles on which the United States of America was founded, acknowledge the new crises which confront them, accept the new obligations which history imposes upon them, and set forth the causes which impel them to affirm before all peoples their commitment to a Declaration of Interdependence.

We hold these truths to be self-evident that all men are created equal; that the inequalities and injustices which afflict so much of the human race are the product of history and society, not of God or nature; that people everywhere are entitled to the blessings of life and liberty, peace and security and the realization of their full potential; that they have an inescapable moral obligation to preserve those rights for posterity; and that to achieve these ends all the peoples and nations of the globe should acknowledge their interdependence and join together to dedicate their minds and their hearts to the solution of those problems which threaten their survival.

To establish a new world order of compassion, peace, justice and security, it is essential that mankind free itself from the limitations of national prejudice, and acknowledge that the forces that unite it are incomparably deeper than those that divide it – that all people are part of one global community, dependent on one body of resources, bound together by the ties of a common humanity and associated in a common adventure on the planet Earth.

Let us then join together to vindicate and realize this great truth that mankind is one, and as one will nobly save or irreparably lose the heritage of thousands of years of civilization. And let us set forth the principles which should animate and inspire us if our civilization is to survive.

**WE AFFIRM** that the resources of the globe are finite, not infinite, that they are the heritage of no one nation or generation, but of all peoples, nations and of posterity, and that our deepest obligation is to transmit to that posterity a planet richer in material bounty, in beauty and in delight than we found it. Narrow notions of national sovereignty must not be permitted to curtail that obligation.

**WE AFFIRM** that the exploitation of the poor by the rich, and the weak by the strong violates our common humanity and denies to large segments of society the blessings of life, liberty and happiness. We recognize a moral obligation to strive for a more prudent and more equitable sharing of the resources of the earth in order to ameliorate poverty, hunger and disease.

**WE AFFIRM** that the resources of nature are sufficient to nourish and sustain all the present inhabitants of the globe and that there is an obligation on every society to distribute those resources equitably, along with a corollary obligation

upon every society to assure that its population does not place upon Nature a burden heavier than it can bear.

WE AFFIRM our responsibility to help create conditions which will make for peace and security and to build more effective machinery for keeping peace among the nations. Because the insensate accumulation of nuclear, chemical and biological weapons threatens the survival of Mankind we call for the immediate reduction and eventual elimination of these weapons under international supervision. We deplore the reliance on force to settle disputes between nation states and between rival groups within such states.

WE AFFIRM that the oceans are the common property of mankind whose dependence on their incomparable resources of nourishment and strength will, in the next century, become crucial for human survival, and that their exploitation should be so regulated as to serve the interests of the entire globe, and of future generations.

WE AFFIRM that pollution flows with the waters and flies with the winds, that it recognizes no boundary lines and penetrates all defenses, that it works irreparable damage alike to Nature and to Mankind—threatening with extinction the life of the seas, the flora and fauna of the earth, the health of the people in cities and the countryside alike—and that it can be adequately controlled only through international cooperation.

WE AFFIRM that the exploration and utilization of outer space is a matter equally important to all the nations of the globe and that no nation can be permitted to exploit or develop the potentialities of the planetary system exclusively for its own benefit.

WE AFFIRM that the economy of all nations is a seamless web, and that no one nation can any longer effectively maintain its processes of production and monetary systems without recognizing the necessity for collaborative regulation by international authorities.

WE AFFIRM that in a civilized society, the institutions of science and the arts are never at war and call upon all nations to exempt these institutions from the claims of chauvinistic nationalism and to foster that great community of learning and creativity whose benign function it is to advance civilization and the health and happiness of mankind.

WE AFFIRM that a world without law is a world without order, and we call upon all nations to strengthen and to sustain the United Nations and its specialized agencies, and other institutions of world order, and to broaden the jurisdiction of the World Court, that these may preside over a reign of law that will not only end wars but end as well that mindless violence which terrorizes our society even in times of peace.

**WE** can no longer afford to make little plans, allow ourselves to be the captives of events and forces over which we have no control, consult our fears rather than our hopes. We call upon the American people, on the threshold of the third century of their national existence, to display once again that boldness, enterprise, magnanimity and vision which enabled the founders of our Republic to bring forth a new nation and inaugurate a new era in human history. The fate of humanity hangs in the balance. Throughout the globe, hearts and hopes wait upon us. We summon all Mankind to unite to meet the great challenge.

— Henry Steele Commager  
October 24, 1975

---



**BILL CLINTON**  
... Meets with globalist buddies.



**ERNEST HOLLINGS**  
... Stands up for America.

## U.S. Acknowledges Supremacy of the UN

*It was only a matter of time: The United States has answered to the UN for human rights violations.*

EXCLUSIVE TO THE SPOTLIGHT

By JAMES P. TUCKER JR.

The United States has gone through its first self-flagellation, groveling before the United Nations as required by a treaty ratified two years ago.

Under the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the United States has to account to the United Nations Human Rights Committee for its conduct.

So the State Department submitted a 216-page "compliance report" effectively apologizing to the world government because some states execute murderers and some local police officers reportedly were less than gentle in handling violent felons.

Throughout history, John Shattuck, assistant secretary of state, wrote in the report, the United States has experienced "egregious human rights violations in [the] ongoing struggle for justice."

The report apologizes for slavery of more than a century ago, destruction of Native American culture, the treatment of illegal aliens and denial of rights to women.

"It's part of conditioning the minds of Americans to accept a world government to which the United States will ultimately surrender all sovereignty," said a career State Department official who has tracked the movement for years.

"Each year, we will read short news stories about the United States accounting to the world body for its behavior, and the public will be conditioned to accept the fact that the United Nations is the ultimate

The U.N. has superseded U.S. sovereignty in so many ways. It is causing Constitutional limited government to be overthrown! Even the Bill of Rights is looked down upon at the U.N. Isn't it about time you put the pieces together and realize what is happening to you?



**This  
has  
got  
to  
shock  
you!**



**President Wm. Clinton  
has issued his presidential  
Executive Order No. 13107 →**

**to replace our precious  
Bill of Rights**

**with an**

**"International Bill of Rights"**

**also known as**

**the Human Rights Treaties.**

**As we are pushed into  
international command and  
control,**

**our own endowments**

**from the Creator,**

**listed in the**

**American Bill of Rights**

**will no longer be honored.**

**This constitutes a massive loss!**



[Federal Register: December 15, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 240)]  
[Presidential Documents]  
[Page 68991-68993]  
From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]  
[DOCID:fr13de98-110]

Presidential Documents

[[Page 68991]]

Executive Order 13197 of December 10, 1998

Implementation of Human Rights Treaties

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and bearing in mind the obligations of the United States pursuant to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), and other relevant treaties concerned with the protection and promotion of human rights to which the United States is now or may become a party in the future, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Implementation of Human Rights Obligations.

(a) It shall be the policy and practice of the Government of the United States, being committed to the protection and promotion of human rights and fundamental freedoms, fully to respect and implement its obligations under the international human rights treaties to which it is a party, including the ICCPR, the CAT, and the CERD.

(b) It shall also be the policy and practice of the Government of the United States to promote respect for international human rights, both in our relationships with all other countries and by working with and strengthening the various international mechanisms for the promotion of human rights, including, inter alia, those of the United Nations, the International Labor Organization, and the Organization of American States.

Sec. 2. Responsibility of Executive Departments and Agencies. (a) All executive departments and agencies (as defined in 5 U.S.C. 101-105, including boards and commissions, and hereinafter referred to collectively as "agency" or "agencies") shall maintain a current awareness of United States international human rights obligations that are relevant to their functions and shall perform such functions so as to respect and implement those obligations fully. The head of each agency shall designate a single contact officer who will be responsible for overall coordination of the implementation of this order. Under this order, all such agencies shall retain their established

AND NOW IT IS THE BILL OF RIGHTS BEING SUPPLANTED BY OTHER COMMUNIST DOCUMENTS, THE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATIES!

ICCPR - This treaty deals with everyone's "right" to food, clothing, housing, etc., for everyone all over the world.

Notice that this executive order is open-ended.

institutional roles in the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of Federal law and policy.

(B) The heads of agencies shall have lead responsibility, in coordination with other appropriate agencies, for questions concerning implementation of human rights obligations that fall within their respective operating and program responsibilities and authorities or, to the extent that matters do not fall within the operating and program responsibilities and authorities of any agency, that most closely relate to their general areas of concern.

Sec. 3. Human Rights Inquiries and Complaints. Each agency shall take lead responsibility, in coordination with other appropriate agencies, for responding to inquiries, requests for information, and complaints about violations of human rights obligations that fall within its areas of responsibility or, if the matter does not fall within its areas of responsibility, referring it to the appropriate agency for response.

Sec. 4. Intergovernmental Working Group on Human Rights Treaties. (a) There is hereby established an Intergovernmental Working Group on Human Rights Treaties for the purpose of providing guidance, oversight, and coordination with respect to questions concerning the adherence to and implementation of human rights obligations and related matters.

[[Page 64932]]

(b) The designee of the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs shall chair the Intergovernmental Working Group, which shall consist of appropriate policy and legal representatives at the Assistant Secretary level from the Department of State, the Department of Justice, the Department of Labor, the Department of Defense, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and other agencies as the chair deems appropriate. The principal members may designate alternates to attend meetings in their stead.

(c) The principal functions of the Intergovernmental Working Group shall include:

- (i) coordinating the interagency review of any significant issues concerning the implementation of this order and analysis and recommendations in connection with pursuing the ratification of human rights treaties, as such questions may from time to time arise;
- (ii) coordinating the preparation of reports that are to be submitted by the United States in fulfillment of treaty obligations;
- (iii) coordinating the responses of the United States Government to complaints against it concerning alleged human rights violations submitted to the United Nations, the Organization of American States, and other international organizations;
- (iv) developing effective mechanisms to ensure that legislation proposed by the Administration is reviewed for conformity with international human rights obligations and that these obligations are taken into account in reviewing legislation under consideration by the Congress as well;

(v) developing recommended proposals and mechanisms for improving the monitoring of the actions by the various States, Commonwealths, and territories of the United States and, where appropriate, of Native Americans and Federally recognized Indian tribes, including the review of State, Commonwealth, and territorial laws for their conformity with relevant treaties, the provision of relevant information for reports and other monitoring purposes, and the promotion of effective remedial mechanisms;

(vi) developing plans for public outreach and education concerning the provisions of the ICCPR, CAT, CED, and other relevant treaties, and human rights-related provisions of domestic law;

(vii) coordinating and directing an annual review of United States reservations, declarations, and understandings to human rights treaties, and matters as to which there have been nontrivial complaints or allegations of inconsistency with or breach of international human rights obligations, in order to determine whether there should be consideration of any modification of relevant reservations, declarations, and understandings to human rights treaties, or United States practices or laws. The results and recommendations of this review shall be reviewed by the head of each participating agency;

(viii) making such other recommendations as it shall deem appropriate to the President, through the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs, concerning United States adherence to or implementation of human rights treaties and related matters; and

(ix) coordinating such other significant tasks in connection with human rights treaties or international human rights institutions, including the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights and the Special Rapporteurs and complaints procedures established by the United Nations Human Rights Commission.

(d) The work of the interagency Working Group shall not supplant the work of other interagency entities, including the President's Committee on the International Labor Organization, that address international human rights issues.

Sec. 5. Cooperation Among Executive Departments and Agencies. All agencies shall cooperate in carrying out the provisions of this order. The interagency Working Group shall facilitate such cooperative measures.

[[Page 68993]]

Sec. 6. Judicial Review, Scope, and Administration. (a) Nothing in this order shall create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party against the United States, its agencies or instrumentalities, its officers or employees, or any other person.

(b) This order does not supersede Federal statutes and does not impose any justiciable obligations on the executive branch.

(c) The term "treaty obligations" shall mean treaty obligations as approved by the Senate pursuant to Article II, section 2, clause 2 of the United States Constitution.

(d) To the maximum extent practicable and subject to the availability of appropriations, agencies shall carry out the provisions of this order.

(Presidential Sig.)<Clinton1><Clinton2>

THE WHITE HOUSE,

December 10, 1998.

[PW Doc. 98-33348  
Filed 12-14-98; 8:45 am]  
Billing code 3193-01-7

*Refer to "And not a shot  
was fired" for any  
understanding of the  
objectives of an "agency."*

**The rights covered in the American Bill of Rights  
are bestowed upon man by the Creator.**

**No man can take these rights away!**

**They are superior to the rights  
which are granted  
to the people by government.**

---

**Government-granted rights  
in these Human Rights Treaties  
can also be taken away by government!**

**There is no provision for firearms!**

**We will be helpless  
without our own Second Amendment!**

# THE AWFUL TRUTH ABOUT THE HUMAN RIGHTS TREATIES

The joke is on  
you, my friends



Once again, by applying an innocent-sounding name or title to a goal, which is not so innocent, it helps the evil aspirations of internationalists to pull the wool over the eyes of the unsuspecting public. This time an innocent sounding title is being used which glorifies the subversive Human Rights Treaties. This beguiling action replaces the inherent and natural rights of the people and substitutes "rights" that conform to totalitarian goals and world-wide management systems. Through the years, many of our presidents (George W. Bush is no exception!) have been forcing other nations to accept these communist Human Rights Treaties lest they run the risk of losing U.S. foreign aid. A section in the U.S. Foreign Aid & Assistance Act of 1961 supports this fact.

A false impression of these treaties is being given to the people of the United States, so that they will continue to be the suppliers of the money that is required to finance the 1961 Foreign Aid & Assistance Act.

Unfortunately, the people continue to honor the term "human rights" that they so fleetingly hear mentioned on a media broadcast without contest. Contrary to the impression given by our presidents in news releases, the treaties really are not intended to stop war crimes and related cruelties. As you review the treaties you will see that this is not their intention; instead, these treaties are codifying laws for the rights of (communist) man, laying down policies for world government management, and erecting segments of the world judiciary system. The underpinnings of the world court are being erected. Those rights that international law will allow to U.S. citizens are to be subject to restrictions and modifications in accordance to charges that occur in international law.

The initial enticements can easily be dropped later after adoption of these treaties.

When the United Nations organization first presented these four Human Rights Treaties (covenants), they described them as a "Global Bill of Rights" which took them "thirty years of endeavor". They are undoubtably a communist Bill of Rights. Accordingly, it has become a RIGHT -- FOR EVERYONE -- ON A WORLD SCALE (whether they earn it or not) -- to receive adequate food, clothing, housing, health care, recreation, etc. As government steps in to fulfill these "rights" -- regardless of what name you may choose to call it -- it still IS communist!

Have you ever wondered what is happening to the rights we speak of as being our birthrights, meaning those rights that are confirmed by the Americanist Bill of Rights and defined by it as being unalterable, and an endowment from the Creator? The answer is that our birthrights are being degraded and superseded by these United Nations Human Rights Treaties!

The demotion is immediately apparent: our birthrights are being removed from God's authority to man's authority. Henceforth, our rights will be subject to alteration by the humanists, communists, and socialists who control these Human Rights Treaties.

The world judiciary that has been erected negates our own rightful judiciary. It must be recognized that we are undergoing many changes forced upon us as a result of various other interrelated treaties signed by many U.S. presidents. The result is that your right to control your local government, the direction of your state and nation, and

even the course of your own personal life, is now being eliminated.

Meanwhile, Congress is passing laws to negate the principles within the Americanist Bill of Rights, which delete those rights that our founding fathers confirmed and declared as not being subject to repeal. This situation will continue, provided you allow these treaties to stand.

Lyndon B. Johnson signed the first Human Rights Treaty in 1966. James E. Carter signed the other three of the four treaties in 1977. Not all have been ratified by the Senate of the United States; however, the required number of worldwide ratifications by countries that are members of the United Nations has been acquired by that international body; therefore, these treaties are considered to be "in force on an international level". All four treaties are now considered to be binding upon the United States without ratification, accessions, or adherences due to U.S. membership in the U.N. It is required that U.N. members adhere to all treaties that have been ratified within the General Assembly.

Sandra Day O'Connor was selected for the appointment to the U.S. Supreme Court because reportedly, she was prepared to make decisions in favor of international human rights. John Foster Dulles has fably stated that treaties supersede the U.S. Constitution and are supreme law of this land. This is not true!

Before you deem it so essential to rally in support of our president's call to defend "human rights", better familiarize yourself with them first.

Note: A copy of the Human Rights Treaties are available upon request.

[Federal Register: December 15, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 240)]  
[Presidential Documents]  
[Page 48991-48993]  
From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [www.access.gpo.gov]  
[DOCID:fr13de98-110]

Presidential Documents

[[Page 48991]]

Executive Order 13107 of December 10, 1998

Implementation of Human Rights Treaties

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and bearing in mind the obligations of the United States pursuant to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), the Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT), the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination (CERD), and other relevant treaties concerned with the protection and promotion of human rights to which the United States is now or may become a party in the future, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1. Implementation of Human Rights Obligations.  
(a) It shall be the policy and practice of the Government of the United States, being committed to the protection and promotion of human rights and fundamental freedoms, fully to respect and implement its obligations under the international human rights treaties to which it is a party, including the ICCPR, the CAT, and the CERD.

(b) It shall also be the policy and practice of the Government of the United States to promote respect for international human rights, both in our relationships with all other countries and by working with and strengthening the various international mechanisms for the promotion of human rights, including, inter alia, those of the United Nations, the International Labor Organization, and the Organization of American States.

Sec. 2. Responsibility of Executive Departments and Agencies. (a) All executive departments and agencies (as defined in 5 U.S.C. 101-103, including boards and commissions, and hereinafter referred to collectively as "agency" or "agencies") shall maintain a current awareness of United States international human rights obligations that are relevant to their functions and shall perform such functions so as to respect and implement those obligations fully. The head of each agency shall designate a single contact officer who will be responsible for overall coordination of the implementation of this order. Under this order, all such agencies shall retain their established

AND NOW IT IS  
THE  
BILL OF RIGHTS  
BEING  
SUPPLANTED  
BY OTHER  
COMMUNIST  
DOCUMENTS:  
THE HUMAN  
RIGHTS  
TREATIES!

There are also several Constitutions for the World and a global Charter waiting for enactment.

About 100 or so members of the U.S. Congress have signed a Declaration of Inter-Dependence to cancel out what happened in Independence Hall.

The treaty referred to here called the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights declares food, clothing, housing, etc. to be a "right" -- whether you have earned it or not! It applies to everyone all over the world. It's communism! When they set your salary, you will know who is going to finance it. That, too, is already planned!



# WOULD ANYONE WANT TO CANCEL OUT OUR BILL OF RIGHTS?

You could call the Bill of Rights an endangered specie!

Are you aware that there is no court review on a Bill of Repeal?

*He Labels It 'Ridiculous' 7-22-70*

## Link Agnew With Plan To Cancel Elections

NEW YORK (UPI)—Senator's weekly today published a section of a memo allegedly filed by the office of Vice President Spiro T. Agnew with a purported plan to cancel the 1972 elections and repeal the Bill of Rights.

Agnew, according to The New York Times, denied the memo as "completely false" and "ridiculous."

The memorandum, published without comment, is marked "page 2 of 4 pages" and dated March 11. It is marked "confidential" and is headed "The Vice President, Washington."

It picks up half way through a sentence, saying "and the staff team agree that a serious look at a general strike concerning segment Alpha of their study for the C.I. that relates to holding national elections in '72, to the 1972 scheduled, of course at the field time is just the water so to speak is a vital step in the evaluation of their scheme. However, water so, 1972 so, circumstances in our information regarding recent days

of their study, the Bill of Rights repeal, to be made public."

It also goes on to suggest that they call "this one Operation S.S.A. All The Way" and speaks of a series of "spontaneous" demonstrations by labor groups "publicizing their support of this administration's Indo-China policies and their discontinuance of any alien indulgence of the excesses of peace groups will take place in the target cities of New York, Pittsburgh, Chicago, St. Louis and Seattle" in late April or early May.

The Times quoted Agnew as saying "my denial is unequivocal not only for that document but for anything else concerning that subject in writing, in conversation or in thought. You can't get much more unequivocal than that."

Senator's editors, Warren Hinckle III and Edney K. Don, said "The Vice President's denial is as clear as it is frank. The document came directly from Mr. Agnew's office and he knows it."

Yes! There are many in the federal administration who would be willing to do that! The Human Rights treaties can do just exactly that; however, not all the world's countries have accepted them.

Repr. Major Owens of New York carried legislation for years calling for the repeal of the Bill of Rights, but he did not get enough supporters in the House of Representatives to get it passed.

Owens' legislation will be kept "on ice" until the all of the "Human Rights" treaties are fully recognized by all of the countries of the world. Then Owens' legislation will be pulled 'out of the hat' for swift and sudden enactment.



JULY 22, 1970  
Hanford - Sentinel

Although Vice-Pres. Spiro T. Agnew denied any connection with cancellation of the Bill of Rights, three years later this article was published in the Los Angeles Times (August 7, 1973). It exposed the intent to cause the 2nd Amendment to be interpreted so that it would cast a different slant opposite to its true intent. The goal was to force a national viewpoint, and stymie the individual so that he could not use the amendment in the defense of his individual right. It would be "defined" only as protecting the right of the state to have a militia -- "national" in its outlook.



L.A. TIMES

8/7/73

# The Bill of Rights

To Nixon  
High Priority

As provided in the FIRST TEN AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES  
Effective December 15, 1791

## Preamble

Whereas one of the objects of the States before the time of their adopting the Constitution, was to secure to their citizens the fullest and most complete enjoyment of the rights and liberties which shall be enumerated in the following sections; and whereas the said rights and liberties, if not so secured, are in danger from the encroachments of the Government, will not have the beneficial use of its institutions:

1 **Right** in Freedom of Religion, Speech, Peace Assembly, Petition.  
Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble for the purpose of petitioning for the redress of grievances.

not in this  
true sense.

2 **Right** in Keep and Bear Arms.  
A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.

"National"

3 **Right** in Freedom of Speech.  
No Senator shall, in any State, be questioned in any Court, within the limits of the State, on account of any speech or debate in Congress.

Imperative

4 **Right** against Unreasonable Search and Seizure.  
The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the places to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Negative

5 **Right** in Protection of Papers and Property.  
No person shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger, provided that process be issued for the arrest or detention for a period of 90 days, and that he be brought in to the United States to be a witness against himself, or that the State, or States, which he occupies, or has, and shall preserve property for which he shall be liable.

Don't wash

6 **Right** of Power of Grand Jury.  
In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have previously been ascertained by law, and to be informed of the charges and nature of the accusation, to be confronted with the witnesses against him, to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of Counsel for his defense.

Don't Obey

7 **Right** of Trial by Jury.  
In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact shall be tried by a jury that for which provision is not made in the Constitution, and according to the rules of the common law.

Lowest  
Priority

8 **Right** in Protection Against Excessive Fines, Bail, and Punishment.  
Excessive bail shall not be required, excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

impossible

9 **Right** not retroactive laws.  
The enumeration in the Constitution of specific rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage other rights retained by the people.

not in our  
game plan

10 **Right** reserved to the States and the People.  
The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.

not visible

Copyright © 1973

Memo From H. R. Haldeman to the President



There is a lot of talk these days from George W. Bush about forcing China to observe Human Rights. Don't be fooled, folks! What's really going on is that China has to conform to all the multitude of things in four treaties on 'human rights' (so-called) which is the global government's idea of what rights people and world-wide governments can have. Yes, they're in conformity to communist operation, but there are some provisions that restrict the communist Chinese government from doing what they want to their own people. They will lose authority to continue to do so. These treaties force a doctrine in the management of mankind, according to communist international rules. Has the United States signed into these treaties? Yes, they have!

What I am telling you is that the Human Rights treaties replace your own Bill of Rights. The views and God-given rights the founding father's sought to protect are not found in the Human Rights Treaties. Bush makes it sound good, but you're being defrauded, folks. How can I make you wake up??



{ Excerpt from }  
**FOUR TREATIES PERTAINING TO  
HUMAN RIGHTS**

{ United Nations Treaties }

{ Pp. III-IV, 1-39. }

**MESSAGE**

FROM

**THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES**

TRANSMITTING

THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF RACIAL DISCRIMINATION, SIGNED ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES ON SEPTEMBER 20, 1966 (EXECUTIVE C, 96-3); THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS, SIGNED ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES ON OCTOBER 3, 1967 (EXECUTIVE D, 96-3); THE INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS, SIGNED ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES ON OCTOBER 3, 1967 (EXECUTIVE E, 96-3) AND THE AMERICAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS, SIGNED ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES ON JUNE 1, 1977 (EXECUTIVE F, 96-3)

NOT  
INCLUDED  
IN THE  
SET.



FEBRUARY 23, 1978.—Treaties were read the first time and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Foreign Relations and ordered to be printed for the use of the Senate

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

WASHINGTON : 1978

50-10

What are  
you going  
to do  
when  
your  
good  
government  
is gone  
and  
the gates  
have been  
slammed  
shut  
on you?



Reproduced by the Library of Congress, Congressional Research Service. March 11, 1980.

Here's how the cover looks on the set of four 'Human Rights' treaties. Jimmy Carter signed the "American Convention on Human Rights" at the Pan American Union on June 1, 1977 while he was president. It was not attached to the set shown. A copy of these treaties were printed in the Department of State Bulletin in July 4, 1977. These treaties are also known as Executives C, D, and E in the publication below. The set was too thick for me to include it here for you.

The presidents have become 'administrators' of the transition into global communist government, and folks, that ain't good!

The Senators and Congressmen in various administrations since 1945 have been approving of what the presidents are doing with treaties, executive orders and laws that are converting us into world government! You can't expect much help from them! You do need to stop them by using the power of your state. With some changes in who is governor, it still can be done.



This sort of planning for world government all came about under Franklin Roosevelt working with the global Fabian socialists back in the old League of Nations days. Did you know that Roosevelt campaigned to be vice-president with James Middleton Cox who ran as president in 1920? Cox was a former governor of Ohio. F.D.R. gave over 20 bold speeches during that campaign with Cox in which he advocated a world government, but the people were too smart then to be taken in, so years later different tactics were adopted to use on the general public to slowly and unknowingly guide them into a communist world government, without their even being aware of what was happening to them! Roosevelt even fooled the people during the Depression days to elect

him as president. His efforts were also focused on elimination of the states. The states were the federal government's superiors and their existence helps the people hold the Constitution in place. Read the article to the right for more detail on how your government is being overthrown by the very people you have elected to protect it!



Folks, you now have  
two conflicting governments  
in operation in the United States!

The United Nations  
is a parallel government!

The United Nations Charter was unlawfully ratified as a "treaty". It did not qualify as a treaty because it calls for General and Complete Disarmament of the United States. Any instrument of any sort or kind which calls for the elimination of the nation's common defense systems is in gross violation of imperishable principles of liberty. It can't be a treaty!

The United Nations itself was not a sovereign government at the time the "treaty" was prepared and ratified. On this basis alone the so-called "treaty" could be declared 'null and void'. Years later (Mar.19, 1970) the U.N. declared itself to be a sovereign government.

At the time the U.N. Charter was ratified as a "treaty" (July 1945), the proponents of world government began the move from theory into implementation of the world government systems. The United Nations organization had set itself down as a parallel government. Assisted by advancements, gained through the use of Executive Orders of the President, additional

"treaty" agreements, and legislative acts of the U.S. Congress, the U.N. grew! Surely you must know that no treaty can lawfully supersede the principles of the U.S. Constitution, nor the confirmed endowments of the Creator embodied in the Bill of Rights! One U.N. "treaty" calls for the complete disarming of every American citizen. This destroys the function of the militia (the people at large) even though it is commanded in the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights! There is no doubt about it, folks, the U.N. Charter does not care about the security of your free state. Its intent is to overthrow your state and federal Constitutions!


*No nation can survive after its common defense has been seized by a foreign power and its citizens have been disarmed! Keep in mind also that a treaty, if it meets Constitutional requirements, is enforceable upon every individual! Because there are no withdrawal rights written into the U.N. Charter, it is mandatory for the individual states to initiate an action demanding that the United Nations Partic-*

ipation Act of 1945 and the 1949 Amendments thereto be revoked, rescinded, and repealed. One of the goals of the proponents of the U.N. Charter is to disintegrate the federal Constitution so slowly that the people will adapt and not object to the switch-over; thus, the parallel government will ultimately remain as the only government. The formula for the operation of this vicious plan was conceived by Charles E. Merriam, the Rockefeller engineer who guided Franklin D. Roosevelt. Merriam, who believed that outright revolution was "the old way" and that communism could be brought in using the coat-tails of the Constitution itself, is quoted as having said: "Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of democracy to changing conditions without serious difficulty." He also promoted the use of the word democracy to be used as a stand-in word for the word communism. Catch on, folks!

Sam

# THE UNITED NATIONS

## and the NEW WORLD ORDER



U.S.A. - FORCED TO CONVERT TO SERVITUDE

REGIONALISM UNDER A PEACE-KEEPING

FORCE

ALL CONTROL VESTED IN THE

CONGRESS-CONTROLLED UNITED NATIONS

Did you know this?



## THERE ARE NO WITHDRAWAL RIGHTS IN THE UNITED NATIONS CHARTER!

**Read this before it is too late!**

There are no withdrawal rights in the United Nations Charter! The only way left for the American people to get out of the United Nations is to repeal the law entitled: "The United Nations Participation Act of 1945" and all amendments.

This can only be done while the United States still has its lawful framework of American government structure in place. This means that the "states" as entities must exist!

It is the intent of the United Nations to *abolish* our states and replace them with international "regions." The ruling *elite* in the regional system are *non-elected*.


The United Nations intends to be successful in abolishing our states! That includes the dissolution of the federal government in order to satisfy an international arrangement. The American system of government does not permit for such treasonous activity to transpire. To resolve the problem of how to withdraw, it is mandatory for the people to maintain their traditional framework of American government.

The destruction of the American system of government would not only jeopardize and make it difficult to assert the *Bill of Rights*, it would also eliminate two peaceful possibilities and methods for withdrawing from the United Nations.

To attain a peaceful method in which to withdraw, either the federal government (which is not very likely!) or the state legislatures can meet and rescind the *"The United Nations Participation Act of 1945"* and all amendments. Those are the only two *peaceful* options available to the people. The states have the power to override any action which exceeds the powers delegated to the federal government. Hopefully, there will be enough constitutional representatives in state legislatures to repeal this Act and all amendments.

Unfortunately, most people are not aware of some of the facts presented here. Does your *state* legislator realize his responsibility to you? Surely, he should not sit idly by while the United States system of government is being overthrown.

# THE U.N. CHARTER NEVER WAS A TREATY!



Various members of government on all levels have accepted the false premise that the parasitic United Nations Charter and the International Court of Justice are now the "*supreme law of the land*". This is not true! The U.N. Charter was supposedly "ratified" on July 28, 1945 with the consideration that is extended to a *valid treaty*. At the time Pres. Harry Truman signed this so-called "treaty", and the United States Senate ratified it, the U.S. and its Allies were still at war with Japan! The "United Nations" was *non-existent* as a sovereign entity. That precluded any possibility of its being a *valid treaty*.

Treaties are made only with sovereign governments, which the "United Nations" then was not! Sovereignty was not conferred upon the United Nations group until March 19, 1970 at which time the U.S. Senate took an action which conferred sovereignty upon the United Nations. Even if these facts were set aside, it still remains that the principles within the U.N. Charter disqualify it from ever becoming a treaty.

In other words, even if it had been a sovereign entity at the start, the principles of the Charter which intended, from the onset, to overthrow our form of government, would disqualify it as a "treaty"! Unfortunately, the nation has been victimized by this "treaty" that, in turn, has caused more and more purported "treaties" to be enacted which are completely destroying our sovereignty. The U.N. Charter is responsible for dissolving our sovereignty!

People are concerned and they feel an urgency to *enforce* the U. S. Constitution, but because of agreements entered into by virtue of the U.N. Charter, the people are reticent about using physical resistance; consequently, they are being "expected" to stand by while not only their inherent rights are being dissolved, but also the structure of the American government system, and the authority over our nation's resources are being dissolved!

They are under the threat of being totally disarmed by the new laws coordinated with P.L. 87-297. If they try to defend themselves against what is happening to their national and personal sovereignty, the U.N. will bring in its international troops. The U.N. Charter has assumed for itself an unlawful position claiming superiority, and is superseding the authority that rightfully belongs to the American people. Americans *have never consented to yielding their sovereignty* to international communists, nor to the hired help that is supposed to serve them. The U.N. Charter and its sequential "laws" must be declared nullities and the U.N. Participation Act of 1945 and amendments rescinded either by federal or state action before the states, too, are dissolved.

# Executive J

The United Nations is given full diplomatic recognition of being a SOVEREIGN WORLD GOVERNMENT.



Treaties can be made only between sovereign countries. In 1945 the United Nations Charter was put over on us as a "treaty"! Look! It wasn't until 1970 that the globalists decided to bestow sovereignty upon the United Nations! What does that tell you?

Anaheim Bulletin  
April 20, 1970

—Anaheim Bulletin  
By Steinbocker

Historians of the future may point to March 13, 1970 as the day when the United States of America became an arm of a One World government.

It happened quietly, unnoticed by the PRESS. In a Senate chamber only partly filled, as a few Senators waited their turns to praise or condemn Judge Harrold Carswell.

In this almost sleepy atmosphere, with the voices of the Senators droning on and on intermittently, the Presiding Officer suddenly refused to recognize another speaker and addressed the floor himself.

"The Senate will proceed to vote," he said, "on Executive J, first session, 81st Congress, the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations. The question is: Will

the Senate advise and consent to the resolution of ratification? On this question, the yeas and nays have been ordered, and the clerk will call the roll."

At the end of the count, the vote showed 78 yeas and zero negatives, with 22 Senators absent.

So it was that the United Nations would no longer be honored as a mere "International Organization." It now has the status of full diplomatic recognition as a SOVEREIGN GOVERNMENT.

This stage-managed operation had its full dress rehearsal the day before, when majority leader Mike Mansfield gained the floor, at a time when no quorum was present, and said the following things:

"Mr. President, discussion of this convention should not long detain the Senate. As a matter of fact, the Senate has already been approved it in 1947, by passing a joint resolution authorizing the President to accept it on behalf of the United States, but for essentially irrelevant reasons the joint resolution did not pass the House. Now, some 20 years later, the matter is back for approval IN THE FORM OF A TREATY.

"During this time, the provisions of the International Organization Immunities Act of 1945 and of the Headquarters Agreement Act of 1947 have provided the necessary privileges and immunities for most of the officers covered by the convention. Apparently the executive branch was satisfied with this situation, but THE UNITED NATIONS WAS NOT. One hundred and one of its members have become parties to the convention, but the United States, the principal host government, did not.

"The anomaly of this situation has not escaped other UN members, as a reflection of the lack of U.S. interest in the UN.

"Briefly, the convention provides for certain immunities and privileges to the UN as an organization, to the representatives of member states, to UN officials and to experts on missions for the UN.

"While the convention largely (to be voted on) represents the

existing practice in regard to immunities and privileges, IT DOES ENLARGE UPON THEM."

Since the Senate's advice-and-consent of the UN enabling act of 1945, the Immunities Act, 1945, and the Headquarters Agreement Act, 1947, the UN has looked upon itself as a sort of World Government de facto. Now it has become a WORLD GOVERNMENT de jure.

As an explanation of the difference we will quote Webster: "A de facto government is one serving and functioning as a government, but one not permanently established and recognized as such. A government de jure is a legally established and lawfully recognized government."

So, the moribund UN was given astounding new life by this new Senate action, paying the way for a whole rash of new UN projects including a World Tax; the push to ratify the Genocide Treaty and the UN involvement in grabbing valuable space for a new \$300 million UN building development adjacent to the present tombstone on the East River.

Further, President Richard Nixon, giving the UN still another shot in its ailing arm, has called upon schools and colleges all over the nation to hold annual United Nations day, when they will conduct model UN sessions.

In Orange County, 12 high schools took part in that affair this year on April 23 at Chapman College.

The UN is also pushing for "World Habeas Corpus," according to the March 26 Congressional Record, in an article by Rep. Puchold of Illinois. Puchold suggests that we need a World Habeas Corpus system, in order to "protect human rights" in every nation according to the UN Declaration of Human Rights.

World Habeas Corpus, ipso facto, is just one more step closer to World Government. Only governments can grant Habeas Corpus, so we must assume that the UN now considers itself as a World Government in fact. If it is even broaching such a suggestion, another indication of the power given the UN on that day in March in the sleepy, overlooked Congressional chamber.





**BY THE INVERSE USE  
OF THE TREATY POWER,  
THE U.S.A. IS BEING  
SOLD OUT  
TO THE COMMUNISTS  
OF THE WORLD!**

**All treaties are subject to Constitutional scrutiny. Hundreds of treaties have been passed which are blatantly unconstitutional!**

**The purpose of this is to transform the United States of America from a sovereign nation into a component of the international government system for a socialist/communist New World Order!**

**The United Nations Charter which was signed in 1945, which is the basis for so many unlawful treaties being passed, was itself presented as a "treaty" for this country's adherence! How ridiculous! The Charter is filled with reverse goals and is the primary source responsible for the strange dictums now prevalent in the current method of operating our government system!**

**The U.N. Charter never could have qualified as a treaty; yet, it was afforded "treaty" status, and its provisions were installed to *deliberately reverse* our rightful government system. One of the shocking treaties provides for the "General and Complete Disarmament of the United States."**

**Are there ways to avoid unconstitutional treaties that are selling us out? Yes, the principle is known as "*Rebus Sic Stantibus*," the premier principle for voiding a treaty on the basis that more has been introduced than what was originally agreed upon.**

**QUESTION: ARE THERE WAYS TO  
VOID UNCONSTITUTIONAL  
TREATIES THAT ARE SELLING US  
OUT?**



**ANSWER: YOU BET THERE IS!**

**ONE ANSWER IS:  
REBUS SIC STANTIBUS.**

Although it is not commonly known, there is a principle in International Law that the Congress can use to void treaties! What has to happen is that the people must first create a demand for public officials to initiate action to cause the United Nations Charter, the matrix of the problem, to be declared void. The United States membership in that organization will then cease to be obligatory; thus, the United States would no longer be a member of the United Nations.

This principle is known as Rebus Sic Stantibus\* which is recognized as the *highest reason in rank* for a country to void a treaty, and it means that:

**"the situation has changed!"**

Rebus Sic Stantibus means that "there was more to the treaty than what met the eye"....more than the states and the citizens were aware of at the time of its ratification! This is the case with the United Nations Charter which was enacted as a "treaty"! Unfairly and unjustly sold as a "program for peace," the U.N. Charter was actually engineered to overthrow the American system of government and restructure the United States as a part of a global government. The series of purported treaties that followed are being passed as "laws" and are not at all what the general public has been led to believe that they are supposed to contain.

"An unconstitutional act is not law....as inoperative as though it had never been passed." -- Norton vs. Shelby County, 118 US 425 p. 442

Another route the states may choose to force the repeal of a treaty is by using the decision of the Supreme Court. Keep in mind that it takes only one state to force the Supreme Court to rule on an issue. If the ruling comes out *unfavorable*, the recourse for the state(s) is to *override the Supreme Court* and undertake a repeal action *themselves*. Such an action takes thirty-eight (38) states to successfully override the Supreme Court. Repealing "enabling legislation" alone (negating previous national action) does not complete the necessary procedure to

**Rebus Sic Stantibus is the premier principle of international law and is held as the highest reason in rank for voiding a treaty!**

rescind a treaty! Additionally, a repeal, rescinding, and revoking action should be effected against an aberrant previously passed treaty in order to negate previous international action. It is a well known fact that one of the checks in the Check and Balance System places the *responsibility upon the states to keep the federal government from exceeding the limits of power they delegated to it.* Chances are that your state governor or representatives are not versed in international law and do not realize that Rebus Sic Stantibus is a recognized principle of international law which exists between nations and that it allows for the revocation of disastrous treaties that destroy the structure, sovereignty, and liberty of a nation.

The facts regarding the objectives of the United Nations were not known by the general population at the time the U. N. Charter was enacted. Transferring U.S. armed forces to permanent control of communist commanders, allowing the avowed enemies of our country to supervise the closing of our defense plants and military bases, and to prohibit law-abiding Americans from owning firearms is in violation of the United States Constitution! These U.N. objectives do not meet the criteria to qualify the U.N. Charter as a treaty! Also, little known is the fact that a treaty is enforceable upon every individual!

The people have been lied to about the "peace" program and the "safer world"! They were not told of the inverse purposes of the United Nations! Now the truth is being laid bare before the people! The situation *has* changed! The U. N. was plastered onto the U. S. by using laudable goals as a way of bringing in the U. N.'s hidden objectives! Plenty of grounds exist for putting pressure on representatives to void the U. N. Charter and related world government treaties.

**A Word of Warning Regarding the Use of Rebus Sic Stantibus.** There is a possibility, because of the deviousness of the courts, that the courts may insist that Rebus Sic Stantibus is a nullification procedure, the type of which they threw out when the Virginia and Kentucky Resolutions were defensively tried in more recent times. The courts need to understand that it is not the courts who have the "final say" on protection of the nation's sovereignty! In a united action the states have superiority over all three branches of the federal system!

\* Source: Black's Law Dictionary -- At this point of affairs; in these circumstances. A name given to a tacit condition, said to attach to all treaties, that they shall cease to be obligatory so soon as the state of facts and conditions upon which they were founded has substantially changed.

Folks, it looks like we are going to have to defend ourselves darn soon or there won't be any United States left to defend! *The real seat of power is in the state, with the people of the state.* The federal government got its authorization to exercise certain (limited powers) from the states, and the people in the state. The federal government will only come back down to its proper size when the states and the people assert themselves and tell it get back in place.

The states have the power now to nullify all the unconstitutional federal laws, treaties, presidential 'agreements', executive orders, etc. that the federal government has been tying this republic in with. It is power they haven't used for some time. It won't be summoned into action until the people demand it. Only when the states begin to realize what the future holds for them under this "democracy dictatorship", will turn-about begin to happen.



**It is our own fault that our country is being destroyed.**



Now....  
.... hear this!

Only 4 pages out of the 195-page Houlihan Report (the plan to collapse the government) are being presented here for your review. 