

The Houlahan Plan

They are serious
about this. Wake
up, folks!

A



DELIBERATE

PLAN

TO COLLAPSE

AMERICAN

GOVERNMENT

"THE POLITICS OF CHANGE IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM"

HOW I OBTAINED THE REPORT

by K. Maureen Heaton

In any production, behind the actors seen on stage, there is a crew of "hands" - the "advance man", in charge of propaganda, promotion and related matters; the producers; script writers; set designers; scenery handlers; costumers; stagehands; electricians; "props"; and the ubiquitous "backers" or "angels" - the money people. In the real life drama on the world stage today, parallel positions are known as publicists; consultants; planners; aides; directors; facilitators; "change agents", and generally, "experts". And, of course, the ubiquitous financiers - who may or may not be YOU. Sometimes, the curtains part enough to allow a fleeting glimpse of the 'hidden hands' behind the scenes which prepare the production for public viewing. When this 'happens', well-trained stagehands quickly close the curtains, and any watchers who note the action and attempt to describe it are given to understand that they didn't see it, because there was nothing to see. In the real world, this is known as a "cover-up". Such was the nature of the exposure of the Planning, Programming and Budgeting System. Its existence was denied, its name was changed, its capability was disguised, and the rest was silence. Such, too, was the policy paper known as "The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform" (TPOC). One of the tactics of the revolutionaries is to respond in silence, when they receive a telling blow. It sometimes works against them when they do that, and the matter of this document "TPOC" was one such incident.

I had been receiving the output from the *California Council on Intergovernmental Relations* (CCIR) for some time, when I went before the Governor's Task Force on "Local Government Reform", to present testimony against CCIR and the State meddling in local government affairs. After my appearance there, the CCIR reports stopped coming to my mailbox. I complained to their staff about it, but could not get them to reinstate me as a recipient, so I went to a State Senator, and told him my problem. He called the CCIR office, and told them I was to be reinstated as a recipient, and that I would be over to pick up the documents I hadn't received. When I got there, I was ushered into the office of the person in charge, who apologized profusely for any inconvenience I had suffered, and told the secretary to see that I had whatever documents they had, which I had not received.

They were just moving into a big new office, and there were huge boxes of material still not put away. The girl started showing me what was there, going to each box in turn, and handing me a copy of its content. I selected those which I had not received. I noticed, though, that there was one box near her desk, which she studiously avoided. When we had finished checking the other boxes, I asked her for a copy of the minutes of the last CCIR meeting, and she had to go into another room to get them. While she was gone, I idly picked up one of the documents from the box she had not looked into. It was titled "The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform" (better known now as TPOC). "Local Government Reform" was the name of the game at that time, so I added it to my stack. (I was supposed to have anything I had not received, and I sure had not received that!)

So that was one time when they would have been better off, if they had just continued sending me the public material. For TPOC was certainly never intended to be seen by such as me. It was a textbook on mind control techniques -- an appalling negation of the principle of self-government, as it told 'public servants' how to use "the politics of change" to obtain programs which the citizens did not want.

After I had studied that document which had come into my hands so fortuitously, I was at a loss as to what to do with it. The first step was suggested by the document itself, because it included three "case studies" of situations in California where use of the strategies it provided "to bring about change in local government structures" was discussed. Two of those cases were already history, but the third concerned a matter of Sacramento City-

County-Consolidation (C/C/C), where these techniques were then being used to create a single entity, neither city nor county, but a hash of both.

Now listen up, all you who might think I press too hard for election of representatives. It just so happened that there was one representative on the Sacramento City Council, Sandra Smoley, who had been fighting a courageous but lonely battle against consolidation. I did not know her personally, so I arranged for the TPOC document to be taken to her by a mutually trusted ally, and she blew the whistle on the 'hands' using TPOC to reconstruct her city. Thanks to Sandra Smoley, Sacramento City-County-Consolidated (C/C/C) was defeated for that time.

Suppose Sandra Smoley had not been elected to that seat on the Council. Would there have been a different result?

Let's look at another elected official, this one a 'politician', sometime mayor of Oakland, California, John C. Houlihan. As Mayor of Oakland, Houlihan gave an interview to the Oakland Tribune in 1966, in which he stated that he would be ready to step aside as Mayor, if "full-blown government reform" was implemented statewide. Such 'reform', he said, would do away with Mayors; it would also do away with "cities, counties, districts, and boards of supervisors", and he predicted that this would come to pass before the turn of the century -- possibly by the 1980s.

Houlihan did not have to wait for his prediction to come true to "step aside". Later in 1966 he was taken to court, for looting the estate of an elderly widow, for whom he was conservator. Staunchly maintaining his innocence, he resigned his office under fire. But when he appeared in court, he entered a surprise plea of "guilty", and was sent to prison.

Now the plot thickens. John C. Houlihan was Executive Director of The Institute for Self Government at Berkeley, in January, 1974, when TPOC was issued. On November 17, 1974, the San Diego Union reported that he had been granted a "full and unconditional pardon" by Governor Ronald Reagan for his crime. So, apparently he was out on parole, at the time he participated in the production of this document which was designed to be a tool to build that governmental structure which he had predicted almost ten years before!

Houlihan is a classic example of a politician, as opposed to a representative.

The second step I took regarding TPOC was to ask for time at the next meeting of the CCIR, to challenge the members to repudiate this document, which listed the CCIR as a sponsor.

While waiting to hear from CCIR, I took the TPOC document to my County Supervisor, and he shared it with the El Dorado County Board, with the result that they passed a Resolution condemning such practices generally, and TPOC in particular. That Resolution was sent to every person of interest involved at the State level, every County Board of Supervisors in California, and eventually was reprinted in a number of newsletters and a few local papers and thus found national distribution. To my knowledge no other action was ever taken against the strategies recommended in TPOC.

In my testimony to CCIR, I read portions of that textbook for "change", such as the one which called for the use of "change agents" to manipulate public opinion and to "mislead, coerce and inhibit the rights of citizens" to decide what "changes" they want in their local government (quote from the E.D.Co. Resolution). I asked for a response as to whether or not the members of the CCIR Board were aware that this document was put out in their

name, and whether they approved of the use of such tactics. The response was -- silence! Not one of the twenty or so members spoke up.

When the next CCIR minutes arrived, they simply said that I had spoken against local government reform. I wrote and demanded a correction of the minutes from the Chair, and a minor correction was made, but no mention of the nature of the material I protested was included.

I wrote again, and repeated my demand for an accurate reflection of my testimony, and received a non-committal reply. I then wrote to my State Senator. No reply! I then wrote to the governor (Ronald Reagan), and sent him a copy of my testimony, copies of the letters mentioned above, and requested action from him. No reply! Silence!

It is of interest to note that, when a citizen wrote to the Institute for Self-Government in Berkeley for a copy of TPOC, the response was that that report had been 'compiled' at the request of the *California Council on Criminal Justice (CCCJ)*, and was not 'published', but had been sent to the successor agency, the *Office of Criminal Justice Planning (OCJP)*. The citizen was told it would cost \$8.00 to reproduce the manuscript and mail it.

Now, there are two interesting things about that:

(1) In the Foreword to TPOC, it states that it was compiled under a contract with the Office of Intergovernmental Management, in coordination with the *California Council on Intergovernmental Relations (CCIR)* and the Governor's Office. Make of that what you will.

(2) Then, there is the box which contained the TPOC documents. It was in the *California Council on Intergovernmental Relations (CCIR)* office -- NOT OCJP! The container was humongous -- and it was half full -- or half empty, if you like. Make of that what you will.

It is important for all citizens to know that California's TPOC is not an isolated instance. There are think tanks all over the country, applying themselves to mass behavior modification techniques, such as this, and the evidence of the use of such strategies is increasing.

It is simply amazing that so little notice has been taken of what these would-be manipulators are doing! Uncovering TPOC was a pure and simple happenstance, but thousands of "change agents" are being prepared in our institutions of higher education to continue developing this paywar technique, and that is no accident!

Why do you suppose none of those being trained to control their fellowman protest? Why no recognition of the dangers inherent in "change" agency, which has become an integral part of government action, with elected officials attending seminars, at public expense, to learn how to get their constituents to accept programs neither wanted or needed?

THE PLAN TO COLLAPSE OUR CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT

This study (Report) was paid for by the State of California during the term of Ronald Wilson Reagan as the governor. It cost close to \$300,000.00 for this study which was prepared to assist in forcing the public officials to go under regional government. The Report is too thick to reproduce with this set. (For the full report of 195 pages, it will cost \$30.00 shipped.)

Note: For a copy of the Hawkins Task Force Report in abbreviated mini version, send \$2.00 to Bernadine Smith P.O. Box 1776 Sanford, Calif. 93232. It supports our legal California government and criticizes regional government.



THE POLITICS OF CHANGE IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT REFORM

Written by John C. Houlihan who for many years advocated that we abolish city and county governments. SEE PAGE NO. 132 FOR COLLAPSE OF GOVERNMENT.



INSTITUTE FOR LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT

Hotel Claremont Building
Berkeley, California 94705



This is the actual simple cover of the Houlihan Report. The bordered boxes above were added for relaying information. No one outside of the governor's office was supposed to see this Report. but by accident, Maureen Heaton obtained a copy. Her interesting story of how she happened to get a copy is inside this plastic sheet. She took the thick document to her county supervisors who subsequently issued a statewide resolution against it.



They defend all the subversive changes that are taking us away from the Constitutional system by saying they are "updating", "streamlining", "modernizing", "making more efficient", -- "economizing", "eliminating duplication", etc.

FOREWORD

This report was authorized and compiled under a contract dated July 1, 1972, between the Office of Intergovernment Management, State of California, and the Institute for Local Self Government, Berkeley, California.

In general, the Project Director, Mr. Ronald B. Frankum, and the Assistant Project Director, Mr. Vigo G. Nielsen, Jr., conducted this study in coordination with the staff of the Council of Intergovernment Relations and with the Governor's office, which was initiating a program for the study of restructuring of local government.

✓ This study, following previous C.I.R. activities, is part of a statewide undertaking to modernize and improve California local government, increase its responsiveness, efficiency and economy.

✓ This particular report, using documented case material, focuses on the process---"How to"---by which political and administrative leadership is employed to bring about reallocation and reorganization.

Under the contract, the investigators were to provide the necessary "real world" insights into what happens to bring about change in local governmental structures. The investigators were not charged with providing an exhaustive analysis but, rather, to find, examine and document the practical methodology of change.

The project was performed under the general direction of Randy H. Hamilton, Ph.D., former Executive Director of the Institute, and the final report was edited by its present Executive Director.

January 31, 1974

John C. Houlihan
Executive Director

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

1. There must be a climate for change in order for the restructuring of local government to occur, whether this restructuring involves drastic reform, reorganization, modernization, or a minor administrative realignment. While the following does not represent an exclusive list, the factors mentioned here are those which most often create such a climate:

Look at this!
These are plans to
collapse the
government!



- a. a Collapse of government's ability to provide needed services;
- b. a Crisis of major magnitude;
- c. a Catastrophe that has a physical effect on the community;
- d. the Corruption of local officials and
- e. the high Cost of government and the desire for a higher level of services.

2. Some change will occur, in one form or another, if any of the first four factors (Collapse, Crisis, Catastrophe or Corruption) are present, especially when they are of major dimension. It is up to governmental leaders who are directly affected to employ the available alternatives. However, information obtained during the research study does not indicate that any of these four factors are currently generating a climate for change in California.

3. Preoccupation with the Cost of government and desire for more efficient service delivery does exist in California at this time. These factors are a motivating force but,

They plan to pull
this off, too!



From "The Politics of Change
In Local Government Re-
form" by John C. Houlihan

by themselves, do not cause change to occur. It is necessary to organize and carry out a change Campaign capitalizing on the factors that provide a climate for change.

4. The campaign may vary in scale but regardless of the size of the effort, every campaign contains some very specific features.

The larger the scale of the restructuring attempt, the more important it is that all features are included. The features are: Planning and Contemplation, Education and Involvement, Community, Compromise, Concern, Cadence, Cooperation, Comprehension, and Concentration.

5. If an optimum combination of these features is absent, it will take longer to accomplish the change than originally anticipated by the change instigator.
6. Every successful reorganization has an instigator, who is the principal change agent, and a nucleus of workers who manage the change effort from the beginning stages through final implementation.
7. Unsuccessful reorganization efforts, while often characterized by many of the same features as a successful campaign, frequently lack the factor of a climate for change, and the campaign, if there is a semblance of one, is not well executed.
8. Local government reform is a Political Campaign.

*a California County
Houlihan Plan*



*Condemns the
to collapse our govt.*

RESOLUTION No. 447-74

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of El Dorado County has consistently upheld the principle of local elective government, elected by popular vote of the citizens involved; and

WHEREAS, essential to such elective procedures is an informed electorate, basing their decisions freely on accurate information, openly debated, and

WHEREAS, inherent in this process is the right of the citizens not to be misled, coerced, or otherwise inhibited in the free exercise of the elective franchise, and

WHEREAS, any effort to nullify these rights is in direct conflict with the intent of the Constitution of the United States and the State of California, and

✓ WHEREAS, it has been brought to the attention of this Board that a report has been issued by the Institute for Local Self Government, asserting the authority of the Governor's Office, the Office of Intergovernmental Management, and the Council on Intergovernmental Relations, which presents prima facie evidence of a deliberate, calculated attempt to mislead, coerce, and inhibit the rights of citizens to determine the need for, the desirability of, and the method to bring about changes in the structure of their local governments; and

✓ WHEREAS, the "Summary of Conclusions" in this report states:

"There must be a CLIMATE FOR CHANGE in order for the restructuring of local government to occur, whether this restructuring involves drastic reform, reorganization, modernization, or a minor administrative realignment. While the following does not represent an exclusive list, the factors mentioned here are those which most often create such a climate:

- a. COLLAPSE of government's ability to provide such needed services;
- b. a CRISIS of major magnitude;
- c. A CATASTROPHE that has a physical effect on the community;
- d. the CORRUPTION of local officials;
- e. the high COST of government and the desire for higher level of services."

(emphasis in the original); and

WHEREAS, it would appear from this document, which is entitled "The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform", that it was received by the Council on Intergovernmental Relations; and

WHEREAS, the techniques described in this report have apparently been used in San Diego County Government Reorganization, in the Consolidation of the Contra Costa Fire Department, and the current effort to consolidate Sacramento City and County; and

WHEREAS, the cited report actually states that LOCAL GOVERNMENT IS MEETING THE PROBLEMS OF TODAY, and that no pressure is building up to cause the citizens to wish the desired reforms, then recommends the use of "change agents" to DEVELOP a climate for change, using diversionary tactics to confuse and disorient the citizens, and to deceive them about the need for reforms; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors is at a loss to understand any legitimate function served by such proposals as these;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado, in the State of California, on this 17th day of September, 1974, that all persons by whom this present Resolution is received be informed that this Board herewith goes on record in strong opposition to any such attempt to deprive the citizens of the State of California, and particularly of El Dorado County, of their right to determine for themselves the forms and functions of their government, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Board notify the Governor of the State of California, the Institute for Local Self Government, the Office of Intergovernment Management, the Council on Intergovernmental Relations, the League of California Cities, the California Supervisors Association, and the Boards of Supervisors of the several counties of the State, that such political abuse as is disclosed in this document is intolerable, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of El Dorado County hereby calls on all responsible citizens and officials to be on guard against any such attempt to usurp their rights and privileges.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held on the 17th day of September, 1974,
by the following vote of said Board:

Ayes: Franklin K. Lane, William V. D. Johnson
W. P. Walker, Raymond E. Laywer,

Noes: Thomas L. Stewart
None

Absent: None

ATTEST:

CARL A. KELLY, County Clerk and ex-officio
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Aracoma J. Matthews
Deputy Clerk

[Signature]
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

I CERTIFY THAT:

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE.


DATE _____

ATTEST: CARL A. KELLY, County Clerk and ex-officio Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado, State of California.

By _____
Deputy Clerk

THE TOOLS IN THE HOULIHAN PLAN ARE BEING WORKED ON YOU!

The Houlihan Plan¹ is an official state government document, paid for by the executive branch of California State government, and is working to build a "climate for change".



It appears that the many extraordinary calamities,² all converging upon the United States along time have been employed to promote Items (a), (b), & (c) of Houlihan's Plan (listed below) to force acceptance by Americans for a change into a different form of government! After all, that was the aim of the Houlihan Plan! The document proposes:

- (a) a Collapse of government's ability to provide needed services;
- (b) a Crisis of major magnitude;
- (c) a Catastrophe that has a physical effect on the community;
- (d) the Corruption of local officials; and
- (e) the high Cost of government and the desire for a higher level of services.

The directive of the "change agents" is to make the people willing to surrender their Constitutional form of government and to adopt the regional world government system. It is important for all citizens to be concerned about the Houlihan Plan entitled "The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform" because the "change agents" are now working over the whole nation to subvert every facet of American standards.

¹ This nefarious planning went on behind the scenes under California Governor Ronald Reagan.

² Some of the calamities now facing Americans are the Y2K computer dysfunctions and massive electrical outages threatening to gridlock government operations; bankrupt condition of states and cities; impending currency collapse; predicted on-coming depression; overflowing of the Mississippi River, including other severe flooding by abnormal storms, tornadoes, hurricanes, fires causing massive damage and other hardships; weather modification; on-coming food shortages; global warming; NAFTA, GATT, HAARP and other such modern technology; and the vulnerability which has resulted from the reduction of our defensive capabilities due to the "United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament" (Public Law 87-297); etc. As a result of the latter, there exists two more serious crises: (1) the Mexican crisis to capture the U.S. Southwestern States, and (2) the Chinese crisis to drop a nuclear bomb on Los Angeles if the U.S. interferes with their takeover of Taiwan. All these crises have been purposely created.

³ "Politics is the conduct of war by peaceful means. War is the conduct of politics by force". Karl Von Clausewitz

MAYOR WILLIE BROWN IS AT IT AGAIN!

The Harvard Graduate/Friday, March 22, 1987

Ex-governors, speaker talk on state's problems

SACRAMENTO (AP) — Jerry Brown called for mandatory voting and an attack on corporate power. Lee McCarty stressed education reform. Willie Brown urged about redefining local government, and George Deukmejian supported more long-range planning.

It was an unprecedented 7½ hours in the state Senate on Thursday as the two former governors and two former Assembly speakers discussed California's problems.

Topics ranged from how to deal with the state's growing and highly diverse population and the potential for an explosion in crime to whether the state school system should be closed or expanded by the governor.

Jerry Brown, a Democrat who was governor from 1975 to 1983, recommended ball votes to deal with a population that is increasing by 2 million and feels increasingly overtaxed and alienated.

His suggestion, among other things, proposed representation in the Legislature to give voters political power & voice, a Program for the type attack on growing corporate power and New Deal-style job programs for the unemployed.

"Things are changing so rapidly there is very little people feel they can hold onto," he said. "In the face of that, people are looking to government to provide some kind of answer."

"Half of the people are not voting because they cannot find expression in the two-party system," he added.

Willie Brown, a Democrat who was speaker from 1980 to mid-1985 and now is mayor of San Francisco, requested voting over weekends, required by mail and permanently by

mail polling places to boost voter turnout.

He also called for more state aid to school systems, a new state income tax, and a new state sales tax.

"There clearly needs to be a reorganization and a look from a different perspective as to how local government can be financed and run better themselves," he said.

"There is also justification for the continuation of corporate tax on income tax," he added. "Some are just not paying their taxes and some are just not paying their taxes on time."

Deukmejian, a Republican who was governor from 1983 to 1985, said the state should put more emphasis on long-range planning and create spending initiatives and studies that make budget cuts.

"The CGLJA (long-range of business) Proposition 13 — all of these types of programs that that you should be put on the table and through consideration given to how the state is going to be able to address changing conditions when we have these kinds of restrictions," he said.

McCarty, a Democrat who was speaker from 1974 to 1980 and became governor from 1985 to 1990, stressed the need to overhaul the education system to help students deal with an increasingly non-traditional job market.

"The system (with only a high school education) obviously needs to be able to find existing programs within community, program alternatives funded by the federal and state governments but training programs run by companies," he added.

Los Angeles Times Brown Seeks to Abolish Local Governments

SACRAMENTO (AP) — Assembly Speaker Willie Brown (D-San Francisco) said Saturday he has asked the Assembly Office of Research to study his proposal to abolish city and county governments.

Los Angeles Times
Sunday, Oct. 25, 1987

Not being told is the fact that the movement includes eventual abolishment of the states.

Brown blasts counties as outmoded idea

Associated Press

SAN FRANCISCO — "Counties should be abolished," says Assembly Speaker Willie Brown, indicating these political subdivisions are wasteful and inefficient.

Speaking to a group of supporters Friday, Brown acknowledged that his theory on counties "would be almost impossible" to carry out "if it had to be thought it was a good idea anytime."

Brown labeled the counties "historical accidents" that duplicate each other's services, such as jails, airports, post and transit systems — agencies he said could be better administered by regional or theories.

Brown made his comments at a time when California's 58 counties are heavily lobbying Sacramento for additional funds, including proposals to turn over more of state tax revenues directly to local governments. Brown is against it.

Rather, said Brown, local government should be revamped after a study by a commission appointed by the governor.

If State Sen. Tom Hayden succeeds in becoming the mayor of Los Angeles, there is a great possibility that the alliance of these two mayors could impact enough radicalism upon California to crash the state's proper and traditional county system, thus facilitating in the ultimate objective of abolishment of the state itself.

Los Angeles Times

Brown Seeks to Abolish Local Governments

SACRAMENTO (AP)—Assembly Speaker Willie Brown (D-Calif.) announced today that he will introduce legislation to abolish local governments in California.

In his weekly radio address, Brown suggested that California's 57 counties and 465 city governments should be replaced by a few regional agencies.

"We do not have a rational system of local governments in California," Brown said. "What we have is a haphazard, random assortment of governing bodies all fighting over the same dollars."

Brown, who has acknowledged that his proposal would be costly, said "local government" is a carry-over term from the 19th century and should be changed to "state agency."

"The idea is to have a rational system of local governments in California," Brown said. "What we have is a haphazard, random assortment of governing bodies all fighting over the same dollars."

"There are mistakes," he said. "As California approaches the year 2000, many new problems will confront us and existing problems will intensify. And solutions must be found within the constraints of limited public dollars."

Hayden mulls run for LA mayor

LOS ANGELES (AP) — State Sen. Tom Hayden is laying the groundwork for a possible run for mayor, including a pamphlet accusing incumbent Richard Riordan of ignoring problems of the inner city.

Hayden, a '60s radical and former husband of actress Jane Fonda, contends the city needs new liberal leadership. The pamphlet, which his office began issuing last week, accused Riordan of favoring the wealthy.

"No one has played the conductor's role on the merry train more than Richard Riordan," the brochure said. "While spending billions on boozing, the mayor has been insensitive to the needs of the inner-city."

Hayden, 56, has expressed interest in being mayor of Los Angeles for months but has not officially decided.

his candidacy. The pamphlet is the most concrete indication yet that he may pursue the office.

The 16-page pamphlet — titled "Why Run for Mayor of Los Angeles?" — was sent to supporters, contributors and others.

Hayden currently lives in Santa Monica and has said he is seeking a home in Los Angeles to establish residency.

Neither he nor Riordan could be reached for comment Saturday.

Hayden was a defendant in the Chicago Seven trial. He and four others were convicted of intent to riot at the 1968 convention. Their convictions were overturned.

Hanford-Sentinel

March 31, 1976

Where will the militia be if County Government is dissolved? Don't let included in the safety of the state?

Regional government is international government forced upon the United States by its membership in the United Nations. It dissolves United States sovereignty and abolishes states, cities, and counties. Regional governments are administrative units of the United Nations control. The United Nations is communist dominated. It seeks comprehensive control over the lives of American citizens from cradle to grave.



Most people don't know that Ronald Reagan was a founding member of the United World Federalists, an organization dedicated to global government promotion. Always appearing to be a 'conservative', he did much damage to me!

Reagan Begins Ambitious Streamlining Dream

SACRAMENTO (UPI) — With little more than two years remaining in his final term, Gov. Ronald Reagan has embarked on perhaps his most ambitious project yet: the possible merging of counties and cities into more streamlined local governments.

"I call it a dream but it is not 'The Impossible Dream,'" Reagan said.

"We just believe that the time has come to review the entire governmental structure in California and see if there isn't a way to streamline and eliminate duplication."

He mentioned the possible merging of some counties with other counties, cities with other cities, counties and cities together and joining of non-county local districts.

California's 58 counties have remained virtually the same since the youngest—Imperial—was formed 68 years ago. Local governments have mushroomed in recent decades into a bureaucratic maze of 400 cities and more than 4,000 other taxing entities, ranging from school to mosquito abatement districts.

Merger efforts frequently have encountered stiff opposition from local politicians whose public office and power would be ended if their governmental entities went out of business.

With that background, Reagan unexpectedly announced Friday that probably within the month he would appoint a five-member group to study the

possibility of overhauling California local government from top to bottom.

The idea, he said, would be to provide not only more efficiency but "accountability," by clearly separating the lines of governmental authority so taxpayers would know specifically which entity was responsible for providing a given service. If this happened, he said, the state could shift some of its functions back to local government and also "the tax sources for funding them."

Reagan departed from his prepared text to a state fair-oriented breakfast meeting of California business leaders to tell of his plan. He went into detail later with newsmen.

"I have a dream—that perhaps California can set a standard of government reform that will make possible efficiency and economy in government at a level never before realized," Reagan told the businessmen.

"There is not a single thing

I've proposed that can't be done, if there is a need to join city and county and merge services together to get the job done better and more economically, it can be done if the people decide they want it done.

"If there are areas of our state where the county boundaries do not make sense any more and they should be brought together into a single county, that too can be done."

Reagan later told newsmen some counties were created in "horse and buggy days" and perhaps had outlived their need, but he declined to name them.

The governor noted that any merging of local entities would have to be approved by voters' referendum in the area. But he said his administration could furnish the facts and begin a dialogue.

Any massive governmental overhaul also would require extensive legislative and undoubtedly amendments to the state constitution.

The international regional government 'planners' have already rigged the states for collapse! When President Richard Nixon divided the United States into 10-Standard Federal Regions by a stroke of his pen (Executive Order 11647), it was left to the governors to encourage the merging of counties within their own respective state in order to establish international U.N. control over local government. The 'planners' intended for 'Interstate Compacts' already written to handle the task of eliminating state boundary lines and merging states together within each federal region. California was intended to be the lead state in various endeavors for international recasting.

'Streamlining,' 'eliminating duplication,' 'updating' and 'making more efficient' were the terms Governor Ronald Reagan of California used to sell local communities and voters on the need to enter California into regional government alignments. These machinations were supported by the introduction of federally controlled 'General Plans' for cities which became required all over the nation. This was the method by which the people of America lost control over their local governments.

There was considerable opposition to what Reagan was proposing because some citizens already knew that regional government alignments were segments of international government management. Reagan hired Robert B. Hawkins to study California's "horse and buggy" government (as Reagan called it) in the hope that he would reduce the opposition and present evidence that California's government should be overhauled from top-to-bottom and revamped for regional alignment. For example, 7 or 8 counties (or more) would then be merged into a single entity with one governing board to oversee the spacious area. This recasting of governmental operation was hailed as being supposedly less costly.

Fortunately, the study made by Robert B. Hawkins proved that California's government was not unplanned, not uncoordinated, not inefficient, and not uneconomical. The ten criticisms you see on the page to your right led to this age old proven conclusion: *Government is best by letting people at the lowest level make their own decisions.* →

Incidentally, the reason for California to compile the secret *Houlihan Report* was because Governor Reagan was having difficulty trying to convince local voters and their county supervisors to accept the mergers into 'substate regional government districts'. The 5 drastic methods within the Houlihan Report were proposed to force 'change' and assist in the breakdown of my Constitutional underpinnings.

When alert citizens began putting up a howl over what was happening, the planners were slowed down a bit on their "bottom up" work so they re-grouped to do the "top down" work by using treaties, and other "agreements" which would also gradually eliminate your states and your national borders.



You are caught in between the two! It is coming at you from both ends: top and bottom! When these two ends finally meet together, your goose will be cooked, my friends! You'd better **WAKE UP IN A HURRY!**



It is important to go back into these old records because it tells you what has gone before that is of prime value to you today! Take a look at the last check mark I made on the right side down below. See it? Remember it, folks! Here's the reason why the world can NOT be successfully controlled with one government over the whole thing! Everything on here -- still applies!

Reagan task-force surprise: special district is the most efficient form of local government

By ED SALEMAN

Governor Ronald Reagan last April issued the following statement in forming a highly publicized Task Force on Local Government:

Today, California has some 5,800 units of government below the state level, including 58 counties, 697 incorporated cities, more than 1,100 school districts and almost 4,200 special districts The average citizen is not even aware of all these different units of government. The only time he gets a first-hand knowledge of their existence is when he has a complaint about service, or more likely when he receives his property-tax bill. When they are looking at that long list of governmental units which their tax dollars are taken to support, many citizens wonder whether they are getting their money's worth and whether all these different layers of government are really necessary. The reform and modernization, indeed the streamlining, of local government is, and should be, one of our top priorities.

The Governor rejected proposals to establish regional government as a move toward creating another layer of government. But in doing so, he echoed the very claims about the present structure of local government made by the proponents of regionalism — that the present system makes no sense because there is too much overlapping and duplication, that the public has no real voice in local government, and that wholesale changes must be made to make the system economical and efficient. (Governor's "legacy" task forces seek ways to strengthen local government . . . , *CJ*, January 1978, p. 7).

Task force findings

Now the study period of the task force is about at an end. In his state-of-the-state message, the Governor will tell the Legislature in general terms what his six-man panel has found. Meanwhile, the task force will give Reagan's cabinet a range of policy options, and the recommendations should be published about March 1st.

Will, as the Governor suggested, the task force propose radical changes in local government structure? On the contrary. The task force chairman, Robert B. Hawkins Jr., II, former director of the state Office of Economic Opportunity, will report that the Governor's premises were wrong and that all the literature on the restructuring of local government is based on false assumptions. Hawkins reported that his group has found:

• Local government in California is not unplanned, uncoordinated, inefficient and uneconomical.

- ✓ • Once a local agency hits the population range of 30,000 to 50,000, it reaches its peak in efficiency and economy.
- ✓ • The claim that special districts are inefficient is wrong; they are more efficient than other forms of local government.
- ✓ • Independent special districts (as contrasted to those operated by cities and counties) go out of business at the rate of five percent a year. This counters the contention that, once formed, a district will remain in business forever.
- ✓ • In the last election, 60 percent of all special district seats were contested. This rebuts the argument that district boards are self-perpetuating and that the public has no voice in their operation.
- ✓ • There is a tremendous amount of cooperation between governmental agencies, although city and county folk don't speak quite the same language.
- ✓ • Multipurpose or umbrella regional governments are not the solution because air basins run east and west, water runs north and south, transportation routes differ, and there is no logical boundary for such a governmental agency in any of California's urban areas.
- ✓ • Changing county lines on a wholesale basis is not practical. Each of the six members of the task force independently drew what he considered ideal lines and all six plans were different.
- ✓ • Local agency formation commissions, which can veto new districts and annexations in each county, should be weakened.
- ✓ • There has to be one level of government with an absolute relationship between what the citizen pays in taxes and what he gets in services — without the possibility of veto by a higher-ranking level of government. The task force has gone deeply into the concept of small neighborhood governments.

If Hawkins rejects the common beliefs about the future of local government, what then will be recommended to the Governor? The above findings and the recommendations that come from them have a common thread — letting people at the lowest level make their own decisions. This means, for example, making it easier for special districts to be formed and dissolved, allowing governmental agencies to make contracts with each other and with private enterprise on a more sweeping level, making it much easier for county lines to be changed when the people in the affected region choose to do so, eliminating the veto power of the local agency formation commissions and replacing it, perhaps, with a higher voting requirement for the formation of new districts.


While some of your fellow citizens sit around watching old movies, or running to the gambling parlors, the "government termites" are hard at it 24/7 doing everything they can to wipe me out, and put you under a military government. When Homeland Security goes full blast using martial law status, they are going to say that I am permanently suspended!



Have you ever thought what is going to happen to YOU when I am not here any more? You can be arrested for protesting abuses of your rights. Do you have to lose me to find out that I am the best friend you ever did have?



How do you tell your son that he is going to have to live as a communist without the freedom and benefits you've had?



"My dear son
I am so sorry you are going to have to live under Communism.
It seemed to come so quickly.
I didn't think their lies could win.
I guess we were so busy with other things.
Not enough of us spoke up for freedom when we had the chance."

Were you "too busy" watching out for your own future -- that you forgot to consider what was happening to his?

People are not aware that there has been a treaty giving control to the United Nations of all "wetlands". The treaty is called "The Ramsar Convention" and was adopted in 1971. The U.S. became a contracting party in 1986. — Bernadine Smith

Rivers That Have Been Officially Nominated For United Nations Control

ALABAMA
Cahaba River
Chattahoochee River
Coosa River

ARIZONA
Santa Cruz River

ARKANSAS
Arkansas River
(two applications)
Ouachita River

CALIFORNIA
Oualala River
Lower American River
San Joaquin River
San Luis Rey River
Santa Clara River
Santa Rosa River

COLORADO
Gunnison River
(North Fork)
South Platte River

CONNECTICUT
Mianus River
Connecticut River

DELAWARE
Broad Creek
Delaware River

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
Anacostia River
Potomac River

FLORIDA
Choctawhatchee River
Everglades
St. Johns River
St. Lucia River

GEORGIA
Coosa River
Savannah River

HAWAII
Hanalei River

IDAHO
Clearwater River

ILLINOIS
Chicago-Skinola River
Dubuque River
Kaskaskia River
Ohio River
Upper Mississippi

River (two applications)

INDIANA
Maumee River
Ohio River
Ohio River-Pigeon Creek
St. Joseph River

IOWA
Cedar River
Dubuque River
Missouri River (two applications)

KANSAS
Arkansas River
Missouri River

KENTUCKY
Licking River
Ohio River (two applications)

LOUISIANA
Lower Mississippi River
Ouachita River

MARYLAND
Anacostia River
Lower Susquehanna River
Potomac River
Patuxent River
Potomac River

MARSH ISLANDS
Anabnet River
Blackstone-Woonasquatucket River
Connecticut River
Merrimack River
Myotis River
South River
Taunton River
Westfield River
Wampscott River

MICHIGAN
Detroit River
Grand River
Kalamazoo River
Muskegon River
St. Joseph River
St. Mary's River

MINNESOTA
Minnesota River
Mississippi River (Minneapolis/St. Paul)
St. Louis River

Upper Mississippi River

MISSOURI
Cold Water Creek
Missouri River
Osage River
Upper Mississippi River

MONTANA
Clearwater River
Missouri River
Yellowstone River

NEBRASKA
Missouri River

NEW HAMPSHIRE
Connecticut River
Cocheos River
Merrimack River
Wampscott-Merrimack River

NEW JERSEY
Delaware River
Passaic River
Rahway River
Raritan River

NEW MEXICO
Rio Grande River
San Juan River
Morning Water Child

NEW YORK
Allegheny River
Beaverkill River
Bogot River
Brook River
Buffalo River
Delaware River
Genesee River
Hudson River
Hudson River (at Steep Hollow)
Mausie River
Napan River

NORTH CAROLINA
French Broad River
Lower Cape Fear River
Lower Neuse River
Lower Roanoke River
New River
Savannah River
Tidwell/Pee-Dee River

NORTH DAKOTA
Missouri River

Yellowstone River

OHIO
Black River
Cuyahoga River
Great Miami River
Mahoning River
Maumee River
Mill Creek
Muskingham River
Ohio River (two applications)

OKLAHOMA
Arkansas River

OREGON
Columbia River (in Columbia County)
Willamette River

PENNSYLVANIA
Allegheny River
Delaware River
Genesee River
Lehigh River
Ohio River
Potomac River
Rivers of Steel
Schuylkill River
Susquehanna
Upper
Susquehanna-Lackawanna
Wabash

RHODE ISLAND
Blackstone-Woonasquatucket River

SOUTH CAROLINA
Black River
Broad-Lower
Saluda-Congaree Rivers
Cooper River
Edisto River
Savannah River
Waccamaw River

SOUTH DAKOTA
Missouri River

TENNESSEE
Cumberland River
French Broad River
Mississippi River (at Memphis)
Tennessee River (at Chattanooga)
Tennessee River (in Deatur County)

TEXAS
Brazos River
Rio Grande River
Rio Grande River (at Brownsville)
Sabine River
San Antonio River

UTAH
Jordan River
San Juan River
Morning Water Child

VERMONT
Connecticut River

VIRGINIA
James River
Lenaes River
New River
Potomac River
Rappahannock River
Trippes River

WASHINGTON
Pyralis River
Snohomish River

WEST VIRGINIA
 Cheat River
Kanawha River
New River
Ohio River
Potomac River

WISCONSIN
Dubuque River
Fox River
Milwaukee River
Rock River
Upper Mississippi River
Wolf River

WYOMING
Yellowstone River

Source:
Tom DeWesse
American
Policy
Center
Herndon, Va.



BYE, BYE, NATIONAL PARKS. HELLO UNITED NATIONS!

UPDATED LIST FROM ORIGINAL ARTICLE BY
CAJ NEWS SERVICE ARTICLE OF 3-96

BY SILVERSTATE 7 FAX NET 4-96


UNITED NATIONS WORLD HERITAGE LIST

The World Heritage List was established under terms of the UN Convention concerning the protection of world culture and Natural Heritage adopted in November 1972 at the 17th General Conference of UNESCO. Congress turned over our National Parks and sites to the UNITED NATIONS!

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Aleutian Islands National Wildlife Refuge | 32. Kings Canyon National Park |
| 2. Beaver Creek Experimental Watershed | 33. Kansas Research National Area |
| 3. Big Bend National Park | 34. Land between the Lakes |
| 4. Big Flaket National Preserve | 35. Losillo Experimental Forest |
| 5. California Coast Ranges "Biosphere" | 36. Mammoth Cave National Park |
| 6. Cahokia Mounds State Historic Site, Ill. | 37. Mount Lee National Park |
| 7. Carlsbad Caverns, New Mexico | 38. Mesa Verde National Park |
| 8. Carolinian-South Atlantic "Biosphere" | 39. Mojave Desert "Biosphere" |
| 9. Cascade Head Exp. Forest & Scenic Research Area | 40. Monticello |
| 10. Central California Coast "Biosphere" Reserve | 41. New Jersey Pinelands "Biosphere" |
| 11. Central Gulf Coast Plain "Biosphere" | 42. Newt Ridge "Biosphere" Preserve |
| 12. Central Plains Experimental Range | 43. Neotak National Preserve |
| 13. Chaco Culture Nat'l Historic Park, New Mexico | 44. Olympic National Park |
| 14. Champlain-Adirondack "Biosphere" Reserve | 45. Organ Pipe Cactus Nat'l Monument |
| 15. Channel Islands "Biosphere" Reserve, California | 46. Pecos De Tano, New Mexico |
| 16. Colorado Desert "Biosphere" | 47. Redwood National Park |
| 17. Cocos Experimental Forest | 48. Rocky Mountain National Park |
| 18. Death Valley Nat'l Monument "Biosphere" | 49. San Dimas Experimental Forest |
| 19. Denali National Park & "Biosphere" & Reserve | 50. San Juan National Historic Site |
| 20. Desert Experimental Range | 51. Sequoia National Park |
| 21. Everglades National Park | 52. South Atlantic Coastal Plains "Biosphere" |
| 22. Fraser Experimental Forest | 53. Southern Appalachians "Biosphere" |
| 23. Glacier Bay-Admiralty Island "Biosphere" | 54. Stauleau-Tombane Experimental Forest |
| 24. Great Smoky Mountains National Park | 55. Statue of Liberty |
| 25. Guánica Commonwealth Forest Reserve | 56. Three Sisters Wilderness |
| 26. H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest | 57. U of Michigan Biological Station |
| 27. Hawaii Islands "Biosphere" Reserve | 58. University of Virginia |
| 28. Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest | 59. Virgin Islands NP and "Biosphere" |
| 29. Independence Hall, Philadelphia | 60. Virginia Coast Reserve |
| 30. Isle Royale National Park | 61. Yellowstone National Park |
| 31. Jordanas Experimental Range | 62. Yosemite National Park |

UNITED NATIONS HERITAGE AND BIOSPHERE PROGRAMS

WORLD HERITAGE SITES and BIOSPHERE RESERVES IN THE UNITED STATES



This map indicates the sites and areas that the United States has submitted for special United Nations designation. The World Heritage Sites are listed through the Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage signed by President Ford on March 1, 1976. The UN designated biosphere reserves have been listed as a result of the United States government's participation in the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization's Man and Biosphere Program. As a result of UN treaties it is now questionable as to whether any future American development will be permitted inside these UN-designated biosphere reserves.

(Not printed at Government expense)

Congressional Record

PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 83^d CONGRESS, SECOND SESSION

The Great Conspiracy to Destroy the United States

SPEECH

of

HON. USHER L. BURDICK

OF NORTH DAKOTA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 28, 1954

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. BURDICK) is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. BURDICK. Mr. Speaker, there can be no doubt that there now exists a widespread understanding and agreement made between the agents of this Government and the United Nations and North Atlantic Treaty Organization to build a world government, and to make the United States a part of it, regardless of our Constitution, laws, and traditions. This is to be done in the name of peace, but will result in the total destruction of our liberty. The agents representing the United States may not be deliberately trying to do this treasonable work, but the best that can be said for them is that they are dupes. Some mighty important people who are United States citizens are not only going along with this scheme, but are daily and hourly contributing all their efforts in that direction.

What proof do we have to back up this general statement? The purpose of this speech is to lay this proof before the American people.

First of all, the people of the United States were so completely sick of war after World War II that these schemers found a fertile field to exploit. They appealed to churches, schools, and every other organization they could reach, on the basis that the way to secure peace in the world was to organize a United Nations group, and that through the machinery which they proposed to set up wars could be stopped before they started. It seemed like a plausible idea, and not knowing the sinister purpose behind the move, millions of people supported the suggestion.

The first move was made at San Francisco, where many nations met, drew up a charter, and submitted that charter to the Senate of the United States for approval as a treaty.

This document had none of the earmarks of a treaty, because the Supreme Court of the United States has held in many cases that a treaty is an agreement made between nations, to do or not to do particular things. In the case of the Charter of the United Nations, it was not an agreement between nations. It was an agreement made by the agents of several governments, and there is no contention from any quarter that the United Nations at that time was a nation with which we could make a treaty agreement. The dark forces behind this move knew that the United Nations was not a nation with which we could make a treaty, but intended to make it an integral power at the first opportunity.

How these forces for evil planned to make the United Nations a nation is clear now, since they propose at this time to build a world government by simply amending the Charter of the United Nations.

Who were the principal movers at San Francisco for this United Nations Charter? Who wrote the charter, and who had the most to do about shaping its provisions? The answer is that the Russian Communists and Alger Hiss, a representative of our State Department, were the prime movers and schemers in arranging its provisions. That is the same Alger Hiss who was convicted for perjury when he denied sending secret material to the Soviet Union representatives. Its very beginning gave this document a bad odor.

The universal approval of a plan to preserve world peace had not worn off and the facts were yet unknown when the Senate was called upon to approve the United Nations Charter. The sentiment for peace was so strong that only two Senators refused to approve the charter. If the question were to come up now, a great majority would say "No."

If the real purpose of this charter was to outline a method to secure and preserve world peace, why was it necessary in that charter to make an assault upon the Constitution of the United States? Are we not already a peace-loving nation, without having to rely upon the Soviets and Hiss?

Here you see again that world peace was not the object of this scheme at all. The real purpose was to build a world government, controlled by the Communists and their dupes in the United States.

As soon as this charter was approved the courts of the United States began to hear about it. In the Fujii case in California, the Charter of the United Nations was substituted for the laws of the State of California, and that remained so for several months, until a higher court overruled the court that made this finding. It was a precarious situation, depending upon the whim of a court.

Again, in the Steel Seizure case, where the Supreme Court was searching our Constitution for some provision that would uphold the President in his action, the same Charter of the United Nations once more appeared. Failing to find any authority in the Constitution to fortify the President's position, the Chief Justice resorted to one of the most unheard-of things in American history. He produced the Charter of the United Nations as the authority for the seizure and cited its provisions in an effort to support the President's act. Fortunately for the people of the United States, the majority of the Court would not permit this communistic charter to supplant the Constitution of the United States. It was, however, a close call, and abundantly proved the need of the Bricker amendment. No one can ever tell what the next decision might be, although throughout our history God seems always to be on our side; and no matter what the political complexion of the Supreme Court may be, the decisions have upheld the Constitution.

The next assault on the Constitution is found in the Covenant of Human Rights, which has not as yet been presented to the Senate for ratification. The United Nations has amended its first draft several

times, and because of the rising tide of objection to what it is doing and planning to do, the latest draft has not come before the Senate.

The subtle and fraudulent work of the United Nations in trying to prepare the people of the United States for the approval of this un-American document ought in itself to condemn its further consideration by the people and their leaders.

To prove to you that its procedure was fraudulent and totally dishonest, I wish to clearly state that the United Nations put out a Declaration of Human Rights, which, upon its face was not objectionable. This declaration was propagandized by the spreading of millions of copies among church people, in the common schools, and in the higher institutions of learning. Every civic organization was also the object of this avalanche of propaganda.

There was a cunningly designed purpose in this. It was necessary to prepare the people for the advent of the Covenant of Human Rights. When the propagandists thought the ground work had been sufficiently laid, the real human rights document appeared. It was and still is called the Covenant of Human Rights, but it is entirely different from the propagandized Declaration of Human Rights. Here in this Covenant of Human Rights the United Nations, among other things, undertakes to do three important things, all of which threaten the Constitution of the United States. It has rewritten what is meant by free speech, a free press, and free religion. The Constitution is not in doubt in defining these three fundamental attributes of a free government. Here is what it says:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances,

If the provisions of the document called the Covenant of Human Rights are adopted by the Senate please ask yourselves what has become of these precious constitutional rights. Here is what the covenant says about them:

Article 15. Section 3: Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.

Article 16. Section 2: Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print. In the form of art or through any other media of his choice. Section 3: The exercise of the rights provided for in the foregoing paragraph carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall be such only as are provided by law and are necessary (1) for respect of the rights or reputations of others, (2) for the protection of national security or of public order, or of public health or morals.

When we go so far as to hedge in, restrain and circumvent free speech, then there is no free speech. There will be no free press. There will be no free religion. Does anyone who is acquainted with these facts want to say that the United Nations is not trying to rewrite our Constitution, with the aid and support of Communists and revolutionists? Just why is it necessary to emasculate our Constitution if the only object of the United Nations is world peace? Is not our Constitution and the desire of all the people of this country in favor of peace?

It is necessary to change our Constitution in order to carry out the design and conspiracy to build a world government. Is it not perfectly clear to you now that this was the real purpose of the framers of

the United Nations from its very beginning? It ought to be obvious to any fairminded person that it is the deliberate scheme of the United Nations to destroy the Constitution of the United States, and should need no further proof.

But that is not all, as the following steps will disclose. The United Nations has produced another convention, which in time they will ask the Senate to approve. I refer to the Genocide Convention. This is an appealing subject and it has caught in its net a great many good American citizens. As defined by the dictionary, genocide is "the use or a user of deliberate, systematic measures toward the extermination of a racial, political, or cultural group."

The wholesale destruction of a race or group of people for no reason at all except that they are a race or group, is against all principles of humanity, and in this country is a violation of moral and civic law. Is there anything in the Constitution of the United States, or even in the laws of any State of this great Union, that approves such crime? Why is it necessary to change and amend, abrogate and repeal, our own Constitution in order that we shall be authorized to rise up against such a moral and legal crime? The answer is that there is no possible reason for this action—if the purpose of the covenant is to prevent genocide.

This Convention undertakes to further amend the Constitution of the United States and deny the rights of our citizens under the Bill of Rights in another respect. The sixth amendment to the Constitution provides:

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

The Genocide Convention provides that a citizen of the United States, who has, in the opinion of the United Nations, libeled or injured the feelings of a race, a group, or any member of a group, shall be subject to trial for violating the covenant. Will the accused be tried here in the United States, where the crime was alleged to have been committed? No. He will be tried wherever the United Nations may decide. Will he be tried under the Constitution and laws of this country, with the safeguards provided by the sixth amendment? No. He will be tried under such laws as the United Nations World Court shall prescribe. Why was it considered necessary to take away from the citizens of this country the protection our Constitution gives them? Are our people engaged, or were they ever engaged in race annihilation?

The real, hidden, and treasonable purpose of this provision was and is to tear down our Constitution and make all citizens, who are entitled to the enjoyment of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, subject to the provisions of a world court, which is already being set up to function in this supergovernment—a world government.

Do we need further proof that the real and only purpose of the builders of the United Nations was to fashion a world government and to make our citizens subject to that world government, and to strip from them the protection guaranteed them under the Constitution of the United States?

If this is not treason, then I do not understand the provision of the Constitution defining it. Section 3 of Article III of the Constitution says:

Treason against the United States shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort.

For fear that there may be some in the United States who are not yet convinced by what I have said so far, I will not rest this case there, but will present further evidence.

The United Nations set up an organization known as UNESCO—United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization—for the purpose of spreading universal learning, which the promoters contended would bring the people of the world more quickly to a mutual understanding than anything else would.

There was no objection to this proposal—at least on the face of it. But it turned out to be the most dangerous, the most dastardly undertaking of all that the United Nations had theretofore contrived. Its purpose was not what its promoters said it was. It was a deliberate plan to create public opinion for the coming world government. The malicious and cowardly element of the enterprise was that it was directed to the schoolchildren of the Nation, where minds are young and impressionable, and it is patterned exactly after the Soviet teaching of the youth of the country.

These schemers knew that the United States has a strong national spirit; they knew that the average American loves his country; they knew he would defend its institutions, which had brought freedom in a new land. The plotters determined that this spirit must be destroyed, or at least minimized. So UNESCO went to work.

The first step was to train teachers at Columbia University, at the expense of the United Nations—principally at the expense of the taxpayers of this country—to teach our children ways by which they could become world citizens, and that a strong national spirit interferes with this world venture. The birthdays of our great leaders, like Washington, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, and Lincoln were not to be celebrated in honor of these leaders, but the day of celebration should be devoted to propagandizing these children on the benefits of this future world government. They made it exceedingly plain that love for the United States and its institutions prevented our participation in such a world government.

Printed matter, radio and television were used night and day to carry on the cultivation propaganda, and to root out the love of country from these United States. This program is still being carried on, and the worst part of it is that the people who will eventually be stripped of the protection of our Constitution will pay the price of its destruction in taxes. It should now be proven overwhelmingly that the United Nations was organized to destroy the Constitution of the United States. This is all done in the name of world peace—but who wants to substitute world peace for the liberty and freedom we have? Who wants to surrender the sovereignty of this great republic to an organization which has been assiduously at work from its very beginning to abolish our Constitution?

Two very important sessions of the world government advocates have been held in London, and in the proceedings it is made plain that the machinery for world government is already set up in the Charter of the United Nations, and all that is necessary is to make a few amendments to that charter. Many advocates of the United Nations have now come out openly for this world government. Some very influential men in public life say that we can afford to give up some of our sovereignty to obtain world peace. The propaganda for a world government has flourished in many quarters. I am here to tell you that we cannot afford to give up any of our national sovereignty for any cause.

We have the only government on earth where the people themselves rule. The government here exists for the people, and the people do not exist for the government. For over 160 years we have gone on our way with our own concept of government, and we know what freedom means. Are we fools enough to abandon our course and listen to the siren songs of those whose design it is to destroy this great Government, and fit it into a new world government with a heterogeneous collection of nations whose ideas of the purpose of government conflict with our own? Instead of destroying our national spirit, it should be increased. If other nations want to follow our example, let them do it; but to let any foreign combination direct the affairs of this Government would be intolerable and will never be permitted. It could not be done by force. And if the American people are alert and prize freedom and liberty as much as I think they do, this false, insidious, and conspiratorial scheme to subdue us will never prevail.

The world government proposes a world congress where members are elected according to the population of the member nations. This means that Soviet Russia and Red China and their enslaved comrades will control that government.

After examining this record, can anyone doubt that the United Nations was purposely set up to do to this country what could not be done by force of arms, but through the blandishments of Communists, fellow travelers, and dupes, get us to surrender our liberty without firing a shot?

There are some questions that should be answered. One of them is, "Why does this Government permit the recognition of Soviet Russia, when it is known by all, including all the administration leaders, that from the Russian Embassy here in Washington there is a constant flow to all parts of the country of propaganda that is inimical to the United States?" The next question is, "Why do we remain in the United Nations when we can plainly see that the whole scheme is directed to our destruction?" If the administration officials hide their heads in the sand for security, I am sure that the people will not.

I have faith in the American people, when they are armed with the facts.

I have faith in the Divine Ruler of this universe, who has sustained us in the past; and I have an enduring faith that He will not desert us in our efforts to maintain a government of freedom and liberty here on these shores where it began.

THE GREAT SPEECH THAT EXPOSED THE GREAT CONSPIRACY TO DESTROY THE UNITED STATES

If you are not too far down the road to serfdom to care about the harness of tyranny you are saddling upon the young and the uninformed in our society, then, without a doubt, you will agree that the following speech by Representative Usher L. Burdick, is one of the most remarkable speeches of the 20th Century. Notice the April 28, 1954 date on the speech. Rep. Burdick delivered this great speech without the advantage of having irrefutable documents which today's 'watchmen-on-the-wall' can easily access to support charges of fraud, malfeasance, sedition, and treason by presidents and other public officials. Today's 'watchmen-on-the-wall' have the tremendous advantage of displaying Public Law 87-297, (and one of its amendments, P.L. 101-216), as part of the proof to show that there has been an on-going conspiracy by our own government officials to destroy the United States, the very government these public officials were elected to protect!

Burdick delivered this speech, *seven years prior* to the passage of *The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World* that was enacted by Congress, and signed by J. F. Kennedy in 1961. Burdick did not have access as we have to the State Department's Publication #7277, the policy book that expounds upon this idiotic law, and gives details of the three-stage plan for the General and Complete Disarmament of the United States, including the prohibition of all handguns belonging to the people. No, Burdick did not have our advantage, but he knew what was coming, because he had read the United Nations Charter!

As the former governor of North Dakota, Congressman Burdick was fearless. He expounded openly upon what was happening within the United States. Its people were being mentally conditioned to surrender their liberty, to permit the circumventing of their rightful Constitution, and to assist in destroying the United States without the communists firing a shot! This is the same plan that is being forced upon us by President George W. Bush who with a beguiling and persuasive smile makes continual veiled references to Public Law 87-297 with such phrases as "a more peaceful world"; "in the name of peace"; "safety"; "peace and freedom"; etc.

As he begins his speech, Burdick lifts their tyrannical mask as he says in his opening statement:

"This is all done in the name of world peace - but who wants to substitute world peace for the liberty and freedom we have? Who wants to surrender the sovereignty of this great republic to an organization which has been assiduously at work from its very beginning to abolish our Constitution?".... Then again, he says: "Some very influential men in public life say that we can afford to give up some of our sovereignty to obtain world peace. Many advocates of the United Nations have come out openly for this world government. I am here to tell you that we can *not* afford to give up *any* of our national sovereignty for *any* cause."

As he concluded this speech, Burdick reasoned with Americans to reconsider what is happening to them under the United Nations Charter stating in these words:

"After examining this record, can anyone doubt that the United Nations was purposely set up to do to this country what could not be done by force of arms, but through the

Mandishments of Communists, fellow travelers, and dupes, get us to surrender our liberty without firing a shot?"

Burdick was aware that the communists had changed their method of conquering *from* force *to* the slow infiltration method, using mind-bending techniques. Devious manipulation of the public mind under the brainwashing technique, caused Americans to give approval to so-called "peace" as a solution to engineered "terrorism".

While it is true that Americans could not be taken by blatant exterior force, they have been induced to surrender their Constitution by interior methods of circumvention of their rights. Even the military government (called the "Homeland Security" -- required by State Dept. Publication #7277, which must be set down over the people of the United States), is being accepted by the great mass of people as a protection for their supposed "safety". Under this military government, the Constitution will be suspended on a permanent basis. Wake up!!

President Theodore Roosevelt, in 1912 said: "The President is merely the most important among a large number of public servants. He should be supported or opposed exactly to the degree which is warranted by his good conduct or bad conduct, his efficiency or inefficiency in rendering loyal, able, and disinterested service to the nation as a whole. Therefore, it is absolutely necessary that there should be full liberty to tell the truth about his acts, and this means that it is exactly as necessary to blame him when he does wrong as to praise him when he does right. Any other attitude in an American citizen is both base and servile. To announce that there must be no criticism of the President, or that we are to stand by the President, right or wrong, is not only unpatriotic and servile, but is morally treasonable to the American public. Nothing but the truth should be spoken about him or any one else. But it is even more important to tell the truth, pleasant or unpleasant, about him than about any one else."

In a letter on January 10, 1917, Roosevelt also said "The things that will destroy America are prosperity-at-any-price, peace-at-any-price, safety-first instead of duty-first, the love of soft living, and the get-rich-quick theory of life."

When the United Nations Charter was signed in 1945, every president since, bar none, has been an administrator of the transition of the United States into a communist global government, and an intermediary for the elimination of the United States Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Much of the planning to overthrow the United States was laid out in Franklin Roosevelt's administration. Until the respectable people realize that the command center of the subversion is located in the office of the president, assisted by the governors of each state, and they put an end to U.S. membership in the United Nations, there will be no hope for themselves nor the coming generations in finding true peace and security.

"Patriotism means to stand by the country. It does not mean to stand by the president or any other public official, save exactly to the degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is unpatriotic not to oppose him to the exact extent that by inefficiency or otherwise he fails in his duty to stand by the country. In either event, it is unpatriotic not to tell the truth, whether about the president or anyone else."President Theodore Roosevelt

They are stealing your country! Get the
straw out of your head! Wise up!



But you can stop it! All you have to do is protest at your state level. How can a state refuse to retain its sovereignty? George Washington told you that government was a dangerous servant but it could also be a fearful master. If you lose your 50 state governments, and your firearms, your goose is cooked! The state governments have higher authority than the federal government. If the federal government is allowed to continue dissolving the states, you are in for mighty tough sledding! Right now, while they exist, the states can meet, and those representatives can declare the 1945 United States Participation in the United Nation Act and its Amendment of 1947 to be repealed! Grounds to help the charge are found in Rebus Sic Stantibus, the highest reason in rank in international law to void a treaty! Include all other treaties that sprung up as a result of this initial (fraudulent) U.N. "treaty".


This really has to be done!

This 1945 Act was a massive violation of the intents and purposes of the treaty clause. It was a real snow job! A bigger crime than any you'll find behind prison bars. *The United Nations Charter never was a treaty to begin with!* Remove the wool that has sat over your eyes for scores of years, folks! It is rotting you out! It was not a sovereign nation, only a subversive plan for the ignorant to sell themselves out! It was a plan to overthrow the United States government and that of many other countries. At the rate you are going, may I ask you if are you willing to let your children live under the fearful military master that is being built over them? You also need a constitutional governor that will put all tax money that normally goes to the federal government to be placed in escrow and cut them off at the pockets until they get back in line where they belong.

A PROPER VIEW OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL SYSTEM

How it was designed to operate.

Armed, ready and able, to preserve liberty, the purpose for which it was formed.




A SELF-GOVERNING SYSTEM OF THE PEOPLE BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE

The government of the Union, then, is emphatically and truly a government of the people. In form and in substance it emanates from them. Its powers are granted by them, and are to be exercised directly on them and for their benefit.
John Marshall, Case of Mr. Collector vs. Mayland, 1815. (Plimpton, iv, 314.)

The advocates of the Constitution seem to have lost sight of the people altogether in their reasonings... these gentlemen must be reminded of their error. They must be told that the ultimate authority, wherever the derivative may be found, resides in the people alone.... The Federalist Papers No. 46: MADISON

THIS IS THE WRONG CONCEPT,



WRONG

**FOR PEOPLE TO USE, TO VIEW THEIR
CONSTITUTIONAL SYSTEM.**



This system is a dictatorship!

No wonder *We, the people*, are losing out! Unfortunately, the people view themselves as being "on the bottom of the pack" as illustrated above! This is *not* the way the constitutional system was designed or intended to operate!


THE PYRAMID IS THE WRONG CONCEPT IN WHICH TO VIEW THE POWER STRUCTURE AND AUTHORITY OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

Please refer to the attached circular Diagram, entitled "The Constitutional System - How it was designed to operate." This diagram instructs in the proper operation of the power system. Just powers, allocated to state and federal government public officials, are limited powers only, and are derived from the consent of the governed. The president is not the head of the system! The president himself has limited powers! For too long all public officials have been violating the system. The people have been endowed with unalienable, inherent, natural rights, bestowed upon them by their Creator. It is high time the people realized that it is they who sit in the real seat of power and begin to assert themselves against unlawful legislation being passed as "law".

A PROPER VIEW OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL SYSTEM

How it was designed to operate.

Armed, ready and able, to preserve liberty, the purpose for which it was formed.



A SELF-GOVERNING SYSTEM OF THE PEOPLE BY THE PEOPLE AND FOR THE PEOPLE


The government of the United States, then, is emphatically and truly a government of the people. In form and in substance it originates from them. Its powers are granted by them, and are to be exercised directly on them and for their benefit.

John Marshall, *Case of McCulloch vs. Maryland*, 1819. (Wheaton, iv, 314.)

The adversaries of the Constitution seem to have lost sight of the people altogether in their reasonings... These gentlemen must be reminded of their error. They must be told that the ultimate authority, wherever the derivative may be found, resides in the people alone.... The Federalist Papers No. 46: MADISON

Federal officials are not the supreme power.*

As can be seen, the purpose of the federal government is to act as a "go-between" for the benefit of the people of the states, exercising only the limited delegation of power allowed to them by the people for the purpose of conducting special enumerated duties, one of which is the protection of the national security through the maintenance of a common defense. Although it is true that the federal Constitution is the "supreme law", federal officials who get their work assignments as an outgrowth from it, are not "supreme" officials. The system was not set up to be a dictatorship. The people have never relinquished their sovereignty!



It's up to the people to keep the system going!

* On the ninth day of the Constitutional Convention in 1787, under Resolution No. 15, James Madison was successful in achieving a change in the wording from "We, the states" to "We, the people", thus the responsibility for the endurance of the system fell directly upon the shoulders of the people. In his Farewell Address George Washington left us with this warning: "One method of assault may be to effect, in the forms of the constitution, alterations which will impair the energy of the system, and thus to undermine what cannot be directly overthrown."

The real seat of power is with the people themselves and with the state houses which the people are expected to control. The people-at-large are responsible for their own liberty and independence.



Did you notice on the diagram on the previous page, that the people are represented at the core of the system -- all the way down to, and including, the local level?

Only by keeping the right to keep and bear arms, and by use of the proper militia system -- established from day "one" -- will the people maintain their rightful authority over the state and federal governments!



The New World Order has gained a frightening foothold! Don't say you weren't warned!!

A Chronological History: THE NEW WORLD ORDER

by D.L. Cuddy, Ph.D.

In the mainline media, those who adhere to the position that there is some kind of "conspiracy" pushing us towards a world government are virulently ridiculed. The standard attack maintains that the so-called "New World Order" is the product of turn-of-the-century, right-wing, bigoted, anti-semitic racists acting in the tradition of the long-debunked Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, now promulgated by some Militias and other right-wing hate groups.

The historical record does not support that position to any large degree but it has become the mantra of the socialist left and their cronies, the media.

The term "New World Order" has been used thousands of times in this century by proponents in high places of federalized world government. Some of those involved in this collaboration to achieve world order have been Jewish. The preponderance are not, so it most definitely is not a Jewish agenda.

For years, leaders in education, industry, the media, banking, etc., have promoted those with the same Weltanschauung (world view) as theirs. Of course, someone might say that just because individuals promote their friends doesn't constitute a conspiracy. That's true in the usual sense. However, it does represent an "open conspiracy," as described by noted Fabian Socialist H.G. Wells in *The Open Conspiracy: Blue Prints for a World Revolution* (1928).

In 1913, prior to the passage of the Federal Reserve Act President Wilson's *The New Freedom* was published, in which he revealed:

"Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the U. S., in the field of commerce and manufacturing, are afraid of somebody, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

On November 21, 1913, President Franklin Roosevelt wrote a letter to Col. Edward Mandell House, President Woodrow Wilson's close advisor.

"The real truth of the matter is, as you and I know, that a financial element in the larger centers has owned the Government every since the days of Andrew Jackson..."

That there is such a thing as a cabal of power brokers who control government behind the scenes has been detailed several times in this century by credible sources. Professor

Carroll Quigley was Bill Clinton's mentor at Georgetown University. President Clinton has publicly paid homage to the influence Professor Quigley had on his life. In Quigley's magnum opus *Tragedy and Hope* (1966), he states:

"There does exist and has existed for a generation, an international network which operates, to some extent, in the way the radical right believes the Communists act. In fact, this network, which we may identify as the Round Table Groups, has no aversion to cooperating with the Communists, or any other groups and frequently does so. I know of the operations of this network because I have studied it for twenty years and was permitted for two years, in the early 1960s, to examine its papers and secret records. I have no aversion to it or to most of its aims and have, for much of my life, been close to it and to many of its instruments. I have objected, both in the past and recently, to a few of its policies...but in general my chief difference of opinion is that it wishes to remain unknown, and I believe its role in history is significant enough to be known."

Even talk show host Rush Limbaugh, an outspoken critic of anyone claiming a path for global government, said on his February 7, 1995 program:

"You see, if you amount to anything in Washington these days, it is because you have been plucked or handpicked from an Ivy League school -- Harvard, Yale, Kennedy School of Government -- you've shown an aptitude to be a good Ivy League type, and so you're plucked to-to-speak, and you are assigned success. You are assigned a certain role in government somewhere, and then your success is monitored and tracked, and you go where the pluckers and the handpickers can get you."

On May 4, 1993, Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) president Leslie Gelb said on The Charlie Rose Show that:

"...you [Charlie Rose] had me on [before] to talk about the New World Order! I talk about it all the time. It's one world now. The Council [CFR] can find, nurture, and begin to put people in the kinds of jobs this country needs. And that's going to be one of the major enterprises of the Council under me."

Previous CFR chairman, John J. McCloy (1953-70), actually said they have been doing this since the 1940s (and before).

The thrust towards global government can be well-documented but at the end of the twentieth century it does not look like a traditional conspiracy in the usual sense of a secret cabal of evil men meeting clandestinely behind closed doors. Rather, it is a "networking" of like-minded individuals in high places to achieve a common goal, as described in Marilyn Ferguson's 1980 insider classic, *The Aquarian Conspiracy*.

Perhaps the best way to relate this would be a brief history of the New World Order, not in our words but in the words of those who have been striving to make it real. ♦



Don't you see that the Homeland Security is structured to operate as a *military government* and it is bound to bring back TYRANNY? The president has consolidated enough power on the federal level that is sufficient enough for a military dictatorship, which happens to be required by the General and Complete Disarmament Law! Didn't Patrick Henry tell you that *the president* was going to lead in the treason and *stomp on your fallen liberty*?

Why did you let it get this far?

What would the nation's founders say now?



WE, THE PEOPLE, ASK OUR BIG QUESTION:

How come we have these Rhodes Scholars, socialists, communists, various globalists, totalitarians 'progressives' and communitarians, holding down the seats on every governmental level wrecking our republic? Who can explain that?

THE ANSWER THE NATION'S FOUNDERS WOULD GIVE:

It is your own fault! We left you with two ideal documents for your protection! We created the Constitution for the proper operation of the government system, and we confirmed your natural rights in the Bill of Rights, to ensure your ability to remain the ultimate authority. You should be looking at it, this way: *What the oath of office does for the Constitution is equal to what firearms do for the Bill of Rights!*

Working together, the oath and firearms were meant to maintain the proper status quo: only limited powers to those holding positions in government.


Did you understand the principle and the reason for which the oath was interwoven into the Constitution? Why haven't you enforced adherence to that principle? The oath

was intended to screen out such ineligible persons as you've mentioned, socialists, communists, totalitarians, traitors, and others of that ilk. Our objective was to force them to step down from office immediately upon discovery. Why isn't your Justice Department calling for their resignations instead of allowing such people to be seated? Why haven't those public officials who have taken the oath in all sincerity defended the people against this invasion? What effort have you made to enforce the oath of office? Have you ever put up an outcry?

Throughout history there have always been liars bent upon fooling voters during elections. This is the reason we interlaced the oath into the Constitution! It was a major item woven into the 'check and balance system'. No one should hold office who does not

adhere to the basic rules within the Constitution. The big intent behind the Constitution is to prohibit public officials from diminishing the authority of the people. The oath was set to screen out those who would enter office under false colors. No one was given a blank check to do what he pleased once in office! If it isn't working, it is your fault! Have you ever held to a demand, or have you just sat back and grumbled while these destroyers altered the system, infringed your arms, and drained your authority?

The worst crimes going on now in the USA are those being committed every day by public officials who, as they were delegated a little authority, thought that the people had surrendered their own authority! This is not so! It was our intent that armed citizens would exercise their power to enforce the oath.



WHAT YOU COULD LEARN FROM A VOICE OF THE PAST

every house-holder kept weapons of defense in his home. It deterred the intentions of would be intruders.

Firearms are tools -- just tools! They were meant to be used for good purposes; however, they can also be used for bad purposes. Unfortunately, there is a small percentage of the people who are not law-abiding, and they will use guns for evil purposes. Criminals are people who use good tools for unlawful behavior. Society needs to be able to protect itself from them, too! Nevertheless, criminals are not a proper reason to disarm the whole population which is what the federal government is doing under the guise of preventing crime.



You must maintain ownership of guns in American society for the great good that they provide!

The police cannot protect the public-at-large. Even the courts admit that the police are not liable for protecting individuals from intruding burglars and robbers. The general function of the police is to stop crime after it has been committed. The people themselves must be equipped to defend themselves against such criminal activity when it occurs.

If the people became sold on the idea of giving up their guns 'in order to stop crime', they will be denying themselves the good use of guns. Guns are necessary tools for them to defend them-

selves against grave danger. To allow themselves to be propagandized into surrendering the right to use guns, despite the many good purposes guns serve, it would be like 'throwing out the baby with the bath water'.

The fact is that the federal government wants the nation of law-abiding citizens to become disarmed. They have a sinister reason for this. That reason is the general and complete disarmament law known as Public Law 87-297. That same goal is again evidenced in a 1989 law signed by George H. Bush that is known as Public Law 101-216. If the people go along with the federal government's maneuver to disarm them, and allow the entire United States armed forces to be transferred over to a foreign power on a permanent basis, which is what is being called for in those two laws, they will soon lose control of their government and control of their personal lives.

If your house were on fire, and you had the deed to your house, and a pack of greenbacks locked in a drawer, but you also had two little kids asleep in their beds, plus one in a crib as the fire raged, what would you do first? Naturally, you would grab up the three children and rush them out of the blistering smoke-filled house before it was too late! Opening up the drawer would waste time and the children could die! In other words, even among your most precious possessions, you must establish priorities! Certain things must come first! So it is with your Constitution and your Bill of Rights! They can only be protected by guns! More simply said:

You can't have one without the other two!

Second Amendment Committee
PO Box 1776 Hayward, Ca 94222

You live in a self-governing nation and are supposed to be able to defend your liberty! Your most precious personal liberty is found in the *Bill of Rights* and your collective liberty is in the original Constitution. You can protect these two documents only if you maintain a nation where the people are allowed to use guns! There are many good uses for guns and many good reasons for maintaining an armed nation.

The *Bill of Rights* has unrelenting power to protect your guns because its provisions can not be repealed. Its Second Article confirms your right to keep and bear arms, and is known commonly as the Second Amendment. This amendment also is the only amendment that has energy capable of protecting the other nine amendments.

There is a reciprocating alliance between guns and the Bill of Rights. Only guns, which are time-proven tools, have the capability of protecting your Bill of Rights. Without guns, there is no Bill of Rights. Without the Bill of Rights there will be no guns! Both must be equally protected. When either is gone, so is the other; then all freedoms are dead!

Freedom can be taken away from without or from within. A nation must be prepared at all times to defend itself against the threat of invasion from foreign enemies without or by corruption within. No matter what the size, wars usually end up in ground-to-ground encounters, or house-to-house fighting. In former years



The Constitution would have died in 1788 if it had not been for acceptance of the logic presented by Patrick Henry which forced the drafting of the Bill of Rights, a contractual agreement that perpetuated his views on the right to arms.



**SOME OF THE CRITICAL REMARKS MADE BY
PATRICK HENRY**

**WHILE HE WAS OPPOSING THE CONSTITUTION
WHEN IT WAS
UNGUARDED BY A BILL OF RIGHTS.**

This Constitution will trample on your fallen liberty. It squints toward monarchy. It will convert us to one solid empire.

This Constitution substitutes a consolidated in lieu of a confederated government, and this threatens the total annihilation of the state sovereignties. It will lead to a consolidation of the states into one consolidated government instead of a confederation of the states.

When government removes your armaments, you will have NO power but government will have ALL power! What will you do when evil men take office?

You are writing this Constitution as if only good men will take office.

When evil men take office, the whole gang will be in collusion. They will keep the people in utter ignorance and steal their liberty by ambuscade.*

A standing army we shall have, also to execute the execrable commands of tyranny.

Your guns are gone! What resistance could be made?

Will you assemble and just *tell them*? Even if you could assemble, how will you enforce rightful punishment when due? Your guns are gone!

My great objection to this government is that it does not leave us the means of defending our rights, or waging war against tyrants. Have we the means of resisting disciplined armies, when our only defense, the militia, is put in the hand of the congress?....

Oh, sir, we should have fine times, indeed, if to punish tyrants, it were only necessary to assemble the people.

Let Mr. Madison tell me when did liberty ever exist when the sword and the purse were given up from the people? Unless a miracle shall interpose, no nation ever did, nor ever can, retain its liberty after the loss of the sword and the purse.

Guard with jealous attention the public liberty! Suspect everyone who approaches that jewel. Unfortunately, nothing will preserve it but downright force, and whenever you give up that force, you are inevitably ruined!

They are being allowed too much money. They are being given too much power.

The power of the federal courts would swell the patronage of the president.

The president will lead in the treason. Your militia will leave you and fight against you.

The clause before you gives a power of direct taxation unbounded and unlimited.

Your laws on impeachment are a sham and a mockery due to mutual implication of government officials.

The cession of the whole treaty-making power to the president and the senate is one of the most fearful features in this Constitution, as they can enter into the most ruinous of foreign engagements.

The pay of the members is to be fixed by themselves without limit or restraint.

You are not to inquire how your trade may be increased, nor how you are to become a great and powerful people, but how your liberties can be secured! For liberty ought to be the direct end of government.

Will the abandonment of your most sacred rights tend to the security of your liberty? Liberty, the greatest of all earthly blessings -- give us that precious jewel and you may take everything else.

The adoption of this instrument has been maintained upon the ground that it would increase our military strength. You are negligently suffering our liberty to be wrested from us.

Even if you could assemble, how will you enforce rightful punishment when due? Oh, Sir, we should have fine times, indeed, if to punish tyrants, it were only necessary to assemble the people. A standing army we shall have, also to execute the execrable commands of tyranny.

The policy or impolicy of any provision does not depend upon itself alone, but on other provisions

The policy or impolicy of any provision does not depend upon itself alone, but on other provisions with which it stands connected.

I am not well versed in History, but I will submit to your recollection whether liberty has been destroyed most often by the licentiousness of the people, or by the tyranny of the rulers. I imagine, sir, that you will find the balance on the side of tyranny. Happy will you be, if you miss the fate of those nations, who omitting to resist their oppressors, or negligently suffering their liberty to be wrested from them, have groaned under intolerable despotism!

Let not gentlemen be told that 'it is not safe to reject this government'. Wherefore is it not safe? To encourage us to adopt it, they tell us, that there is a plain easy way of getting amendments. When I come to contemplate this part, I suppose that I am mad, or that my countrymen are so. The way to amendments is, in my conception -- shut!

Hence it appears that 3/4th of the states must ultimately agree to any amendments that may be necessary. Let us consider the consequence of this. Let us suppose (for the case is supposable, possible and probable) that you happen to deal these powers to unworthy hands; will they relinquish powers already in their possession, or agree to amendments? 2/3rds of the Congress, or of the state legislatures are necessary even to propose amendments. If one-third of these be unworthy men, they may prevent the application for amendments; but a destructive and mischievous feature is, that 3/4ths of the state legislatures, or of the state conventions, must concur in the amendments when proposed. In such numerous bodies, there must necessarily be some designing bad men!"

The least you can do is guard this Constitution with a Bill of Rights!

Patrick Henry

The brunt of the battle fell on Henry alone. Madison and others were accusing him of disunion. Henry told them that the dissolution of the Union was abhorrent to his mind. He considered himself a sentinel over the rights of the people, their liberties and happiness. He declared that even if twelve states had adopted the 1787 Constitution as it was without a Bill of Rights, he would still reject it.

*ambuscade means attacked from a concealed point.

PATRICK HENRY

THE SPEECH IN ST. JOHN'S CHURCH

THE SPIRIT OF LIBERTY

Patrick Henry addresses the Virginia Convention of 1775. His "torrents of sublime eloquence", as Jefferson once described the patriot's words, won him recognition as the spiritual leader of the Revolution. Future Presidents Washington and Jefferson are depicted in the background.



PATRICK

Mr. President, no man thinks more highly than I do of the patriotism, as well as abilities, of the very worthy gentlemen who have just addressed the house. But different men often see the same subject in different lights; and, therefore, I hope it will not be thought disrespectful to those gentlemen, if entertaining, as I do, opinions of a character very opposite to theirs, I shall speak forth my sentiments freely, and without reserve. This is no time for ceremony. The question before the house is one of awful moment to this country. For my own part, I consider it as nothing less than a question of freedom or slavery. And in proportion to the magnitude of the subject, ought to be the freedom of debate. It is only in this way that we can hope to arrive at truth and fulfill the great responsibility which we hold to God and our country. Should I keep back my opinions at such a time, through fear of giving offense, I should consider myself guilty of treason toward my country, and of an act of disloyalty toward the majesty of Heaven, which I revere above all earthly kings.

Mr. President, it is natural for a man to indulge in the illusions of hope. We are apt to shut our eyes against a painful truth -- and listen to the song of that siren till she transforms us into beasts. Is this the part of wise men, engaged in a great and arduous struggle for liberty? Are we disposed to be of the number of those who, having eyes, see not, and having ears, hear not, the things which so nearly concern their temporal salvation. For my part, whatever anguish of spirit it might cost, I am willing to know the whole truth; to know the worst and to provide for it.

I have but one lamp by which my feet are guided; and that is the lamp of experience. I know of no way of judging the future but by the past. And judging by the past, I wish to know what there has been in the conduct of the British ministry for the last ten years to justify those hopes with which gentlemen have been pleased to solace themselves and the house? Is it that insidious smile with which our petition has been lately received? Trust it not, sir; it will prove a snare to your feet.

Suffer not yourselves to be betrayed with a kiss. Ask yourselves how this gracious reception of our petition comports with those warlike preparations which cover our waters and darken our land. Are fleets and armies necessary to a work of love and reconciliation? Have we shown ourselves so unwilling to be reconciled that force must be called in to win back our love? Let us not deceive ourselves, sir. These are the implements of war and subjugation -- the last arguments to which kings resort.

I ask gentlemen, sir, what means this martial array, if its purpose be not to force us to submission? Can gentlemen assign any other possible motive for it? Has Great Britain any enemy in this quarter of the world to call for all this accumulation of navies and armies? No, sir, she has none. They are meant for us: they can be meant for no other. They are sent over to bind and rivet upon us those chains which the British ministry have been so long forging. And what have we to oppose to them? Shall we try argument? Sir, we have been trying that for the last ten years. Have we anything new to offer upon the subject? Nothing. We have held the

HENRY

subject up in every light of which it is capable; but it has been all in vain. Shall we resort to entreaty and humble supplication? What terms shall we find which have not been already exhausted? Let us not, I beseech you, sir, deceive ourselves longer. Sir, we have done everything that could be done to avert the storm which is now coming on. We have petitioned -- we have remonstrated -- we have supplicated -- we have prostrated ourselves before the throne, and have implored its interposition to arrest the tyrannical hands of the ministry and Parliament. Our petitions have been slighted; our remonstrances have produced additional violence and insult; our supplications have been disregarded; and we have been spurned, with contempt, from the foot of the throne.

In vain, after these things, may we indulge the fond hope of peace and reconciliation. There is no longer any room for hope. If we wish to be free -- if we mean to preserve inviolate those inestimable privileges for which we have been so long contending -- if we mean not basely to abandon the noble struggle in which we have been so long engaged, and which we have pledged ourselves never to abandon until the glorious object of our contest shall be obtained -- we must fight! I repeat it, sir, we must fight! An appeal to arms and to the God of Hosts is all that is left us!

They tell us, sir, that we are weak -- unable to cope with so formidable an adversary. But when shall we be stronger? Will it be the next week, or the next year? Will it be when we are totally disarmed, and when a British guard shall be stationed in every house? Shall we gather strength by

intimidation and inaction? Shall we acquire the means of effectual resistance by lying supinely on our backs, and hugging the delusive phantom of hope, until our enemies shall have bound us hand and foot? Sir, we are not weak, if we make a proper use of those means which the God of nature hath placed in our power. Three millions of people, armed in the holy cause of liberty, and in such a country as that which we possess, are invincible by any force which our enemy can send against us. Besides, sir, we shall not fight our battles alone. There is a just God who presides over the destinies of nations, and who will raise up friends to fight our battles for us.

The battle, sir, is not to the strong alone; it is to the vigilant, the active, the brave. Besides, sir, we have no election. If we were base enough to desire it, it is now too late to retire from the contest. There is no retreat, but in submission and slavery! Our chains are forged, their clanking may be heard on the plains of Boston! The war is inevitable -- and let it come! I repeat it, sir, let it come!!

It is in vain, sir, to extenuate the matter. Gentlemen may cry, peace, peace -- but there is no peace. The war is actually begun. The next gale that sweeps from the North will bring to our ears the clash of resounding arms! Our brethren are already in the field! Why stand we here idle? What is it that gentlemen wish? What would they have? Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take, but as for me, give me liberty or give me death!

MARCH 23, 1775
ST. JOHN'S CHURCH



St. John's Church
Erected 1741



Don't forget about me, folks.

**There was a time when
folks like you fought for me.**

Back then I was called

the Spirit of '76.



Well, folks, the tour is over! I've presented enough documented evidence now for you to see that what I've been warning you about is really true! The situation is even worse than what I've told you, but if you have not been convinced by now, there is no use going on any further!

You're really in a bad spot! You deserve to be told where you're at! This is what I've tried to do for you. You've been on the losing end for too long! You've been trusting those who have done you in!

It's dangerous to waste any more time doubting! You still have a choice – but not for long!! Hope is fast sliding in the past! In any case, "thanks" for the courtesy of your time and attention. I hope I have at least peaked your interest in time to do some good – and now back to Bernadine, as I turn you over to her for the conclusion of this interview.

So long, folks,

Sam




Thank you, Uncle Sam!
The tour was great! I'll
take over from here ---

**---but as you leave, I want
to repeat that old saying:**

**“It’s Adios, but not goodbye!” We are going
to find a way to put you back on the job,
Sam!**

**I can see that we’re just going to have to
pull ourselves up -- by our own boot
straps! -- and that has to start at the
local level! I have some thoughts of my
own, Sam. I wrote them down in the
article that follows. I can see that it
comes down to just one choice:**

It’s sink or Swim!



Uncle Sam said he could have told you a lot more on what he has to put up with these days while the country is undergoing what George W. Bush calls "the transformation" into a "global economy"!

Sam told me recently: "All that outsourcing is wrecking me economically, and all those trading like NAFTA, GATT, CAFTA etc. are erasing my borders! I keep wondering if this is still the land of the free and the home of the brave!" Then he added: "Anyone can see that we've ALL been betrayed!"

Well, Sam is right! We've been betrayed! There is more you should know! Time is short. 'Bone up' in a hurry! There are many people who live in fear of the moment when a knock comes at their door by those who are assigned to search every home, seize every gun and render us helpless! The searchers may also seek any evidence critical of the 'new world order' which they may use against you! You could be classified as a terrorist for wanting to retain personal arms for protection, or sustain your other rightful heritages. You may be in serious trouble [if you object] to the imposition of a permanent military government, or the mandatory installation of a microchip in your hand. Worse still, you may find yourself hopelessly yearning to return to the true liberty you once had and lost! This dreadful situation will undoubtedly occur if the present transformation agenda fulfills its missions.

You may soon find yourself asking: "How did this mess get this far?" "How did they get the power to take us over?" "Where was there any 'consent of the governed' to allow this seizure to occur?" "Why didn't some one stop it from happening?" "Can we stop it?" "Do we have a chance?" You have already been shown enough evidence by Uncle Sam to prove that ever since the United Nations organization took us over, we have been regionalized, internationalized, globalized and mesmerized!

The answers are not simple! It will be necessary to relate various incidents and give the names of people involved, to show how the governing system has been gradually altered, and why the harmony between the people and their local officials has resulted in such conflict. I'll try to make the subject as simple and clear as possible.

Almost every week something new comes along that we didn't know they were pulling off. The United Nation's greatest "adversary" has become our very own UNCLE SAM! The United Nations wants to destroy our real Uncle Sam! It is high time to assess what options are still open for Constitutional people to pursue!

I told Uncle Sam I would do all I possibly could to support him, so I worked up this article that I decided to name "Sink Or Swim". I have included with it a peaceful solution you may try called the Circle of Hope. It is based on something that did work once before. It's explained in my article. I've tried to warn you of things I tried in the past that didn't work, but who knows, you may have better luck trying those same ideas by yourself!

There is one last thing I need to warn you about! You must do your best to keep what you do in a peaceful manner – we need a peaceful solution! If fighting would start, keep in mind that the globalists have already trained so many armies from the communist countries, right here, on our own soil, and the globalists would call upon them to put you down. It won't be fun with foreign mercenaries backing up the Homeland Security Agency. So keep your cool! I think you will like my article, so go on and read it now. It's called: Sink or Swim!

TO SINK OR SWIM



Many books have been written to expose the sedition that has overtaken the government of the United States of America. Actually, there is an overabundance of books and articles by great patriotic American writers that report on the sedition. They openly identify the adherents of global government, and the devious techniques and activities to which such conspirators have willingly attached themselves in an ill-conceived effort to install a "new world order". In their lust the conspirators¹ are knowingly overturning the rightful Constitutional government of the United States of America! The last days of the American Republic are upon us. Too many people continue to stand aside like deaf and dumb mutes, while the transformation progresses. It is up to us who care to find ways to generate enough interest to stop those who are overthrowing our Republic: we must now sink or swim!

This binder is dedicated to protecting the benefits, rights, and glories that resulted from the sacrifices made by those who fought and died in the War for Independence. May we never forget their sacrifices! It is the duty of the watchmen of today to alert their fellow citizens to the great disaster that is occurring in front of them: clear and unmistakable sedition! If enough people can be alerted and made responsive, hopefully three of the world's greatest documents: "The Declaration of Independence," "The Constitution of the United States" and the "Bill of Rights" will be respected and retained.

Some people will argue that nothing is really wrong! They are not aware that there are two conflicting systems of government operating in this country today. One is what is left of the traditional Constitutional system, and the other is the 'new world order' global government system. There are 'doubters' found in all occupations who *should* benefit most by this compilation. But will they? There are many people working in our government system that do not understand the harm they are doing to the nation. They are completely oblivious as to how *their efforts could possibly destroy the stability of the United States!* Their inability to detect the harm they do is one thing, but the contribution of their combined energies to erect and sustain the framework of a Hitlerian totalitarian regime, is almost unforfeitable!

City, county, and state planning departments, the law enforcement system, the school teachers and professors, the religious community, the medical profession, the building trades, the legal profession, and the business owners, *all are failing to denounce the transformation that is going on,* and because of that, are contributors to the overthrowing of their own rightful American government. Some people have become so callous to the alterations being made (a reversal of the Constitutional concepts, principles, and laws that originally formed this country), *soothed* by the gentle persuasive techniques that accompany acceptance of federal funding for social, economic, or administrative 'planning', that nothing but the equivalent of what happened one day in the Colorado state legislature will awaken them. Further on, I will explain what happened in 1943

¹ Britain's Lord Macaulay's prediction in 1837: "Your Republic will be as fearfully plundered and laid waste by barbarians in the 20th Century as the Roman Empire was in the 5th, with the difference that the Huns and Vandals that ravaged the Roman Empire will have come from without and that your Huns and Vandals will have been engendered within your own country by your own institutions."

when the outgoing Colorado governor 'blew the whistle' and alerted the nation to the seditious activity going on in the president's office in Washington, D.C.

Today the sedition is even worse than it was in 1943! Socialist planning techniques directly or indirectly govern city, county, and state governments, schools, churches, police departments, hospitals, universities, media, and building trades in general whenever they receive federal financing in one way or other.

Do the lower practitioners in city, county and state planning offices that *practice* these socialist planning techniques know from where these planning techniques originated? In 1945 the United States rummaged over what was left of Adolph Hitler's Third Reich. The result was that this country received a "tremendous augmentation, not only when the United States picked up Hitler's scientists, but also when the U.S. 'planners' retrieved the inductive type of reasoning and logic, which Hitler used to operate that totalitarian government! His management systems were taken up and installed as a part of our own government management systems!"

"These elementary concepts were *first* taken over by the U.S. Air Force and were amalgamated within the prerogative of their responsibilities, which at that time resulted in the setting up of what we now call the 'Military Standards 499 Systems.' The 499 Systems is a systematic process (a methodology) of solving sequential problems."

"The result of this amalgamation is that 99% of the *lower practitioners* of these engineering techniques and methodologies in city and state planning departments (with their short and long range 'plannings') are completely unaware and totally oblivious to the fact of where from these concepts were developed or why these concepts were developed. Many of our government 'planners' – local and state – were then set to deploy, and are still deploying techniques about which they realize little or nothing! They are ignorant of the *whole picture.* They complete only their *own little piece,* which federal officials have forced upon them!"

The objective of the whole thing is the formation of a Hitlerian global system, and the gradual disappearance of protective Constitutional concepts of government, replacing them entirely with a militarized form of government. *In other words, people must face the fact that it is upper level federal officials that are overthrowing the government of the United States.* The actualization of this can be proven by the way our nation is being operated, the policies, the treaties, and the sort of laws that are being passed. Then there is the obvious, the installation of the 'Homeland Security Agency', which is being accepted *without* the volume of resistance, understanding, or great protest it should have received from the onset!

"The totality of 499 Systems has been split up into a gillion different little portions, and all the various committees and divisions of government, all over the country in various governmental endeavors, are practicing different little portions of it. They get the parameters and details of the tasks they have been asked to perform, but they are not given, nor do they understand, the total integrated picture!"

It is possible for people (who are lead people working and structuring under the 499 Systems) not to understand how their part fits into the overall picture. They do not realize *how* they are assisting in "the overthrow of their own government!" The big trouble (whenever you try to tell them about this) is that these people will not only resist your explanations and defend their associates, but they will even defend the 1% that does have full and complete knowledge and control of the sedition, those who are key participants in the betrayal that is destroying this nation. Nevertheless, the revamping and *transformation* goes on so that we are in accord with military concepts on an

international regional basis. This they must do, because the orders come to them from an upper level of government.

The same situation is prevalent when you try to awaken members of our civilian law enforcement. Our local police officers are not aware of how they are being used to violate our laws; nor do they realize the part they play in overthrowing the American (rightful form) of government. They do not realize that it is a dangerous circumstance for the regular law enforcement system to be removed as a preemptive of the state, and to be seized for use by the [federal] government. Yet, this has been allowed to happen, and your local police have now been federalized and merged with the military under one agency head! That change alone (from state authority to federal authority) converts a republic into a dictatorship type of government! What has happened to the intelligence of our police officers? Did we have to change our form of government to guard against terrorism? Something is very wrong with this!

For over 200 years there always was a sharp line separating the civilian law enforcement from the military. Today, that line no longer exists! Now every police officer all over the nation is "on-line" taking orders from the illegal 'Homeland Security Agency'! Merging the civilian law enforcement with the military under one agency head has always been a real no! no! – something that is never done in a republic, if it is to remain a republic! Operation under a global dictatorship is now in place! Someone has to answer for this!

Some years ago (1967 to 1975 thereabouts) when the federally funded Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (L.E.A.A.) was first working out the process to merge the military and the civilian law enforcement systems together under one agency head, MIL-Standards were brought in to restructure the command and control functions of the police departments. Richard M. Nixon was president and Ronald Reagan was the nation's 'pilot' governor. That was the time when the groundwork was first laid for institution of the 'Homeland Security Agency'. The point is, H.S.A. didn't just happen over night! It was planned a long time ago to meet requirements of the law for General and Complete Disarmament of the United States (Public Law 87-297 signed by John F. Kennedy in 1961).²

When Philip Worts, a California detective, tries to explain to police officers that they are being taught Soviet tactics on a gradual basis, they do not comprehend it, nor understand how their part fits into the overall effort to replace the Constitution with a militarized new world order!

You and I are caught in the middle, while the big patriotic pretense from the federal level continues on, day after day after day by our presidents, and other state and federal public officials! They make a deliberate effort to get the public to think that they are "following the Constitution!" They pretend to idolize it! Nothing could be further from the truth! The real truth is that these Fabian socialists have from long ago despised the 1789 Constitution and our Bill of Rights because it limits the power that man can exercise over his fellow man! They have us going in the wrong direction now. Someone has to answer for this!

Wouldn't you think that when we are told by our presidents that we are under a "new world order" and are undergoing "transformation" into a global society, wouldn't you think it's time to "catch on" to the consequence of these acts? Most people can feel the impact of the expense involved in building a new world order as our currency becomes more and more inflated. Wouldn't you think they would catch on to who is behind it?

² In Stage III of the Disarmament process, the armed forces of the United States will be transferred to the United Nations on a permanent basis. An armed force is required to preserve internal order as the Disarmament process proceeds. State Dept. Pub. 7277. Pg. 3

Outsourcing our jobs and undermining our economy; unresolved illegal immigration; the disregard for so long of our state and national borders under NAFTA and the 'Security and Prosperity Partnership' plan (S.P.P.);² the General & Complete Disarmament Program which calls for the transfer of our armed forces on a permanent basis to the United Nations and disarms every U.S. citizen; 'no knock' searches; the upcoming 'no private ownership of land' policy; the U.S. Supreme Court approval of taking land from one private owner to give to another private owner; the dumbing down of the kids in school and indoctrinating them in globalism; the Patriot Act; imperialistic wars; licentiousness disguised as liberty; etc.; etc. – all these are reversals of true American government!

The question before us is: "How are we going to get out of this predicament and restore the Constitution and the Bill of Rights to their proper place?" In order to explain a possibility that offers some promise, I will first have to explain what did work before, in 1943, which we might use as an example and a pattern to guide us now. At least it is worth a try!

In order for you to get the point, we must first look back to the '20's when Franklin D. Roosevelt made many public speeches in favor of world government. At the time, he ran as vice-president with James Cox as president. (This was prior to FDR's becoming a victim of infantile paralysis.) His bold effort was rebuffed by the voters, but by the time the big Depression struck the nation, FDR had adopted a fatherly image, and won the confidence of the American voters. They had to choose between him and Herbert Hoover. FDR then gained the seat in the oval office for himself!

FDR could deliver a speech quite well. People did not realize it then, because he was listed as a Democrat, but FDR was a socialist. Under the shrewd guidance of Charles E. Merriam, his crafty socialist advisor,³ FDR began implementation of the Fabian socialist goals using tactics to deceive, confuse, and befuddle the American people. Merriam taught FDR how to use the coattails of the Constitution as a way to bring in the socialist agenda. Mind-bending became a skill and an on-going art. Double meanings for words, called "dual-speak" took hold. Merriam advised FDR that revolution was the old way and the new way was his four-step method: "Education, Persuasion, Participation and Co-operation". He taught FDR to disguise socialism by using the term 'democracy.' These tactics have been used by all the presidents ever since FDR's time period to the present day. Deceptive strategy to advance world socialism (communism) is still being presented by our presidents with a permanence smile, wrapped up in hypocrisy, and dipped in false patriotism.

But, in 1943 a surprising thing happened! When the outgoing Colorado Governor, Ralph Carr, made his Farewell Address to his state legislature, he spoke out boldly in front of them, and publicly

² Security and Prosperity Partnership (S.P.P.) brings Mexico, United States and Canada under a common border, a first-step to eliminating the borders of the 50 states and creating new little countries in its place. The population of the three countries would flow freely across borders.

³ Planning techniques and directives for altering the U.S. government were promoted by Franklin D. Roosevelt and one of his advisors, Charles E. Merriam. Merriam was a socialist who wrote books, one of which was called "On the Agenda of Democracy". In his book on 'democracy' it was explained how to use the same system of people management as that which is used to operate communism. Merriam taught that this 'democracy' system could be brought in by 'using the coattails of the U. S. Constitution'. He said: "Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of democracy to changing conditions without serious difficulty." The 4-word formula he introduced for action was "education, persuasion, participation, and co-operation". (George W. Bush uses Merriam's persuasion to a fault!) Merriam and F.D.R. advocated the abolishment of our states and replacing them with 'regions'. Functions were to be shifted quietly, unostentatiously, gradually, so that there would be no sudden jolt to cause alarm. Even before Pearl Harbor was bombed Maurice Gomberg drew a map in October 1941 showing the elimination of national boundary lines which separate the United States from Canada and Mexico. Treaties such as NAFTA, CAFTA, GATT, etc. are doing the job of erasing our national boundary lines and making them disappear.

told what FDR was doing (regional government, elimination of the states, socialism, etc.) and Governor Carr condemned it. It hit the newspapers. The public got ahold of it, and the outcry became great! – great enough that Congress was forced to shut down FDR's National Resources Planning Board! It was called "unconstitutional". The Congress cut his funding off. They gave him a six-month deadline to get rid of all the paper work in his N.R.P.B. He was cut off at the pocketbook!

Roosevelt tried desperately to stop the action, but wasn't successful and the N.R.P.B. was shut down. When the people learned that FDR was going to eliminate the states, they knew that would kill the Constitution! The outcry was sufficient to stop him and his N.R.P.B.! The people went after their congressmen and the congressmen went after the purse and the purse got closed!

Perhaps by now you have guessed that as I view it, the most peaceful manner to solve our problem today with the *new world order*, is to try a repeat of what they did in 1943. More on that up ahead.

Were you wondering what happened to FDR's socialist staff? They moved into other areas of public service and continued to make modifications in the operation of the government. Of the 29 paid staff at the time Congress cut off the NRPB funds, all but 7 were transferred to other executive jobs, some at increased pay levels. 5 of the 7 were placed on other 'planning' boards where they were in a position to aid in the new phase of implementing the N.R.P.B. plan. That new phase was the creation of a demand for 'planning' at the state and local levels. Here's what happened to the gang: Albert Lepgusky left but surfaced in the 1960's as an advisor on the Lake Tahoe Regional Area Plan. Victor Jones later became an advisor to the A.C.I.R.,¹ which Eisenhower would institute in 1959. (More on that up ahead.) Beardsley Rum devised the plan for weekly withholding taxes on businessmen's weekly payrolls. Rexford Guy Tugwell wrote a Constitution for the World, parts of which are already instituted today. Louis Brownlow was the first director of the Public Administration Clearing House and master co-coordinator of the 1313 Rockefeller funded Associations. Brownlow worked with Luther Gulick and predicted that our states would be abolished and replaced with international regions. And, of course, Charles E. Merriam who masterminded the style of the take over, also worked with the Public Administration Clearing House. His son, Robert Merriam later headed the A.C.I.R. (More on that ahead.)

Here's how it all began in 1943: Governor Ralph Carr of Colorado was leaving office and he made his lengthy Farewell Address to the state legislature on the subject he was exposing, hoping they would carry on his effort. In part, he said: "(This plan) to commence the remodeling of the lives of American freemen (is) on a basis so dictatorial, so monarchistic, so bureaucratic, that its very exposition proves its hostility to our American form of government...when we mix the lives and hopes and dreams of human beings with physical resources, and attempt to measure and modify and restrict men and their intangibles, then we should proceed slowly..."

¹ Eisenhower established the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (A.C.I.R.) in 1959. It was a rebirth and revitalization of the old N.R.P.B. and became a go-between for Merriam's Public Administration Clearing House and all levels of government. A.C.I.R. wrote 'model' laws for use all over the nation, passed them to public officials that were their legmen who enacted them under their name on all levels of government, which made possible the consolidation of all power on the federal level. A.C.I.R.'s approach to 'planning' was done in a more sophisticated way than the old N.R.P.B. A.C.I.R. gained undeserved respectability by having mayors, state and federal legislators, governors, private citizens etc. as members of its Commission. It was funded by the Rockefellers, and eventually became attached to the federal government as being "advisory"! It worked in conjunction with Brookings Institute, etc. Brookings Institute attended the summit conferences along with the president and was in a position to dictate what legislation was needed for global development and cooperation, which A.C.I.R. could produce in conjunction with the transformation. Charles Merriam's son became the presiding official for some years. Ronald Reagan replaced Rockefeller on this Rockefeller Commission!

Congressmen in 1943 made their comments about the N.R.P.B. and the direction in which FDR was taking them:

Repr. John Rankin: "If this program, proposed by our so-called National Resources Planning Board, were put into effect, it would wreck this republic, wipe out the Constitution, destroy our form of government, set up a totalitarian regime, eliminate private enterprise, regiment our people, and pile on our backs a burden of expenditures that no nation on earth could bear..."

Repr. Gerald W. Landis: "...It is a sugar-coated proposal, to be directed by bureaucrats in Washington. The social planners propose to build this program on a foundation of debt..."

Repr. Noah M. Mason: "...It is a scheme to give the federal government control of every activity of the nation, with the states pushed back into a position of impotence, if not entirely obliterated...any state that does not cooperate with the program is to be policed from Washington until it sees the light..."

Repr. Clare Hoffman: "That horde of bureaucrats which promulgates the multiplicity of orders, rules, regulations and directives...have presumed to take solely unto themselves the prerogative of interpreting the intentions of the Congress, of reading into its enactments, meanings never even thought of by the Congress..."

Repr. Frederick C. Smith: "To me, it is truly alarming that such a destructive force as this could grow to its present size and power, without the Congress and the country becoming more aware of its dangers than it apparently has....There is not the remotest possibility of reading into that law (The Economic Stabilization Act of 1931 used as the authority for this program) any authority whatsoever for performance of the whole range of functions that are now being carried out... There is nothing in the Act which gives this federal agency any authority to plan a new economic, and social order, as its activities clearly indicate it is undertaking to do..."

Alex Hiss, FDR's companion, along with Leo Paslovsky and Russia's Molotov had been busy drafting the United Nations Charter to sell to this nation as a 'treaty'. This was a great mistake. The U.N. Charter from the onset was a parasitic blueprint for in itself that was going to be imposed over this nation as soon as the war was over. It was signed in 1945! But it never was a real 'treaty'!

Dwight D. Eisenhower took office after Truman, and opened FDR's Pandora's box back up, so the world government planning group once again took hold, returning with the new title of *Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (A.C.I.R.)*. A rebirth of the old N.R.P.B. occurred! Eisenhower signed a law to institute *A.C.I.R.* in 1959. It was a law making factory and a think tank.

This rebirth was our fault because we thought each presidency started anew. We didn't realize back then that international money powers controlled both parties, and it really didn't matter which party got elected. They gave us their choices as candidates for the presidency all along: Tweedledee or Tweedledum! Converting the U.S. to world government via the president's office has never stopped since FDR's presidency! Every president, no matter who ever took office since, has kept that ball quietly rolling! The people did not realize what the A.C.I.R. was doing until Jo Hindman began writing books exposing what that group was doing.

The events that followed is a history of one president after the other, moving the nation into a global government up to an including the presidency of George W. Bush.

Remember, FDR died before he reached his dream goal of signing the United Nations Charter, but the next president, Harry Truman, signed the U.S. away under the U.N. Charter, which was dressed up to act as a "treaty". It really didn't qualify as a "treaty", but with some fast footwork it unlawfully got accepted as a "treaty". In the United States of America the Constitution is the supreme law of the land and no treaty can be valid if it is not in pursuance thereof. How could a

foreign constitution of a non-existent government be considered to be a valid treaty? Even if it had been a 'treaty', (which it was not!) it would have to be "in pursuance of the Constitution" (which it was not!) Its purpose is to supersede our Constitution! From the onset, the drafters of the U.N. Charter knew it was a blueprint for construction of a global system. Somebody pulled the wool over our eyes!

If Roosevelt's 'democracy' is not exposed, you will find that when you need our safeguards the most, they will all be gone! During FDR's administration the socialist dream moved from theory to implementation. Every president (has gone) since the United Nations Charter was signed has played a part in recasting the American government for socialist 'global government' management. But it is not too late! Don't despair! There is still hope as to what people can do to stop all this that is so unfairly being enforced upon them.

We must try to repeat the action that Governor Ralph Carr set into motion. There is no deadline on certain frauds. Charges should be made by the people under the principle of Rebus Sic Stantibus since the general population had no knowledge until it had become evident in recent days that the United Nations Charter was built to overthrow the government of the United States. The general population now has available proof that those who were given positions of trust have betrayed us, and there is evidence to prove such seditious activity exists, so the situation has changed!

That is what Rebus Sic Stantibus means: "...the situation has changed!" It is the premier principle of international law and is held as the highest reason in rank for voiding a treaty. It means that "there was more to the treaty than what met the eye". Our government officials said the United Nations Charter would bring peace and security. The opposite is showing itself to be what is true! There will be no peace! There will be no Security! "An unconstitutional act is not law...as inoperative as though it had never been passed." Norton vs. Shelby County, 118 US 425 p.442.

The blueprint for international global government (U.N. Charter document), that gave birth to the organization called the United Nations, and was put over on the American people as a "treaty" in reality is no "treaty" at all! All funding for this seditious activity must cease. When you cut the federal government off at the pocketbook, you will also stop the U.N.! Nevertheless, enactment of Rebus Sic Stantibus must be done, as it is the process to make official the position of the United States, and to clear the record. The U.N. fraternity should be asked to move out of this nation.

Even before signing the Charter, the federal government was trying to eliminate the states! Now it is by far worse! The servants have declared themselves above their master. Shouldn't it be obvious to all members of the state governments that something has to be done to correct this situation? Despite any P.A.C.H. problems, there should be no worry in asking the state houses to protect the state itself as an existing entity! Any member of the state house that cannot support this view should be suspected to be a federal global government collaborator. Let's get the ball rolling! Until we get out of certain groups, there will be no chance to stop the plan to overthrow our Constitution.

Since there are no withdrawal rights in the U.N. Charter, it is also necessary to enact Repr. Ron Paul's legislation which is attached to the back of this binder: H.R. 1146 - 106th Congress - 1st Session. Such an action would repeal the two Acts that caused the U.S. to become enlisted as a member of the United Nations. We must get out of the U.N. It is good to include the words: rescinded, revoked and repealed. If the federal system does not comply, the repeal action can be done by the states themselves. The federal government received its limited authority from the states, and the states still hold power to censor federal violations of the power they delegated. The Four Resolves will also support the process of getting public officials to obey the law. Leave no stones unturned! I have outlined the following steps in a format attached that is called: The Circle

of Hope! It reads: Use only (a) Reliable documented information, (b) A respectable notable telling the shocking facts, (c) Broad coverage including national news attention, (d) An aroused public protesting *super loudly and continually*, (e) Newspapers have to report complaints and print about it, (f) Demonstrations are broadcasted and public begins to *connect the dots*, (g) Awareness reaches *sufficient percent* of national population and word spreads, (h) State pressure is brought to bear, and federal officials cannot refuse to cut off funding, (i) Outcry becomes sufficient. Demands for sovereignty of the states *continue from the public*. Federal funding must be stopped, (j) If needed, *IRS tax money is put in escrow*. All funding of the federal government is stopped until they agree to repeal the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and 1949. Federal government has no access to funds until people approve of releasing it, (k) If the federal government refuses, to make proper corrections, the states are forced by the people to meet, draft proper legislation themselves, and mandates both United Nations Participation Acts as being repealed, (l) The jig is up! The states hold the power to do this. There is no court review on a bill of repeal, (m) Use the premier principle in international law to void the United States Membership in the United Nations: *Rebus Sic Stantibus*. The U.N. Charter was never a treaty as pretended, (n) Use the ideas listed in "*Four Resolves*" to withhold salaries in accord with the stipulation in the Fourteenth Amendment. You have to cut them off at the pocketbook! (Now look at the back to find "*The Circle of Hope*")

There is no violence in using this method. It is a peaceful way to end the sedition. If the United States stops funding it, the United Nations would collapse anyway! If funds are put in escrow, no law is violated since the taxpayers would have met their obligation by paying taxes. The only thing is that the federal officials would not get use of the funds until they start to obey the Constitution. If you use the legislation that Ron Paul has already written H.R. 1146, 106th Congress, 1st Session, be sure to include all current Annexes, etc. into which the disarmament law has been extended. You will find Annexes referred to in the "Blueprint for the Peace Race" booklet which detail the General and Complete Disarmament Law. Add a clause to deny any future global government related treaties or international agreements.

Representatives of the states also have the power to meet and execute the repeal action. All it takes is for representatives in each state to send representatives to a conference and vote on the issue on behalf of the people of their state. This effort can be made. If Patrick Henry were here today, he would wind it up by asking: "Why stand we here idle?"

Former California State Senator, Richard Mountjoy once used the idea of holding federal money in escrow. He did not acquire enough support from fellow state legislators to get it passed. The idea still holds great potential and should be tried with the general public's support behind it. How can any state legislator in his right mind refuse to save the state that pays his salary?

Use your First Amendment with them as much as possible to protect yourself. Do not waste time, lest the day will come when *neither* of the two Amendments you need so much, *will exist!* Remember to keep a peaceful but highly vocal campaign going. You can organize committee headquarters in every state. What the federal government is doing with the money is against the law! The people have no choice but to defend the nation against sedition. If this recourse is successful, never forget that the globalists will come back again and again. Generations in the future must remain on guard at all times, and teach their children how to avoid the trials that you are experiencing today, because sedition never ever ceases! The insurrection and civil disobedience that is going on these days, is not caused by the people, it is caused by the Oval office.

Another threat facing gun owners is federal legislation, H.R. 124 introduced by Rush Holt D-N.J., which if allowed to pass, will precipitate a great catastrophe. Under H.R. 124 every person must report to the federal government every unlicensed and/or unregistered gun he possesses. After H.R. 124 is enacted, anyone found to be in possession of a firearm not declared, will be in violation

of that law, and will be sentenced to serve a mandatory 15-year prison sentence without mitigation.⁴ That is a pretty stiff penalty, and it is unfair to force people to provide such information, as the federal government will be seeking. The purpose of such a bill can only be to force disclosure of any firearm previously unknown to the government. The acquisition of such information makes possible a total cleanout of all guns in the hands of the people!

Sequentially, the long sought objective by the federal government to confiscate from every law-abiding U.S. citizen, every firearm he possesses, down to the very last gun, in house-to-house searches (already planned) may then be conducted – with surety! There is no doubt about it! This legislation is subjective to the federal law Uncle Sam told you about on the tour: Public Law 87-297, the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (also known as the General and Complete Disarmament Law). As Uncle Sam told you, it was written to bring about total disarmament of this nation for a so-called “peaceful world” and completely disarming every U.S. citizen.

Passage of Holt’s legislation will make possible the thorough search and seizure the federal government is seeking to tie into Public Law 87-297. H.R. 124 is being held in the federal Judiciary Committee at this time, and will be assigned a new number when re-activated. This year another bill has been assigned the 124 number, so if you write for a copy of the Holt bill, you must identify the year the Holt bill held that number, which was January 7, 2003; in the 108th Congress - 1st Session.

If H.R. 124 passes, the people will later be expected to surrender the very tools that constitute a natural defense against tyranny! Something is wrong here with that kind of thinking! The irony is this: The idea behind writing such legislation as H.R. 124 is particularly that H.R. 124 is an act of tyranny in itself! It lays the groundwork to take away those very tools with which you are supposed to use to fight against tyranny! That is an illegal and illegitimate act! Therefore, it is an act of tyranny to write such illegitimate legislation. Bottom line: A public official has enacted tyranny with illegitimate legislation, and at the same time, he pretends that his tyrannous act and illegitimate legislation has the force of law behind it to destroy the legitimate means of resisting tyranny. Something is very wrong with Holt’s kind of thinking!

When you lose your guns, you will have lost all authority! Authority is always backed by force. Without force, you will not be able to sustain the rest of the Bill of Rights, which even now are being legislated out of existence! When guns go, it will become even worse! The effort of all those who have been trying to restore the “republic” could become near hopeless! The right to arms, the linchpin, was an absolute right from the onset! Patrick Henry caused the Second Amendment to be written as a confirmation that the right to arms is a God-given right that is absolute; an endowment from the Creator. No one can prohibit a decent law-abiding person from exercising that right. H.R. 124 also violates the principles of the Declaration of Independence.

The original intent for instituting the Constitution and the Bill of Rights was so that the power that man could exercise over his fellow man would be limited! Now our ‘fellow man’ sitting in public offices is writing unjust laws, trying to pass them off as if lawfully begotten – as if they are true law: so that a select few can rule the earth with unlimited power. Constitutional restraints, safeguards, prohibitions and restrictions that were meant to apply to public officials have been tossed aside, just as if disregard of the restraints could cause these safeguards to not exist! But they DO exist! Cicero, a Roman orator, statesman and philosopher, dealt with the issue of true law. He said: “There is a higher law based on the nature of things. This natural law has an authority transcending man-made laws, institutions and customs.” He gave this definition of true law:

⁴ In part it reads: “The court shall not suspend a sentence of imprisonment imposed under this paragraph or impose a probationary sentence under this paragraph.”

"True law is right reason in agreement with nature. It is of universal application, unchanging and everlasting. It summons to duty by its commands and averts from wrongdoing by its prohibitions. It is a sin to try to alter this law, nor is it allowable to attempt to repeal any part of it and it is impossible to abolish it entirely."....."Unjust laws are not true laws; therefore, unjust laws should not be called laws at all. If many pernicious and mischievous enactments are made which have no more right to the name of law than the mutual engagements of robbers, are we bound to call them laws?"...."For as we cannot call the recipes of ignorant and unskillful empirics, who give poisons instead of medicines, the prescriptions of a physician, so likewise we cannot call that the true law of a people of whatever kind it may be, if it enjoins what is injurious. Let the people receive it as they will."

Regardless of the disrespect shown to the U.S. Constitution by federal public officials, the Constitution and the Bill of Rights are still the supreme law of this land! These two documents belong exclusively to the people. They were ratified by the people! They are the people's own possession! Since the people have never signed off of either document, public officials have no authority to override the principles within either of these documents without the consent of the governed! The people have never given consent for either to be dissolved, prohibited or overthrown! No matter what treaties the presidents sign, they have no authority to give away or destroy things they do not own! There is no consent of the governed for what is happening today! It is up to the people to stop them!

The excuse used by public officials for enacting all the disarmament law and anti-gun legislation was to prevent war. For a nation to be unprepared for war is the most probable way to invite a war, to attract oppressors onto its territory who will victimize the people of that defenseless nation.⁷

The inter-related and interfacing nature of Public Law 87-297 and H.R. 124 cannot be denied! Neither law can be judged to be valid or true law! P.L. 87-297 is the reason why our state legislatures and local police officers co-operate with anti-gun rulings handed down to them for enactment. These should be called non-laws!

Police departments have already created "gun surrender" agencies for the people to submit to, but most police officers have no idea that there are plans in the offing to disarm them also. Only a world international army would be allowed to have firearms. It has been reported that Handgun Control, Inc. has stated:

"We cannot survive into the 21st Century unless we remember the need to expand our ways to new thinking to the total disarmament of America. With much of the public disarmed we can become more like Great Britain, where we can also eliminate the need for much of our police to be armed. This would take a long time; however, a concerted public relations campaign can pressure local law enforcement to give up their arms, when the time comes. Weapons would be still available to special units like SWAT or the military."

After the people are disarmed, to whom will our police officers turn for assistance when they are slated to be disarmed? Certainly not to the government who desires them to be disarmed also! Certainly not to the people whom the police so eagerly had already disarmed, because the people would have no tools to help them! Then, too, they could be transferred off to some other country! These are things that must be taken into consideration now by the police, while time permits. We are forced to defend ourselves against poorly informed politicians, courts, police officers, etc., who are unwittingly supporting non-laws in violation of the written true law of the Second Amendment,

⁷ Karl Von Clausewitz, a German strategist, said: "The aggressor is always peace loving, for he wants to enter the territory of his victim unopposed. War exists for the benefit of the defender; it comes about only if the defender wishes to fight for his vital interests rather than surrender them."

Some state and local public officials exhibit a sense of superiority and self-righteousness over the people as they interfere with a person's right to arms, even though they may not really realize the basis of why or what they are asked to do. The word is sent to them from the federal level (from what they consider to be the political ladder) as to what they are expected to do. Local police officers are conditioned to think that they themselves are following the law when orders are given to them to go counter to the provisions of the Second Amendment. They, in turn, expect the people to think that they are enforcing valid law when their only source is really a bluff – a non-law. The point is that the police officers at the bottom of the 'chain of command' do not realize that they are being put in a position of actually operating against the law.

Police officers are not necessarily Constitutional scholars! God bless them! Most are dedicated people and duty bound to see that the law is obeyed, but unfortunately, they are merely told what the law is, and told what to do. Simply put, they do what they are told to do! They follow orders that are given to them, including the Chief of Police himself, because their orders come down supposedly from 'on high', and they are greatly concerned with what they are expected to do. They do not question the constitutionality of what they are told to do, because the persuasion that accompanies the instruction to which they are to conform, has been so authoritatively engineered, that law enforcement's involvement and participation appears plausible, and is thus accepted by them!

Yet, one wonders why in the case of the anti-gun (so-called) 'laws', police officers haven't sensed that there really is a difference between an honest, decent, law-abiding citizen and a criminal! Afraid to offend those 'higher up on the ladder,' or be accused of 'rocking the boat', police officers treat honest decent law-abiding gun owners the same as if they were criminals and lawbreakers: because it is 'the law'. All the while they do not know that 'the law' they are enforcing is subjective under the umbrella of non-law Public Law 87-297! The police officer has to face up to this ridiculous situation in which he has been manipulated, that being an out-and-out violation by our own 'protectors' of the essential reasons for which this nation was formed: security and liberty! In other words, our police officers are given orders to violate the law! When the police officer turns on his television, he has before him some of the big reasons why crime is so rampant. Crime should be the reason to keep law-abiding people equipped with arms! Hopefully, the police officers that dedicate their lives to serving the people will put the pieces together, and begin to participate in restoring the principles of this nation before we are fully and irrevocably taken over by a new world order, which is actually destroying the system.

Police officers need to realize that the concept of our system of government has been woefully altered, and deliberately misdirected so that the nation can be ruled by a global management system, an amalgamation of all the communist countries of the world merged into a 'new world order'. Indirectly, the police are destroying the future of their own children when they carry out orders that harm their own posterity. The proper spirit and reasons why this government was formed is becoming lost!

There is no use trying to go through the Supreme Court for justice in this issue. One determined person in California already presented to them the ideal defense of the Second Amendment, and the federal Supreme Court declined to hear it, even after he had gotten that far with this urgent subject, and even received a Docket Number from the high court! The case was so well written that even the Supreme Court would have had to agree that public officials are in violation of the law whenever they disarm decent law-abiding citizens. The Court refused to hear the case! Remember, the members of the Supreme Court are appointed by the same presidents who have been supporting Public Law 87-297, (including Public Law 101-216) ever since John F. Kennedy signed P.L. 87-297 into law. Expect no help to come from there!

Would I suggest going through a Grand Jury to tell all that is happening to this country? I have tried that in two different counties of the State of California where I live: Kings and Kern Counties. Each time I tried, the foreman saw what subject I was exposing, and I was stopped and not allowed to continue addressing the full body of the Grand Jury. Even the individual packet given to each Grand Jury member was ordered collected up and returned to me. Perhaps some other Grand Jury may have an uncompromised foreman at the head. If the judge appoints your foreman, you are wasting time!

Can you vote these anti-constitutional people out? The globalists have the big money and they can afford to spend big bucks to promote *their* legmen into office! That represents another one of the checks and balances not working adequately! Voting machines can be rigged. The solution is to return to the former system of paper ballots that are hand counted by reputable local people and certified in each precinct.

Do you think impeachment will work? Re-read Patrick Henry's thoughts on that. He called the laws on treason a "sham and a mockery" because "when evil men take over, the whole gang will be in collusion". It is now, just as he predicted. Now check back on the voting record Uncle Sam showed you relating to Public Law 101-216 in the front section of this binder, and see what sort of people get elected to office! Do you want to risk your case to the hands of those who are authorized to "control" the impeachment process? Henry foresaw the situations we face now, which is why he forced the Bill of Rights to be written, the only reliable defense we have against sedition. Henry's reasonings are perpetual and apply to the tyrants who have now taken over the American government.

While it is true that the best hope we have is to work with those who are on the *local* level, there are a couple of other suggestions that I have to offer. Go to sensible local police officers and ask them to reconsider why they enforce 'laws'(so-called) that are destroying the republic. Show them that at the present time, we have two systems of government existing in the United States. One is called the Constitutional Republic System (what remains of it) and the other is called 'democracy' – a stand in word for the global transformation, which is headed by our presidents, and is being supported by various levels of our elected officials. Although they appear to be fighting amongst themselves, both the Republican and the Democrat parties are involved in the transformation into the other system, called 'democracy'. Both parties are supporting it! Both parties constantly call this republic a 'democracy'! Merriam's version of *democracy* is not representative government.

The headquarters for conversion into the new world order is centered right in the Oval office of the nation's capitol with each and every president guarding and continuing past global milestones, regardless of whether his predecessor be Republican or Democrat! Each president has had to shelter and enforce whatever global legislation, public laws, executive orders, treaties, or programs that were enacted in previous administrations, continue to expand them, and introduce new global milestones that were prefigured and set for his administration to launch. He has to use a technique or manner with the public, which obscures his real motive. Every president since Hoover has played this game, *har gone!*

The longer it takes to finish the global transformation, the more transparent the president has become, as is the case with George W. Bush whose ratings are at an all time low. The milestones themselves become more and more daring, as the finish line is approached. Some people can sense that things have been going wrong, but are still conditioned to believe that the president himself must be beyond suspicion! It is exactly the reason why the people have not been able to put all the pieces together. That is exactly what has been going on without regard to what political party they represent. Didn't Patrick Henry warn you back in 1788 that the president would lead in the treason?

Every president (mostly since entry into the United Nations) has had to hold on to the globalism work, done by his predecessors, before his own administration came into office, and then he must find ways to implement the new legislation, executive orders, treaties, and other programs the 'planners' have prepared and assigned for him to achieve. The transformation is continual. Each president has a greater task than the one before him, because of compounding the load. The people will not welcome the thought that all of our presidents since the U.S. signed onto the United Nations Charter have become "administrators of global government" – but it is true!

Our brightest students in schools have been trained to think the opposite of realism! Big business and big government envision a future for themselves, which requires a serfdom below to support and glorify them. Parents end up befuddled when they see how their "educated" children think!

Now do you wonder why so many alterations have been made, destroying the original concept of how our true government and no one has been called to account? Why has George H. Bush not been called to account for his participation? Why didn't someone in one of the recent Congresses object? Why not one of the governors? Why didn't our own police officers call it unconstitutional when Bush openly called for a new system of government – an international system? Someone has to answer for this!

Ever since this nation was formed, a sharp line had always existed – a sharp line that for 200 years had always wisely kept the civilian law enforcement separated from the military, but when the Homeland Security "Agency" was instituted by Pres. George H. Bush's son (Pres. George W. Bush), a massive change in the concept of operation of this nation transpired: Operation of the country under a military government came into being with the creation and installation of the Homeland Security Agency! It crossed over the line, and the merger of the military with the civilian law enforcement system under one head began, a circumstance desired for the fulfillment of Public Law 87-297.¹ This merger then provided the "force to preserve internal order" (as required on Page 3 of the little blue book, State Department Publication #7277 – 'Freedom From War').

The essential sharp line so necessary that once separated the military from the civilian law enforcement has not only been eliminated, there has not been enough public outcry! This merger is never done in a republic if it is to remain a republic! Once accepted by a republic, its people are henceforth prepared for rule under a dictatorship. Instantly, the military government which is set in place changes all its former values! The indispensable Constitutional principles that formed us into a republic have been disposed of! And there is little more than a whimper because the great majority has been listening to the false patriotic statements being made by their high-ranking public officials. The bottom line reads: "This nation has been revamped so that it is now in accord with military concepts on a regional (international) basis." As a result, every policeman in the United States, all the way down to the last beat officer, is now federalized and he is now 'on line'

¹ "We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order, a world where the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful, and we will be, we have a real chance at this new world order, an order in which a credible United Nations can use its peacekeeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders." —George H. Bush, 1-17-91

² Public Law 87-297 reads as follows: "Definitions: Sec. 3. As used in this Act—(a) The terms "arms control" and "dismament" mean the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement including the necessary steps taken under such an agreement to establish an effective system of international control, or to create and strengthen international organizations for the maintenance of peace." The attending policy book from the State Department is even more explicit. On Page 3 of Publication 7277 it states: "The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force".

with the federal Homeland Security "Agency", ready for military command. Someone has to answer for this!

Did you know that the federal administration has used the people's tax money to pay for the construction of a "World-Wide Military Command and Control System" (W.W.M.C.C.S.)? This was built at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology – Research Engineering Department. It shows how far the goals of Public Law 87-297 have been carried. Funding for the W.W.M.C.C.S began during the Nixon administration. In 1997 the United Nations put out a strong appeal to use our American civilian law enforcement systems to be a part of its World-Wide Rapid Response Mechanism for unified international command and control. In 2006 Kofi Annan is seeking this again and is also preparing a program intent upon getting the guns away from the American citizens.

George W. Bush has gotten by with instituting the Homeland Security "Agency" by claiming it was essential to deal with terrorists, but in all actuality, he moved the United States from being a government of the people, by the people and for the people under the massive global communitarian dictatorship! He appointed the director of this "agency", someone who is not responsible to the people.

Currently, Bush has the nerve to appeal to the nation's religious practitioners to help control the people, to keep the peace when martial rule is put into effect. The churches are to instruct the people to obey the government. Will it be an order to turn in all weapons, or to take the chip in the hand, or submit to a forced vaccination that could include a chip?

Apparently, members of our local law enforcement systems do not know that it is against the law for local police departments to be commandeered and controlled by the federal government! They do not know that being placed under the Homeland Security "Agency" (H.S.A.) was done for international management purposes. The H.S.A. is the force required to preserve internal order referred to in the State Dept. Publication #7277 – the policy book that accompanies Public Law 87-297. Police officers do not know that they are now being trained in Soviet tactics. Review the article Uncle Sam showed you by a San Diego detective, Phillip Worts on how the police are being sovietized. Our police officers have an obligation to go, the law-abiding people of the United States, not to an international government! Law enforcement didn't understand the consequences of this unconstitutional activity any more than they reasoned out the Soviet-American Police Exchange Program, but someone has to answer for this!

Civilian law enforcement is a prerogative belonging exclusively to a state! It is unlawful for the federal government to encroach upon the powers that belong to your state! Even worse is that our police officers apparently do not understand how these alterations being made in the government system will affect them personally. Their own families will suffer. They do not know that this "agency" is not responsible to the electorate! Their orders will come directly from the federal government! This is reprehensible!

How many police officers or chiefs ever questioned why they were federalized? Every police officer should know that it is bad enough for the federal government to usurp from the state its authority over its own law enforcement system, but didn't they 'smell a rat' (as Patrick Henry would say) when they were merged with the military under one agency head? Why didn't they see the consequence of this revision?

If we had continued the Constitutional system as was established by the founding fathers, such vandalism would never have gained so large a foothold in our government. We must demand restoration of the "enrolled militia" of the whole people. Today the people lack a unified protective

system, such as that which would be present if the "enrolled militia" were in operation, providing them with proper organized training, adequate to defend the nation. Even nuclear wars end up with ground troops coming in to take command of the territory. If a nuclear bomb or two get dropped on the U.S. our own people will be told to huddle in their homes. Great defense for the land of the free and the home of the brave!

We should have been spending the money on a properly trained citizen militia system instead of spending the money on the expensive maintenance of the United Nations and all its subversive global government planning. Now we face the U.N.'s NAFTA treaty idea, which is openly the cause for dissolving the borders between our nation and Mexico and Canada.⁵⁹ This has caused the Security and Prosperity Partnership to begin the process of eliminating not only our national borders, but the borders of our states as well will dwindle away as a new political system takes effect -- a long ago desired milestone of the globalists. The United Nations Charter never was a 'treaty'. From the onset it was a parasitic constitution conceived to unravel the American Constitutional system.

We need to restore the proper interpretation of the Second Amendment as George Washington and his staff demonstrated it. He took the farmers out and taught them the meaning of a well-regulated militia. Every able-bodied man was ordered to be trained to arms for the defense of the republic. Only those persons who could not pass the test by two practicing surgeons were excused from annual militia duty. Every man's name was turned over to a Brigade Inspector for what was called the "enrolled militia". Each man was required to spend a couple of weeks every year in training with firearms. Each man paid 50 cents to cover costs of the citizen procedure, and the people took their arms home with them as they left. Each man was trained in proficiency with arms. He was expected to be a citizen soldier, ready to be an immediate defender of the republic against tyranny, sedition or invasion. These men did not wear uniforms, but they were all citizen-guardians of the nation's liberty on immediate call. As a matter of fact, Washington won the War for Independence with the militia of his day.

The closest thing we have today is the Minutemen. They are indispensable! These are brave men who have sprung into action, caused by spontaneous natural emotions, the heartfelt reaction by men of our day to defend their families and their country. The militia of the Second Amendment is not the national or state guard. It is the whole people. The militia written into the Charter George Washington signed, has a different function than the militia as written into the Second Amendment. The militia, which is referred to in the 1789 Constitution, protects us from danger from insurrection or foreign invasion. The militia referred to in the Second Amendment gives support in this regard also, but its primary purpose is to protect the people from danger caused by sedition or treasonous acts of government.

George W. Bush's opinion that the Minutemen are 'vigilantes' is wrong! His globalist opinions render him an improper criterion upon which to base conclusions. He is guilty of slander. Bill Clinton is also guilty as he, too, has called the militia 'vigilantes'. The handlers of the presidents (those who write their speeches) put them up to this! The Minutemen, who are protecting our

⁵⁹ Leonard B. Wood, a State Department geographer in 1962 said: "What we're dealing with is the re-creation of countries." The countries that emerge from the process may bear little resemblance to today's states. For example, many states won't have armies, only police." We are told that a stratified system of governance and power is likely to replace traditional states. "At the top will be a stronger United Nations or an equivalent body responsible for peace, environment and other global issues," explained Julian Minghi, an American geographer and U.S. representative to the IGU commission on the World Political Map. Minghi, also said: "The notion of boundaries as we've known them, in terms of absolute sovereignty and legalities, will in time dwindle." The Gonsberg map entitled the "New World Moral Order" showed all borders erased from Canada to Panama and re-named as the United States of America. It included Greenland and Iceland.

borders, are upsetting Bush's plans to eliminate our national borders, because Bush has signed the Security and Prosperity Partnership agreement in 2005 which calls for a free flow of persons over the borders of the three nations: USA, Mexico, and Canada.

The drive by the United States to force a "new world order" upon the world has caused us to have many enemies throughout the world. We are more in danger of being attacked. There never was a greater time for re-instituting the militia of the whole people. How long can we allow this deficiency to continue before we will no longer be able to protect ourselves? Question: Where did the United Nations get the idea to move in and ban our guns? Think reality, folks! When a foreign organization starts talking like that, it is time to shape up your own country's defenses! Does George W. Bush think it is good to impose martial law in such a situation? Will he then suspend the Constitution and call in all the guns himself? Someone has to explain how these statements all fit together! Meanwhile, we need to prevent martial law from being imposed.

David Davis (1815-1886) U.S. Supreme Court Justice, *Ex Parte Milligan*, 4 Wallace 2 (1866) said:

"The Constitution of the United States is a law for rulers and people, equally in war and peace, and covers with the shield of its protection all classes of men at all times, and under all circumstances. No doctrine, involving more pernicious consequences, was ever invented by the wit of man than that any of its provisions can be suspended during any of the great exigencies of government. Such a doctrine leads directly to anarchy or despotism, but the theory of necessity upon which it is based is false, for the government within the Constitution, has all the powers granted to it, which are necessary to preserve its existence; as has been happily proved by the result of the great effort to throw off its just authority."

Yet, strange things happen in Washington, D.C. An effort to *repeal* the Second Amendment (another impossible dream) has already been attempted! Repr. Major Owens (N.Y.) once introduced legislation calling for the 'repeal' of the Second Amendment! Owens' legislation did not get support to pass it as 'law', and it should not, because he should have known that the Bill of Rights is not subject to repeal! That's why a *Bill of Rights* was chosen in the first place to store our precious endowments from the Creator. The Bill of Rights is higher law than the rest of the Constitution. It is a sacred palladium. The Founding Fathers knew that! They knew what they were doing! They outsmarted future seditionists when they moved from "We the states..." to "We, the people..." James Madison made that move on the 9th day of the Convention with Resolution #15 so that protection would extend to a more broad range.

Did you know that the federal administration has used the people's tax money to pay for the construction of a "World-Wide Military Command and Control System" (W.W.M.C.C.S.)? This was beginning to be built at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology - Research Engineering Department about 30 years ago. It shows how extensive the goals and connections are of Public Law 87-297! Funding for the W.W.M.C.C.S began during the Nixon administration. In 1997 the United Nations put out a strong appeal to use our American civilian law enforcement systems to be a part of its World-Wide Rapid Response Mechanism for a unified international command and control. Again in 2006 the U.N. under Kofi Annan is seeking use of our law enforcement systems for use by the U.N.

The 10 Federal Standard Regions over our 50 states which President Richard M. Nixon signed into law with Executive Order 11647 were made co-terminus with the lines the United Nations drew to comply with global management. Nixon's action caused federal offices to be set up in each region to manage it. The facts speak for themselves: It indicates the federal administration agrees with

the globalists who want our 50 states and the system provided for us by our nation's founders to exist – go more! Now they dare to call the people their “human resources”. Should the federal government be allowed to cultivate this type of attitude toward us? Is it the kind of world we want to leave to our children?

George W. Bush will not admit that the pitch he makes for “peace” and “freedom” were set for him by John F. Kennedy, who signed the umbrella disarmament law (*Public Law 87-297*). On September 26, 1961 the day following John Kennedy's speech before the United Nations JFK signed P.L. 87-297. In addition to the General and Complete Disarmament, this law is responsible for the Base Realignment and Closing Commission (B.R.A.C.), which has been closing down some of our most critical military bases. No approval was ever obtained for this Commission to be appointed. What is left from the closings constitutes the future use by the U.N. World Army on American soil!

Despite the objections of the American people to the downgrading of American preparedness, in 2005 George W. Bush ordered another round of U.S. military bases to be shut down. Bush ordered nearly two dozen major military bases and a half dozen military institutions essential to the defense of the nation to be shut down via this last negotiations with his Base Realignment and Closing Commission – (B.R.A.C.). He defended the action claiming that such closures were saving the nation billions of dollars! The truth is that these closures are referred to in *Freedom From War – The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World* – (State Department Publication #7277) See Pages 9 and 10 in the little Blue Book.¹¹

Reduction of military bases and facilities is also reported in the *Blueprint for the Peace Race*. (Refer to Pages 26 and 31 of your booklet, Stages II and III. How safe will you be when we have no national military of our own, and our only bases will be those the world army occupies? The Constitution requires us to have a national defense, so isn't this blatantly against the law? Where is the authority for any administration to give away our armed forces and close essential military bases? There is none! Where is the outcry from those who are responsible for maintaining the law of this country? Someone has to answer for this!

You will also find on Page 19 of “*Freedom From War – The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World*” – (State Department Publication #7277) the following statement:

(There will be) “*progressive controlled disarmament and continuously developing principles and procedures of international law (which) would proceed to a point where no state (country) would have the military power to challenge the progressively strengthened U.N. Peace Force...*”

As you view these booklets, you will see more clearly that when George W. Bush refers to “peace” – it is the “peace” described in these *General and Complete Disarmament* publications. How insane

¹¹ To find “*Freedom from War - The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World*” in the Internet, go to: <http://www.milcomex.com/pub7277.html>

To find “The Blue Print for the Peace Race, go to:

http://www.aftercapitalism.com/Blueprint_for_the_Peace_Race.pdf

Ask your local police officer to join you in an Internet search of Public Law 87-297 by using the following sites:

Section 2551 – http://assembly.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode22/uscode22 USC_sec_22_00002551-000.html

Public Law 87-297 – Title 22 Section 2552 –

http://assembly.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode22/uscode22 USC_sec_22_00002552-000.html

Public Law 87-297 Title 22 Section 2571 –

http://assembly.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode22/uscode22 USC_sec_22_00002571-000.html

are we supposed to become? This is really not "peace"! According to some of the world troop maps, the armed forces which are scheduled to "secure internal order" for us in the U. S. very easily can be troops from foreign nations we once trained on our own soil.

It is an unwise and foolish future, which George W. Bush is promoting for Americans, as he speaks, selling us "peace" and "freedom" in his televised speeches. This type of "peace" and "freedom" is not what Americans want or need! Some people are taken in by the sophistry that Bush uses, not understanding that his words have double meanings. He has no problem telling untruths! These things should be pointed out to your local police departments so that the police officers may begin to put the pieces together.

Geographers working in the nation's State Department are admitting that certain treaties such as NAFTA, CAFTA, GATT, etc. are expected to erase our national boundary lines. Is there any wonder why George Bush doesn't get excited about the runaway condition on our borders?

Another thing you should know: Back in the early seventies, there was a report ordered called the Houlihan Report. The full title of the report was known as: "The Politics of Change in Local Government Reform". It was done to support then-Governor Ronald Reagan's rush to eliminate California's 58 counties and replace them with "regional government" entities. As governor, Reagan was in favor of making the 'change' from counties into regional organizations. He was unsuccessful in trying to persuade county supervisors to give up their current structure and combine into large regional government units. Hence, the Houlihan Report was written to help guide or force the counties to adopt "regions".

The Report listed 5 methods in which to bring about a change in a government. The cost of the study was roughly \$300,000.00, which was paid by California taxpayers without their knowledge. The Report was not written for the use of the public. Oh, no! It was not meant to be seen by the public! It listed 5 ways (that were time-tested ways) which would influence people to desire and adopt a different form of government. Here are the 5 ways it summarized that could be used:

1. A collapse of government's ability to provide needed services;
2. A crisis of major magnitude;
3. A catastrophe that has a physical effect on the community;
4. The corruption of local officials; and
5. The high cost of government and the desire for a higher level of services.

During the same time period, Reagan paid another large sum to Robert Hawkins to conduct a study of the feasibility of converting California counties into regions (U.N. regions). Reagan, flashing his best movie role smile, declared that California's government was a "horse and buggy" government; that it needed to be streamlined, updated, and made efficient. Hawkins undertook the study and when Hawkins finished his summary study, and submitted the Study findings, it declared the opposite of what Reagan had said about California's government! The Report and the findings were printed in the California Journal about 1974.

Hawkins Task Force Report stated that: California's government was not unplanned, nor uncoordinated, nor inefficient or uneconomical, as Reagan had charged. Hawkins summarized ten findings in his report to show that California's present county system was superior to the regional government system that Reagan wanted! Hawkins summarized:the "findings, and recommendations that come from them (the findings), had a common thread – letting people at the lowest level make their own decisions." This was a very important victory for the defenders of the state. County regionalization was meant to get the groundwork rolling for eventual elimination of city, county and state borders. The statement regarding the findings, made by Hawkins -- is a

major point to apply to the *dream* that other 'planners' are involved in, building their *new world order*. "The best government is where people at the lowest level make their own decisions."

The one world government is bound to be a *fiasco!* Before they are allowed to launch the world under such an *impossible dream*, this important factor has to be realized: World government—one government over the whole world — is just too darned big! I could add many other reasons why one government over the world will not work! Elsewhere, you will find some other reasons on Patrick Henry's list. Even with *all that inductive stuff* they sneaked in from Hitler's regime, a world government is still going to be a *fiasco!*

When Reagan became president, he signed Treaty 97-19, the Constitution of the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), to foster the industrialization of developing countries, "to deal with the problems of development in an increasingly interdependent world", it said. The objective was to establish a new international economic order over the world. That treaty placed the United States on the list of being a nation willing to participate in a new international "economic and social order". It was the foundation for NAFTA, etc. It meant a new government! Where did Reagan get the authority to sign that treaty, or to agree to replace the United States Constitution with a global government? Incidentally, Reagan also signed an Executive Order for the creation of a "Courts-Martial" Manual (continued on by later presidents). This Manual will be put to use and operate the judicial system when martial law comes into effect.

Lyndon Baines Johnson promoted "The Great Society" (to take from the "haves" and give to the "have not's"). He called it a more 'orderly world'. The same as every other president, he worked on the Disarmament Law, Human Rights Treaties, etc. Presidents James Carter and William Clinton both dealt prominently with the Human Rights Treaties in addition to carrying the load forward from other presidents' milestones. These are communitarian lifestyle documents. Refer to Clinton's Executive Order 13187, Implementation of Human Rights Treaties. These "treaties" did not include owning a gun! As a matter of fact, one of the global constitutions states: "No person may possess a lethal weapon..." The whole nation was slowly drawn under, and crumpled decade after decade by those who occupied the Oval office. Someone has to answer for this!

Gerald Ford will be remembered for having chosen Nelson A. Rockefeller to be his vice-president. Ford was an appointed person who assumed the presidency after Richard Nixon was forced out. One time he chastised the nation saying "...don't ever criticize that office - the office of the president!" It was a 'standard' that was put up to guard against any awareness or suspicion that sedition could be centered in the office of the president, when in truth, the Oval office has been the headquarters of the *Cavalcade Of Conquest by Every President Since the League of Nation Days!*

Some other catastrophes now facing Americans are the devaluation of our currency; runaway inflation; the bankrupt condition of our cities and states; unrestricted immigration without quota limitations; hiring of illegal immigrants who have increased the cost of medical care up to 50 billion dollars while stealing jobs from citizens; the Aztlán threat to seize the south/western American states; the importation and addiction of people to illegal drugs; outsourcing of jobs; high cost of housing; big business corporations deserting the U.S. and moving to foreign countries; giving away American technology to Communist countries; the exhausting cost of wars on too many fronts; large scale weather tragedies such as Katrina, etc. To what extent have these situations been *magnified* on the drafting boards of global minded legislators?

If the various unconstitutional situations being reported here were part of a movie scenario, it could be dismissed as foolish entertainment, but what is being documented here are truly serious happenings that are being planned or have been instituted by our own government officials, the purpose of which is to break down our rightful government!

Every president (since the United Nations Charter was unconstitutionally accepted on the grounds of being a "treaty") has been planning the overthrow of our Constitutional government. Ever since we became members of the United Nations organization, we have been losing our wealth, our economic integrity, our rights, our safeguards, our independence, our sovereignty, and our proper form of government! The federal government bought compliance of state representatives for socialist programming by promising local governments that they would receive "revenue sharing" money. These were the same dollars the federal government had taken from the people in the first place, via IRS 1940 tax money. So what did they have to "share"? When the people's own IRS 1940 tax money (which should have stayed locally) did come back as "revenue sharing money," it was loaded with so many federal strings, and mandated elements, it caused changes in the state's operational concepts and policies, and made the states subservient to the federal government.

In order for the states to qualify for funds (receiving their own people's money back) first, they had to submit to being "regionalized". That included approval by the federal government of a "General Plan," for which the states received some planning grant money to produce. Then came the "federally-ordered-and-mandated-socialist-programs," which the states had to maintain. They had to surrender control over their own local governments (subdivisions, counties and cities) to satisfy requirements of the federal government. This caused massive damage to the Tenth Amendment, and to the Check and Balance System as well.

Teachers were trained to holler and teach: Change! Change! Change! Licentiousness sprang up all over and was made acceptable. Some constitutionally loyal legislators like California's Floyd Wakefield, Louisiana's John Rarick, and Ohio's John Ashbrook made great efforts to stop what was happening, but there were not enough other loyal public officials left in office to give them adequate support. Most others had joined P.A.C.H. or A.C.I.R. and were obligated to the Rockefellers.

The people in general have been put to sleep by their own representatives who followed Charles Merriam's tactics. If we had had more constitutional governors like Ralph Carr through the years, the federal government would not have been able to humpsteckle the states and usurp their powers. The trouble with governors since then is that they have become members of the Rockefeller organizations. The Rockefellers gave Charles E. Merriam 8 million dollars in the '30's to organize the Public Administration Clearing House (P.A.C.H.) which influences and controls decisions and performances of elected public officials. They gave another 8 million to buy land to erect the U.N. building. The Governor's Conference, the National Conference of State Legislatures, City Manager's Association, American Society of Planners, Mayor's Conferences, Supervisor's Associations, League of Cities, are all Rockefeller controlled P.A.C.H. groups. They get "advised" as to what legislation to support, or oppose; or to introduce under their own name by adding a Bill Number to pre-written legislation (adjusting for the 'change'). The "Metro Chart" by Jo Hindman gives you an insight into the parasitic organization that has taken over our representative system. You must realize that your republic (representative government) is only 10% in operation, and the other 90% of the system is under the guidance of Merriam's democracy and the groups that practice under it: A.C.I.R., P.A.C.H., C.F.R. etc., etc. Be sure to review Jo Hindman's Metro Chart.

The purpose of the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (A.C.I.R.) has been to write the laws that P.A.C.H. public officials use to govern our nation into a global government. A.C.I.R. is known as the "law-making factory" and is Rockefeller controlled. Merriam's P.A.C.H. links in with A.C.I.R. Public officials can get any sort of legislation from A.C.I.R. Its Commission is composed of members of the federal Senate, House of Representatives, Executive Branch Officers, Governors, Mayors, State Legislators, and elected County Officials, which lend prestige to it. P.A.C.H. public officials take A.C.I.R. written legislation and introduce it as their own idea. A.C.I.R. was instituted in 1959 by a bill signed by Pres. Dwight D. Eisenhower. P.A.C.H. & A.C.I.R. caused power belonging to states and local governments, to be consolidated on the federal level.

Did you get the connection when you looked on the back of Public Law 101-216,¹² the disarmament law George H. Bush signed into law in December 1989, and saw how the House of Representatives voted? It was only a 3-1/2 Page bill so no one could be excused for betraying us because of the bill's length. Our so-called representatives got told to vote it in! On April 30, 1992 George H. Bush signed Executive Order 12803,¹³ that allows any U.S. infrastructure to be sold or leased into private hands (even into foreign ownership or control). The president also gets told what to do (See below).

Mary Davison¹⁴ once wrote a warning in her column, exposing the method by which the United States Constitution could be written off, thus eliminating the whole Constitutional system of government via the Department of Peace. The previous Department of Peace legislation, (once unsuccessfully carried by Senator Vance Hartke in 1970), has been revived (but is still in a committee). Reviving it in recent years was done by federal representative, Dennis Kucinich of Ohio. His legislation is called the Department of Peace and was drafted in July 2001, 107th Congress, 1st Session, listed then as H.R. 2459. H.R. 2459 awaits future passage, and possibly a number of changes. Davison explained the potential for the Secretary of State to officially sign away this nation by merging it totally under the United Nations global government system and to formalize the end of the United States government. Who do you suppose wrote Dennis' H.R.2459?

Think on this: Under full globalism, all salaries will be determined by the government. Everyone will be told where he or she can work and where he or she can live. There will be no private ownership of land! Appointees will rule, and there will no longer be elections. It will be, of necessity, a militarized government: an iron hand! People will not be able to recall or vote out new world order public officials, because it is an appointive regional system! The new world order officials will have more power over our private lives than any of our former public officials ever had! A world religion will replace your right to choose your own religion! Euthanasia will be in government hands! The chip in your hand will hold your history as the government wants it to

¹² In December 1989, Geo H. Bush signed Public Law 101-216, which gave almost word for word, the same definition of disarmament as the first disarmament law. It states on Page 3:

"(2) as defined in this Act, the terms 'arm control' and 'disarmament' mean 'the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement to establish an effective system of international control'.

¹³ Executive Order 12803 dated April 30, 1992 reads as follows: "By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, and in order to ensure that the United States achieves the most beneficial economic use of its resources, it is hereby ordered as follows:

Section 1, *Definitions.* For purposes of this order: (a) "Privatization" means the disposition or transfer of an infrastructure asset, such as by sale or by long-term lease, from a State or local government to a private party.

(b) "Infrastructure asset" means any asset financed in whole or in part by the Federal Government and needed for the functioning of the economy. Examples of such assets include, but are not limited to: roads, tunnels, bridges, electricity supply facilities, mass transit, rail transportation, airports, ports, waterways, water supply facilities, recycling and wastewater treatment facilities, solid waste disposal facilities, housing, schools, prisons, and hospitals.

¹⁴ Mary Davison was the head of the "Council for Statehood" (Florida based). She was an expert on the United Nations. In 1970 she put out a warning that a mechanism was being set up for transferring U.S. government powers. It read: "Legislation is pending to merge the executive branch of the federal government with the United Nations through an all-powerful cabinet post to be known as the Department of Peace." "The theory is that the U.S. will be involved in war after war until we bow meekly to one world tyranny as a way out. Under the proposed legislation, carried by Senator Vance Hartke and Congressman Halpern, the functions, powers and duties of the Secretary of State and the Department of State will be transferred to the Department of Peace," reported Davison. "The President may transfer to the Secretary of Peace any function of any other agency or office or part of any agency or office in the Executive Branch to the Department of Peace." Davison's prediction is that this will result in a merger of the United Nations and the Department of Peace. Recently, the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency has been transferred to Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice who has announced recently that her intention is to effect changes to refocus the Department on the President's mission to promote "democracy".

read! It will be a checkless, cashless society! You may be refused the right to own an automobile! Check out life in China for a preview of things to come. Isn't it better to stop all this while you can?

The City Police Departments and your local sheriff may some day come to realize how they have been manipulated. They may not accept your explanation right off as to how they fit in the new world order, or that their lack of understanding of our Constitutional system is to blame for the detriment we are now suffering, but as they have time to reason out the facts by the government documents which we have reported on here, they will have cause to reconcile their conscience with their patriotism. Police officers were meant to be our friends. If your City Police Department is sincere, and intent upon rooting out lawbreakers, why have they joined in with the lawbreakers? Who remembers, or was ever taught, that Patrick Henry had warned that evil men could take over, and keep the people in utter ignorance, and gradually steal their liberty? Or that the president himself would lead in the treason? He also said, "Your laws on impeachment are a sham and a mockery due to mutual implication of government officials. When evil men take office the whole gang will be in collusion. They will keep the people in utter ignorance and steal their liberty by ambuscade."

All three divisions of the federal government know of the move into a global government, and are members of some A.C.I.R./ P.A.C.H. group. Most all of our state governors are co-operating with the transition. The globalists have stacked the deck from the top down for building a totalitarian form of government. We must decide whether we care enough about our heritage and our children – enough to form groups in every state that will speak out against this unlawful seizure of our nation, our Constitution and our Bill of Rights, our liberties and independence – and the heritage bequeathed to us by our forbearers in the War for Independence. The legislatures have to take action on our behalf! Members of the state legislatures can't refuse the pleas of the people to take a stand and maintain the existence of the state! The documentation you use has to be flawless.

The real seat of power is with the people on the local level. It is up to the police, the legislators, and the churches to address our grievance. A great American, Maureen Heaton once said: "When the older people have died, the younger people will be like putty in the hands of the manipulators." They will be inexperienced and ignorant of the history and type of warfare we face. It is up to us now to protect our families and our country, and to instruct our children, and the generations that come thereafter, on the eternal need to be ever watchful against endless sedition and treason. We are justified in trying to protect our families and our American heritage from these dangers.

I have presented what appears to be the only peaceful and workable solution left for saving this nation. The solution has to come from an action controlled by the people themselves on the local level, and on state level, by putting up the loudest unified outcry ever in a call for justice and due process, demanding that the federal officials' disguised new world order global government building activities be ended! You can see that with Merriam's formulas, the Rockefellers, and their fellow collaborators, redirected American government so it could be used to end itself and build a new world order in its place! Is your state legislature a member of P.A.C.H.'s National Conference of State Legislatures or a member of A.C.I.R.? If so, they need to be held to answer for this!

Americans were meant to be the last to wake up, because they were to be used to finance the venture. It was meant that they would not awaken until the gates had been slammed closed on them. They were to also be fully disarmed at that point. Then it would no longer matter what the people knew! Besides, they think their progress is such that you can't stop them, but that is not true! The Constitution is still the property of the people and the people have never given their informed consent to abandoning it! One of the two systems operating in this country has got to go, and it should not be the rightful 1789 Constitution! There never was any consent of the governed to abandon it. Non-laws are not laws! The Constitution is still supreme law of this land!

Senator William E. Jenner was one of the loyal men in the federal legislature who reported to the people what was happening to them. He made great speeches in the 50's and the 60's against the drive toward world government, and he woke up many people, but the slow subtle changes, which were occurring at that time, were not enough to rouse people and convince them as to how gripping the threat was. Not all the globalizing legislation had been passed at that time. Today you have the benefit of so much more adequate documentation to prove it. There is no excuse now for people not to understand what is happening to their country.

The old adage: "Let the buyer beware!" strikes me! As a nation we, the people, never bought into a new world order, but our public officials sure did! The changes made in the system were given false covers disguised as Constitutional actions, which we never consented to at all! All the years of using Charles E. Merriam's defrauding techniques must now be exposed! The new world order can be made to fizzle! All the administrators of global government who have defrauded us have done so under false pretenses, just as Merriam taught them to do! It is time to unmask all of the presidents who came into office since Charles E. Merriam took control of our government, and since the United Nations came into being.

Ever since the United Nations Charter was accepted as a treaty, all of our presidents have betrayed us. Yes, all the way down to the P.A.C.H. trained city managers, and all the way up to George W. Bush. Bush is still trying to fool people with his "peace" and "freedom" and persuasive smile. Actually, it is Bush who is causing wars to happen (for enforcement of the Disarmament Law), and making our own people hated all over the globe.

One last word of warning! Many false and dangerous excuses are being used to pass a constitutional amendment with a cover that appeals to the uninformed general public.²¹ The deviousness behind this action is that the amendment can be used to move the whole thing, the whole effort, under a convention - a constitutional convention. During a "convention" the 1789 Constitution can be 'officially' opened up and a global government constitution can be inserted in its place! There would be two constitutional actions taken by the new world order gangs: One - to formally declare the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights as a decadent and obsolete document; and Second - to put in place a global Constitution that would supersede the U.N. Charter.

The Charter has served as a blueprint for construction of a global system, but now they want a global Constitution for authoritative command and control which will supersede the working Charter. (The acquisition of a convention is their Ace card, which indications show they will push for before too long. Sort of like 'putting the icing on the cake'). The push is on more than ever to get an amendment to achieve the fraudulent convention objective! We should guard against all excuses given 'for the need' to pass a constitutional amendment, innocent though it may seem!

Now you can see what Merriam meant by using the Constitutional system itself to bring in a (new world order) socialist government! It was once reported that George W. Bush was going to hold office when the final take-over happened. Bush still has to 'transform' the social security and the federal income taxing system yet. When the time comes for this, he will have to close the national federal system and open up under an international federated world government system all at the same time. Since he has not made the progress that was expected in his administration, because of

²¹ These excuses have been used for the supposed need of a Constitutional Amendment: Bricker Amendment; Term Limits Amendment; Spending Limits Amendment; Balance Budget Amendment; Tax Limitation Amendment; Pro-Life Protection of the Unborn Amendment; Prayer Amendment; Unlawful Treaties Amendment; Direct Democracy Act (Mike Gravel's effort); Continuity of Government Act; Apportionment of Census Act; Pledge of Allegiance Act; Defense of Marriage Act; Flag Desecration Amendment (tried in 1995, 1997, 1999, 2001, 2005 and 2006. (The effort in June 2006 lost by only one vote in the Senate. The House of Representatives passed it in 2005.)

the long Iraq war, the powers that control our presidents may have to resort to using an upcoming successor! In that case, be warned how clever their next charlatan will be – to keep you fooled!

William E. Jenner²⁹ left us this warning that we had better remember: “When they spring the trap, my friends, you will be helpless! You will have *had it!*” Jenner meant that if you waited too long, you would lose out against the time clock, and your chance to stop the fraud and sedition would have come and gone! The decisions would no longer be yours to make! It is time to say:

“We are tired of being regionalized, internationalized, militarized and mesmerized! Ladies and gentlemen of the new world order, please be advised that your marquerade is over!”

Folks, I have given you enough evidence, reason, and a method to be successful in standing against the ‘new world order’ and the predicament that is before you. You have some work to do, but at least you know where to begin. Unless you force your state house to admit to the Cavalcade of Presidential Betrayals, and the Rockefeller P.A.C.H./A.C.I.R. hammerlock on public officials, you will not be able to work a peaceful settlement of the problems of this country. Without stopping the mechanics of treason, you will sink as sure as the Titanic! The Circle of Hope could be a starter and a lifesaver! You have but a short time to make your peaceful stand: Sink or Swim!

Most sincerely,



National Director, Second Amendment Committee

Attachments:

The Circle of Hope

The Four Resolves


Jo Hindman’s Metro Chart

Second Amendment Committee Post Office Box 1776 Hanford, California 93232

²⁹ William E. Jenner: was a dedicated Constitutional federal Senator. Prior to World War II he served in the senate of the State of Indiana. He was injured in the war and upon his return was elected to take a seat in the federal Senate. After he saw the direction the government officials were taking the country, he made public appearances, such as speaking to groups like the Daughter of the American Revolution. He tried to tell the public in general what was going on. Too few tried to take action against what was happening. He died in 1983 with a saddened heart, reflecting upon his disappointment, as he described himself as a “weak reed in the wind” and “a lone voice crying in the wilderness”.

THE CIRCLE OF HOPE

There is no better advice I can give you than what worked at the time when FDR was stopped: "cut the globalists off at the pocketbook". Give this effort your support! Try to repeat the successful solution Governor Ralph Carr set into motion.



A guide line!

Here's how it would work:

- (a) Reliable and documented information.
- (b) A respected notable telling the shocking facts.
- (c) Broad coverage including national news attention.
- (d) An aroused public protesting super loudly and continually.
- (e) Newspapers would have to report complaints and print about it.
- (f) Demonstrations broadcasted and public then begins to connect the dots.
- (g) Awareness reaches sufficient % of national population and word spreads.
- (h) State Pressure brought to bear. Federal officials cannot refuse to cut off funding.
- (i) Outcry sufficient. Demands continue from the public. Funding must be stopped.
- (j) If needed, IRS1040 tax money is put in escrow. All funding of the federal government is stopped until they agree to repeal the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and 1949.
- (k) If the federal government refuses, the states are forced by the people to meet, draft proper legislation themselves, and mandates both United Nations Participation Acts as being repealed.
- (l) The jig is up! The states hold the power to do this. There is no court review on a bill of repeal.
- (m) Use the premier principle in international law to void the United States Membership in the United Nations: *Robus Sic Stantibus*. The U.N. Charter was never a treaty as pretended.
- (n) Use the ideas listed in "Four Resolves" to withhold salaries in accord with the Fourteenth Amendment. You have to cut them off at the pocketbook! There is no violence in using this method. It is a peaceful way to end the subversion. If the United States stops funding it, the United Nations would collapse. However, it is necessary that an official action be executed, which calls for the United Nations Participation Act of 1945 and 1949 to be rescinded, revoked, and repealed. Use Ron Paul's legislation H.R. 1146, 106th Congress, 1st Session. If Patrick Henry were here today, he would wind it up by asking: "Why stand we here idle?" Even if you are successful using this effort, the globalists will come back again and again. Generations in the future must stay on guard because sedition never ever ceases!