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Dwight D. Eisenhower: In 1959 Eisenhower signed legislation to create the "Advisory Commission On Intergovernmental Relations". In effect, it was a rebirth of FDR's National Resources Planning Board. Funded by the tax-exempt Rockefeller Foundation,

ACIR wrote the legislation necessary for making the changes in the government, altering its principles, and consolidating all power on the federal level. ACIR was a team that presented the president and the Congress with a "law making factory". Bills were planned, and written for public officials, which we hired to watch over our liberty, but the bills did the opposite.

Legislators took the ACIR draft bills, and introduced them as their own ideas supposedly for our good! Instead it altered our system and wiped out safeguards.

Eisenhower signed the "Open Skies" treaty by which communist aircraft could now fly over our country.

Many articles were written on Eisenhower's encouragement of cultural exchanges between the U.S.A. and communist countries. Eisenhower also stopped the influence of the Bricker Amendment, an effort which would have stopped the U.N. from legislating into our domestic affairs.

Note: This article is in no way comprehensive. Due to the condition of the political situation in the United States, this short report is being provided because of expediency.
EISENHOWER ORDERED FT. MONMOUTH FILES DESTROYED—WOULD HAVE VINDICATED
JOE McCARTHY

by
Earl Denny
Contributing editor to
Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy
Educational Foundation, Inc.

A former U.S. Army employee assigned to a special counter-
espionage unit at the Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey Signal Corps Center
in 1952-53, has charged that the late President Dwight D. Eisen-
hower (through Sherman Adams) issued a directive to "destroy any
evidence now in investigative files that support charges being
made by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy" and that "any investigative
personnel involved in the gathering of this information should be
transferred."

In a June, 1982 letter to Milwaukee Attorney Thomas J. Ber-
gen, President of the Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy Foundation, Inc.,
John E. Reardon recalled events and information surrounding his
C.I.C. team's investigation of Soviet penetration of our super-
secret Signal Corps Center, Evans Laboratory and Electronic War-
fare Center; the Presidential Directive to destroy the files and
McCarthy's investigations and what they did with the files.

"From August, 1952, until my transfer overseas in June, 1953,
our team worked very closely together in developing files on sus-
ppected security risks at Fort Monmouth installations," stated
Reardon. "As an example, we sat in every day on the Appeal trial
for Julius and Ethel Rosenberg, and, at night, we worked to con-
nect the people and places involved."

"About this time in history," Reardon continues, "December
1952 to March 1953, the McCarthy committee began to make some pub-
lic charges that put some heat on all on-going investigations.
It was about this time that we learned our investigation was to
be curtailed. In December 1952 or January 1953, Colonel Schultz,
Eisenhower's military aid from Europe, issued a directive from
Sherman Adams at the White House which was reported to have said:

"Any evidence now in investigative files
that support charges being made by Sena-
tor McCarthy should be destroyed. Any
investigative personnel involved in the
gathering of this information should be
transferred."

Mr. Reardon (then Corporal Reardon) stated that he was sent
to Japan and Korea; Major Jim Gallagher was sent to Greenland;
Captain Ben Sheehan was placed under virtual house arrest and in-
vestigated by his own C.I.C. unit for possibly leaking informa-
tion to Senator McCarthy; Lt. Ben Bromberg was shipped overseas
to Europe and Sgt. John Wolf was reassigned, he [Reardon] knew not
where.
"After seeing what was happening, our unit considered removing the files and hiding them in John Wolf's basement in New Jersey," states Reardon. "This was ruled out, and, as a last resort to destroying the files, Sheehan was able to get permission for the FBI in Newark, New Jersey to receive our files and to write a report. I can remember vividly being assigned the job of transporting the files myself. I requisitioned a government sedan from our New York office, placed two army footlockers filled with our files in the trunk and drove to the FBI office in Newark."

"There were two FBI agents assigned to review our files and to write a report. We were never able to see their report."

Reardon stated in summary his thoughts and opinions about this treasonous action (ED) by our government. He was neither "Pro-McCarthy nor Anti-McCarthy." He recognized that a member of the U.S. Senate had been deprived of the support of government investigative agencies. He felt McCarthy had been forced to make a "bad case" (Peress) with only two amateur investigators (David Shine and Roy Cohn) as a method of allowing McCarthy to destroy himself. As he has read editorials about Joe McCarthy and "McCarthyism" thirty years after that time in history, by people trying to establish their credibility as knowledgeable authorities, he wishes the full story could come out. Reardon has refused to read books on the subject of Joe McCarthy because he feels that most, if not all, that has been written is based on inaccurate information.

"The key period was between 1952 and April 1953," stated Reardon. "This is the time period when the Senator was deprived of any governmental assistance, and I believe what happened in this period contributed to most, if not all, of the events that followed." (The Army-McCarthy Hearings and McCarthy's eventual Censure by his Senate Colleagues.)

John Reardon received an honorable discharge from the U.S. Army in April 1955. During his four years in the service he never received less than superior evaluations. Since 1956 he has been successfully employed in the paper industry and lives in Walpole, Massachusetts.
HOW IT HAPPENED THAT ALL POWER BECAME CONSOLIDATED ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL

The 1935 article in the New York Times magazine verifies that world-government-minded Pres. Franklin D. Roose-velt was planning to abolish the 48 states, replacing them with global regional governments (called ‘departments’ at that time.) After these plans of FDR’s National Resources Planning Board were exposed, and his NRPB was shut down in 1943, a new way was dreamed up to continue re-structuring the system for global management – the ACIR.

With finances from big foundations, Charles E. Merriam and his colleagues instituted the “Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations” (ACIR, also known as Intergov), and eventually made it a permanent part of government. In 1959 left-wing Congressman Edmund Muskie carried the legislation that created it, and Pres. Dwight Eisenhower signed it into law. Its assignment was to gradually alter the system by writing up model legislation (called draft bills), which were passed to public officials on state and federal levels to get enacted as their own idea.

ACIR wrote devious legislation to move and consolidate all power under the federal government using the excuse that they were building stronger states, restoring fiscal balance, achieving efficient and balanced growth, and creating a more perfect union! Two year terms were served by public officials from all levels of government, as you will see on the back-side of the pamphlet. Specially chosen members from state, local, and federal levels, these officials gave an aura of respectability to this parasitic group that consolidated and regionalized the people’s power and state’s power under the federal government.

State governments were forced to go along with programs lest they be denied block grant money to manage many programs that the federal government mandated upon them. Metropolitan regional socialist government goals were forced upon the states States that did not go along were denied funds until they buckled under. ACIR made their objectives sound good and then put the blame upon the people for the changes saying that the people had mandated the programs which they installed! This was a over-used illegal trick!

The damage ACIR did has to be reversed. For a while Chas. E. Merriam’s son, Robert E. Merriam was the head of ACIR! In about 1996 it closed down as it had finished its assignments.
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for a more perfect union

"A more perfect union" is the THE Nation's goal today as it was at the NEED founding of the Republic. To that end the framers of the Constitution devised the American federal system—a strong partnership of strong partners. But inevitable disagreements over the division of authority and responsibility among Federal, State and local levels generate intergovernmental tensions and conflicts. Growth and development has stimulated public demand for more governmental services at all levels, fueling pressures for centralization and threatening the political balance that is so critical to the concept of federalism. Clearly apparent is the need for a permanent agency to monitor the operation of the federal system and to recommend improvements. To meet that need the Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations—ACIR or INTERGOV—was created by Federal Law in 1959. INTERGOV is a permanent bipartisan body representing the executive and legislative branches of Federal, State and local government, and the public. It gives continuing attention to the critical areas of friction in Federal-State, Federal-local, interstate and intralocal relations.

Nine of the 26 Commission members represent the Federal Government. The other 17 represent State and local government, and the Public at large. Six are Members of Congress; three Senators appointed by the President of the Senate and three Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House. The President appoints 20: three private citizens, three Federal executive officials, four governors, three State legislators, four major electors and three elected county officials. State and local members are nominated by the national general government organizations. Of the

Advisory commission on intergovernmental relations.
Washington, D.C. 20575
agenda for the seventies

A TIME FOR ACTION

As the Nation approaches its 200th anniversary, horizontal and vertical gaps in the federal system are widening. Despite significant efforts in the sixties, disparities are growing between urban and rural, city and suburb, rich and poor, black and white, young and old. The Federal Government continues to have the bulk of financial resources and the States and localities, the bulk of domestic expenditures.

Intergovernmental frictions are becoming increasingly visible creating a favorable political environment for change. INTERGOV has developed a challenging Agenda for the Seventies aimed at strengthening the weaker partners — State and local government — and increasing the flexibility of Federal domestic programs to make them more responsive to State and local needs. The agenda will require Federal, State, and local action on four broad fronts.

BUILD STRONGER STATES

Fading rapidly is the illusion that the country can be run from Washington. States must share responsibility for resolving urban problems and the National Government should rely on those States that are ready and able to move.

• The institutional framework of State government should be modernized to permit a stronger role in urban affairs.

• Congress and the Executive branch should channel Federal urban grants through the States that demonstrate willingness and capacity to accept responsibility for urban affairs. In other States, the Federal Government should deal directly with localities.

• States should pay part of the bill for urban redevelopment, housing code enforcement, mass transit, and other major urban functions.

RESTORE FISCAL BALANCE

A massive rearrangement in the scale of fiscal resources available to the three levels of government is essential to a strong partnership. The alternative is further centralization of decision making in Washington and further chaotic proliferation of categorical grants.

• The Federal Government should share a portion of the personal income tax with States and major localities on a no expenditure "strings" basis.

• The Federal Government should assume full financial responsibility for public assistance, including general assistance and medicaid, programs already completely dominated by Federal policy.

• State government should assume an increasing share of the costs of elementary and secondary schooling, thus fostering equality of educational opportunity and releasing the property tax for other uses.

• States should adopt high quality, high yield State-local tax systems that place greater reliance on a progressive income tax and a strong sales tax. They should overhaul the local property tax to make it equitable and productive.

• To encourage fuller use of State income taxes, the Federal Government should grant taxpayers a partial credit against their Federal tax liability for income taxes paid to State and local governments.

RATIONALIZE LOCAL GOVERNMENT

A complex array of overlapping, under-powered local governments characterizes most of the Nation's metropolitan areas. The herculean task of rationalizing local government falls to the States because only State constitutional and statutory changes can transform the balkanized local government map of America.

• States should clarify legal powers of general purpose local governments and authorize them to determine their own internal structure, to control local tax and debt levels and use liberalized municipal annexation procedures.

• States should help local governments cope with urban growth by facilitating county consolidation, permitting counties to perform urban functions, authorizing regional councils of elected officials, fostering inter-local service agreements, providing for metropolitan multi-functional authorities, authorizing major urban governments to create neighborhood "subunits", and encouraging metropolitan study commissions.

• States should discourage nonviable units of local government by establishing rigorous standards for incorporation, empowering boundary commissions to consolidate or dissolve nonviable units, and revising State aid formulas to eliminate or reduce aid to nonviable local governments.

• States should stop proliferation of special districts by making it harder for them to form and easier to consolidate or dissolve and by increasing their visibility and accountability.

• The Federal Government should move further to alter aid programs that now encourage special districts and to require regional or metropolitan review of local grant applications.

ACHIEVE BALANCED GROWTH

If urbanization and redevelopment patterns continue to be left to chance, economic and social chaos will result. Comprehensive, coordinated National and State growth policies must be developed.

• A National urbanization policy should assure that Federal programs do not operate contrary to National goals and should include new components, such as financial incentives for industrial location in poverty areas and rural growth centers; migration allowances to facilitate population movement; preference in award of Federal contracts and public facilities to designated growth areas; expansion of governmental aid for family planning; new Federal support for large-scale urban development and new communities.

• State urbanization policies should complement the National policy and create State land development agencies to acquire, hold, site-develop and sell land in accord with urbanization policies.

• Federal and State government should provide equitable relocation assistance.

• States should bring order out of chaos in building codes through model codes, licensing and training of building inspectors and State performance of these functions in the absence of qualified local personnel.

• States should actively oversee local zoning, to guard against misuse that deepens fiscal and social disparities within metropolitan areas.
If War Comes...

WHAT BUSINESS CAN EXPECT
Martial Law—Rationing—Price, Material Controls

Businessmen who worry about "stand-by control" for the next war are in for a shock.

"Control" isn't the word for what is now planned.

If bombs drop on U.S. cities, a dictatorship will take over.

Martial law, nation-wide, will be invoked. Government will give orders force people and businesses to obey. Nothing like it ever has been seen in U.S.

A military dictatorship, swift and complete, will take hold at the very moment of any big atomic attack on American cities.

This is the word from top official sources in Washington following "Operation Alert," the civil-defense exercise just ended.

The old idea of "standby controls," which has been worrying some businessmen, no longer has any place in official planning.

Instead, the President will simply take control—of businesses, banks, goods, prices, wages, just about everything—and worry about legal authority later.

Martial law will be clamped on the entire country. This will be the President's first official act after bombs start falling.

With that act, the President will assume almost unlimited war powers. Dictatorship from Washington, or from the President's nearby hideaway, will be backed by military forces.

At once, the nation will go on a war footing.

Rules already written. Businesses will be blanketed by regulations, already written and ready for issue. Raw materials will be rationed. Tools, trucks, supplies will be subject to seizure.

Banks will be given orders by Government. Money will be rationed. Depositors, if necessary, will be limited in how much they can draw out of the bank.

A moratorium, very probably will be ordered on debts, contracts, legal responsibilities of various sorts. This order will remain in effect until more normal conditions are restored.

Workers will be ordered not to strike, or change jobs without permission. Prices and wages will be frozen. Rents will be controlled.

Goods will be rationed. Censorship will be imposed at once. Credit will be controlled.

Trains, trucking lines, airlines and ships will be permitted to carry only priority passengers or freight.

Factories will be told what to produce. Industry will be ordered to expand some facilities, abandon others. Electric power will be shifted from non-essential uses.

Farmers, under martial law, may see their livestock, land and crops commandeered for military use.

Doctors and hospitals might have to give up medical supplies, and take orders from Government.

In bomb-out areas, martial law will bring strict discipline, enforced by gunpoint by whatever armed forces are available. The Federal Civil Defense Act will come into full effect, empowering authorities to requisition property, including private homes and automobiles. In these areas, people will be subject to draft for whatever labor is needed.

Suspension of rights. Individual rights and privileges will disappear for the time being. Habeas corpus, which protects a citizen against imprisonment without due process of law, will be suspended. So will freedom of speech. Spreading rumors might get a person into trouble.

Outside of disaster areas, the plan is to apply martial law more subtly. Civilian agencies, rather than military authorities, will carry out the usual controls, including rationing, restrictions on travel, draft of manpower and anti-hoarding orders.

Under national martial law, as the thinking goes, there will be no time for haggling over federal authority, or for people or businesses to balk at what they are told.

Once the dust begins to clear, Congress will be asked to ratify the emergency measures ordered by the President.

No President of the past has the sweeping authority now planned under an atomic emergency. Abraham Lincoln imposed martial law during the Civil War, but only on certain sections of the country.

Says one defense official: "Lincoln was a pioneer in use of the Presidential extraordinary powers. But the President who has to cope with atomic offensive will make Lincoln look like a piker."
THE IMPACT ON SOCIETY

(A 1953 book by Bertrand Russell -- a God-like hero of the socialists in which he discusses how things might be done in the future.)

These excerpts are taken from Bertrand Russell's book as reported by the Woman Constitutionalist (Newsletter) - Sons and Daughters of the Republic

"It is to be expected that advances in psychology will give governments much more control over individual mentality than they now have . . . education should aim at destroying free will, so that, after pupils have left school they shall be incapable, throughout the rest of their lives, of thinking or acting otherwise than as their schoolmaster would have wished. Diet, injections and injunctions will combine from a very early age to produce the sort of character and the sort of beliefs that the authorities consider desirable, and any serious criticism of the powers that be, will become psychologically impossible. Even if all are miserable, all will believe themselves happy, because the government will tell them that they are so."

"The System, one may surmise will be something like this: except possible the governing aristocracy, all but 5 percent of males and 30 percent of females will be sterilized. The thirty percent of females will be expected to spend the years from eighteen to forty in reproduction. As a rule, artificial insemination will be preferred to the natural method. The unsterilized, if they desire the pleasures of love, will usually have to seek them with sterilized partners.

"Children will be taken from their mothers and reared by professional nurses. To those accustomed to this system, the family as we know it would seem as queer as the totem organization of the Australian aborigines seems to us. The laboring class will have such long hours of work and so little to eat that their desires will hardly extend beyond sleep and food. The upper class, being deprived of the softer pleasures, both by the abolition of the family and by the supreme duty of devotion to the State will acquire the mentality of ascetics: they will care only for power and in the pursuit of it will not shrink from cruelty.

"Gradually, by selective breeding the congenital differences between the rulers and the ruled will increase until they become almost different species. A revolt of the plebs would become as unthinkable as an organized insurrection of sheep against the practice of eating mutton."
The Great Conspiracy to
Destroy the United States

SPEECH
of
HON. USHER L. BURDICK
OF NORTH DAKOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Wednesday, April 28, 1954

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. BURDICK) is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. BURDICK. Mr. Speaker, there can be no doubt that there now exists a widespread understanding and agreement made between the agents of this Government and the United Nations and North Atlantic Treaty Organization to build a world government, and to make the United States a part of it, regardless of our Constitution, laws, and traditions. This is to be done in the name of peace, but will result in the total destruction of our liberty. The agents representing the United States may not be deliberately trying to do this treasonable work, but the best that can be said for them is that they are dupes. Some mighty important people who are United States citizens are not only going along with this scheme, but are daily and hourly contributing all their efforts in that direction.

What proof do we have to back up this general statement? The purpose of this speech is to lay this proof before the American people.

First of all, the people of the United States were so completely sick of war after World War II that these schemers found a fertile field to exploit. They appealed to churches, schools, and every other organization they could reach, on the basis that the way to secure peace in the world was to organize a United Nations group, and that through the machinery which they proposed to set up wars could be stopped before they started. It seemed like a plausible idea, and not knowing the sinister purpose behind the move, millions of people supported the suggestion.

The first move was made at San Francisco, where many nations met, drew up a charter, and submitted that charter to the Senate of the United States for approval as a treaty.
This document had none of the earmarks of a treaty, because the Supreme Court of the United States has held in many cases that a treaty is an agreement made between nations, to do or not to do particular things. In the case of the Charter of the United Nations, it was not an agreement between nations. It was an agreement made by the agents of several governments, and there is no contention from any quarter that the United Nations at that time was a nation with which we could make a treaty agreement. The dark forces behind this move knew that the United Nations was not a nation with which we could make a treaty, but intended to make it an integral power at the first opportunity.

How these forces for evil planned to make the United Nations a nation is clear now, since they propose at this time to build a world government by simply amending the Charter of the United Nations.

Who were the principal movers at San Francisco for this United Nations Charter? Who wrote the charter, and who had the most to do about shaping its provisions? The answer is that the Russian Communists and Alger Hiss, a representative of our State Department, were the prime movers and schemers in arranging its provisions. That is the same Alger Hiss who was convicted for perjury when he denied sending secret material to the Soviet Union representatives. Its very beginning gave this document a bad odor.

The universal approval of a plan to preserve world peace had not worn off and the facts were yet unknown when the Senate was called upon to approve the United Nations Charter. The sentiment for peace was so strong that only two Senators refused to approve the charter. If the question were to come up now, a great majority would say “No.”

If the real purpose of this charter was to outline a method to secure and preserve world peace, why was it necessary in that charter to make an assault upon the Constitution of the United States? Are we not already a peace-loving nation, without having to rely upon the Soviets and Hiss?

Here you see again that world peace was not the object of this scheme at all. The real purpose was to build a world government, controlled by the Communists and their dupes in the United States.

As soon as this charter was approved the courts of the United States began to hear about it. In the Fujii case in California, the Charter of the United Nations was substituted for the laws of the State of California, and that remained so for several months, until a higher court overruled the court that made this finding. It was a precarious situation, depending upon the whim of a court.

Again, in the Steel Seizure case, where the Supreme Court was searching our Constitution for some provision that would uphold the President in his action, the same Charter of the United Nations once more appeared. Failing to find any authority in the Constitution to fortify the President’s position, the Chief Justice resorted to one of the most unheard-of things in American history. He produced the Charter of the United Nations as the authority for the seizure and cited its provisions in an effort to support the President’s act. Fortunately for the people of the United States, the majority of the Court would not permit this communistic charter to supplant the Constitution of the United States. It was, however, a close call, and abundantly proved the need of the Bricker amendment. No one can ever tell what the next decision might be, although throughout our history God seems always to be on our side; and no matter what the political complexion of the Supreme Court may be, the decisions have upheld the Constitution.

The next assault on the Constitution is found in the Covenant of Human Rights, which has not as yet been presented to the Senate for ratification. The United Nations has amended its first draft several
times, and because of the rising tide of objection to what it is doing and planning to do, the latest draft has not come before the Senate.

The subtle and fraudulent work of the United Nations in trying to prepare the people of the United States for the approval of this un-American document ought in itself to condemn its further consideration by the people and their leaders.

To prove to you that its procedure was fraudulent and totally dishonest, I wish to clearly state that the United Nations put out a Declaration of Human Rights, which, upon its face was not objectionable. This declaration was propagandized by the spreading of millions of copies among church people, in the common schools, and in the higher institutions of learning. Every civic organization was also the object of this avalanche of propaganda.

There was a cunningly designed purpose in this. It was necessary to prepare the people for the advent of the Covenant of Human Rights. When the propagandists thought the ground work had been sufficiently laid, the real human rights document appeared. It was and still is called the Covenant of Human Rights, but it is entirely different from the propagandized Declaration of Human Rights. Here in this Covenant of Human Rights the United Nations, among other things, undertakes to do three important things, all of which threaten the Constitution of the United States. It has rewritten what is meant by free speech, a free press, and free religion. The Constitution is not in doubt in defining these three fundamental attributes of a free government. Here is what it says:

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances,

If the provisions of the document called the Covenant of Human Rights are adopted by the Senate please ask yourselves what has become of these precious constitutional rights. Here is what the covenant says about them:

Article 15. Section 3: Freedom to manifest one’s religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, or morals, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.

Article 16. Section 2: Everyone shall have the right to freedom of expression; this right shall include freedom to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds, regardless of frontiers, either orally, in writing or in print. In the form of art or through any other media of his choice. Section 3: The exercise of the rights provided for in the foregoing paragraph carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall be such only as are provided by law and are necessary (1) for respect of the rights or reputations of others, (2) for the protection of national security or of public order, or of public health or morals.

When we go so far as to hedge in, restrain and circumvent free speech, then there is no free speech. There will be no free press. There will be no free religion. Does anyone who is acquainted with these facts want to say that the United Nations is not trying to rewrite our Constitution, with the aid and support of Communists and revolutionists? Just why is it necessary to emasculate our Constitution if the only object of the United Nations is world peace? Is not our Constitution and the desire of all the people of this country in favor of peace?

It is necessary to change our Constitution in order to carry out the design and conspiracy to build a world government. Is it not perfectly clear to you now that this was the real purpose of the framers of
the United Nations from its very beginning? It ought to be obvious to any fairminded person that it is the deliberate scheme of the United Nations to destroy the Constitution of the United States, and should need no further proof.

But that is not all, as the following steps will disclose. The United Nations has produced another convention, which in time they will ask the Senate to approve. I refer to the Genocide Convention. This is an appealing subject and it has caught in its net a great many good American citizens. As defined by the dictionary, genocide is "the use or a user of deliberate, systematic measures toward the extermination of a racial, political, or cultural group."

The wholesale destruction of a race or group of people for no reason at all except that they are a race or group, is against all principles of humanity, and in this country is a violation of moral and civic law. Is there anything in the Constitution of the United States, or even in the laws of any State of this great Union, that approves such crime? Why is it necessary to change and amend, abrogate and repeal, our own Constitution in order that we shall be authorized to rise up against such a moral and legal crime? The answer is that there is no possible reason for this action—if the purpose of the covenant is to prevent genocide.

This Convention undertakes to further amend the Constitution of the United States and deny the rights of our citizens under the Bill of Rights in another respect. The sixth amendment to the Constitution provides:

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

The Genocide Convention provides that a citizen of the United States, who has, in the opinion of the United Nations, libeled or injured the feelings of a race, a group, or any member of a group, shall be subject to trial for violating the covenant. Will the accused be tried here in the United States, where the crime was alleged to have been committed? No. He will be tried wherever the United Nations may decide. Will he be tried under the Constitution and laws of this country, with the safeguards provided by the sixth amendment? No. He will be tried under such laws as the United Nations World Court shall prescribe. Why was it considered necessary to take away from the citizens of this country the protection our Constitution gives them? Are our people engaged, or were they ever engaged in race annihilation?

The real, hidden, and treasonable purpose of this provision was and is to tear down our Constitution and make all citizens, who are entitled to the enjoyment of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, subject to the provisions of a world court, which is already being set up to function in this supergovernment—a world government.

Do we need further proof that the real and only purpose of the builders of the United Nations was to fashion a world government and to make our citizens subject to that world government, and to strip from them the protection guaranteed them under the Constitution of the United States?

If this is not treason, then I do not understand the provision of the Constitution defining it. Section 3 of Article III of the Constitution says:
Treason against the United States shall consist only in levying war against them, or in adhering to their enemies, giving them aid and comfort.

For fear that there may be some in the United States who are not yet convinced by what I have said so far, I will not rest this case there, but will present further evidence.

The United Nations set up an organization known as UNESCO—United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization—for the purpose of spreading universal learning, which the promoters contended would bring the people of the world more quickly to a mutual understanding than anything else would.

There was no objection to this proposal—at least on the face of it. But it turned out to be the most dangerous, the most dastardly undertaking of all that the United Nations had theretofore contrived. Its purpose was not what its promoters said it was. It was a deliberate plan to create public opinion for the coming world government. The malicious and cowardly element of the enterprise was that it was directed to the schoolchildren of the Nation, where minds are young and impressionable, and it is patterned exactly after the Soviet teaching of the youth of the country.

These schemers knew that the United States has a strong national spirit; they knew that the average American loves his country; they knew he would defend its institutions, which had brought freedom in a new land. The plotters determined that this spirit must be destroyed, or at least minimized. So UNESCO went to work.

The first step was to train teachers at Columbia University, at the expense of the United Nations—principally at the expense of the taxpayers of this country—to teach our children ways by which they could become world citizens, and that a strong national spirit interferes with this world venture. The birthdays of our great leaders, like Washington, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, and Lincoln were not to be celebrated in honor of these leaders, but the day of celebration should be devoted to propagandizing these children on the benefits of this future world government. They made it exceedingly plain that love for the United States and its institutions prevented our participation in such a world government.

Printed matter, radio and television were used night and day to carry on the cultivation propaganda, and to root out the love of country from these United States. This program is still being carried on, and the worst part of it is that the people who will eventually be stripped of the protection of our Constitution will pay the price of its destruction in taxes. It should now be proven overwhelmingly that the United Nations was organized to destroy the Constitution of the United States. This is all done in the name of world peace—but who wants to substitute world peace for the liberty and freedom we have? Who wants to surrender the sovereignty of this great republic to an organization which has been assiduously at work from its very beginning to abolish our Constitution?

Two very Important sessions of the world government advocates have been held in London, and in the proceedings it is made plain that the machinery for world government is already set up in the Charter of the United Nations, and all that is necessary is to make a few amendments to that charter. Many advocates of the United Nations have now come out openly for this world government. Some very influential men in public life say that we can afford to give up some of our sovereignty to obtain world peace. The propaganda for a world government has flourished in many quarters. I am here to tell you that we cannot afford to give up any of our national sovereignty for any cause.
We have the only government on earth where the people themselves rule. The government here exists for the people, and the people do not exist for the government. For over 160 years we have gone on our way with our own concept of government, and we know what freedom means. Are we fools enough to abandon our course and listen to the siren songs of those whose design it is to destroy this great Government, and fit it into a new world government with a heterogeneous collection of nations whose ideas of the purpose of government conflict with our own? Instead of destroying our national spirit, it should be increased. If other nations want to follow our example, let them do it; but to let any foreign combination direct the affairs of this Government would be intolerable and will never be permitted. It could not be done by force. And if the American people are alert and prize freedom and liberty as much as I think they do, this false, insidious, and conspiratorial scheme to subdue us will never prevail.

The world government proposes a world congress where members are elected according to the population of the member nations. This means that Soviet Russia and Red China and their enslaved comrades will control that government.

After examining this record, can anyone doubt that the United Nations was purposely set up to do to this country what could not be done by force of arms, but through the blandishments of Communists, fellow travelers, and dupes, get us to surrender our liberty without firing a shot?

There are some questions that should be answered. One of them is, "Why does this Government permit the recognition of Soviet Russia, when it is known by all, including all the administration leaders, that from the Russian Embassy here in Washington there is a constant flow to all parts of the country of propaganda that is inimical to the United States?" The next question is, "Why do we remain in the United Nations when we can plainly see that the whole scheme is directed to our destruction?" If the administration officials hide their heads in the sand for security, I am sure that the people will not.

I have faith in the American people, when they are armed with the facts.

I have faith in the Divine Ruler of this universe, who has sustained us in the past; and I have an enduring faith that He will not desert us in our efforts to maintain a government of freedom and liberty here on these shores where it began.
The above chart was drafted by pioneer reporter Josephine Hindman.

RE: METRO CHART...With closer scrutiny one can see that all facets of city, county and state governments are locked into this program. To function they need the federal revenue sharing funds, and the federal grants. Please review carefully the 23 divisions or groups itemized on the left side of the METRO CHART. The operation and implementation of their objectives converts our local self-determinations into federal socialist programs.
WHY THE CHECK AND BALANCE SYSTEM HAS FAILED US!

The Public Administration Clearing House (P.A.C.H.) at 1313 E. 6th Street in Chicago was organized under the direction of a socialist named Charles E. Merriam who was funded by the Rockefeller family. The purpose of the P.A.C.H. has always been to bring all public officials under one system of control as is evidenced by the Hindman Chart (reverse side). The Rockefeller family provided 8 million dollars in the thirties to establish this clearing house so that they could control the indoctrination of state-wide public officials, and persuade them as to the direction they ought to pursue, all the while, rendering themselves submissive to the consolidated power building up in Washington, D.C.

Merriam authored a book which was published in 1941 entitled: On The Agenda Of Democracy. In his book, Merriam defines democracy. It is what is also known as communism! He said that revolution was “the old way....the new way is education, persuasion, participation, and cooperation”. He taught how to achieve communism:

"Fortunately, our Constitution is broad enough in its terms, flexible enough in its spirit, and capable of liberal enough interpretation by the judiciary to permit the adaptation of democracy to changing conditions without serious difficulty."

"Legislative bodies are incompetent, it may be said, or corrupt, or dilatory, or unrepresentative of the general interest of the community."

"The elective process is not favorable to the choice of the leaders of the community."...Chas E. Merriam

The Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations (A.C.I.R.) was grafted onto the federal government in 1959. Its duty was to draft legislation to be handed to public officials all over the nation. These were called "slip bills". Public officials were thus expected to get whatever was handed to them -- passed into law! This made the public officials look like great thinkers to the folks back home! A.C.I.R. thus became the nation's law-making factory. In this manner unified laws were passed that took over. Gradual consolidation of all power and control was then achieved by public officials in Wash., D.C.

The governors also became members of P.A.C.H. and A.C.I.R. Their indoctrination and co-ordination for a "new world order" comes by their attendance at the annual "Governor's Conferences". Elections have been engineered so that those who will co-operate (with the desired power and other structural changes being sought to alter American Constitutional government) get heavily financed and then moved into key positions of elected office.

During the seventies, all local control was moved to the federal level by persuading local and state officials to accept federal revenue sharing funds and to adopt "general plans" for cities and communities. These must comply with the federal mandates set down for socialism and centralized control. Having achieved the objective of acquiring all control over every aspect of American government, including people, land, armed forces, etc., the federal government has devised ways by which it now transfers (what it has formerly consolidated unto itself) to the United Nations through purported "treaties" to empower the United Nations to have complete control over the "New World Order" government. Included in the plan is gradual abolition of states, cities, counties and land ownership. The nation's governors quietly co-operate in the planned arrangement for the dissolution of the very states they were elected to "preserve, protect, and defend." State officials were supposed to keep the federal system in check! They have actually joined in the subterfuge!