WHY CAN'T WE FIND THE SHERIFF LISTED IN THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION?

Sheriff's Oath **State Constitution** The Constitution of the State of California "I,...., do solemnly that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California ... that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the land," State of California"....

California State Constitution

ArticleXX Section 3

The Constitution The Constitution of the State of California of the United States of America "The State of California is an inseparable part of ".....the members of the the United States of several state legislatures America, and the United shall be bound by oath States Constitution is or affirmation to support the supreme law of the this Constitution..." California State Constitution United States Constitution ArticleIII Section 1 Article VI General Provisions (3)

Federal Constitution

You should not expect to find a reference per se to the sheriff listed in the federal Constitution! He is not named there, because he is a <u>state</u> officer; however, you will find the sheriff listed as a part of the Constitution for your <u>state</u>. The sheriff is the chief law enforcement officer of a subdivision of the <u>state</u>: the county. He is answerable only to the people within the county that has elected him.

Carried over from the colonial period in America, the position of sheriff was retained by the 13 Original States in order to safeguard the rights of the people on the *local level*. He was called the *High Sheriff*, a title by which he is still known today. Because his true purpose is to represent the people on the local level of state government, the office of sheriff is a vital part of the check and balance system. The duty of the sheriff is to protect the law, the rights and liberties of the people living within his county.

The sheriff is bound by the oath he takes on the <u>state</u> level to uphold the Constitution of his <u>state</u>. The Constitution of his <u>state</u> contains a provision that proclaims the federal Constitution as the "Supreme law of the Land". Therefore, the sheriff swears allegiance to the <u>federal</u> and the <u>state</u> Constitutions, <u>both at the same time by virtue of the contents of the state oath</u>. (Refer to example above using California as a model.)

The Bill of Rights, which was added by the states to the preliminary federal Constitution, sets down an unrepealable law that declares, among other things, that it is necessary for the people of the states to be armed in order to provide for "the security of a free State". That provision, drafted by the States themselves before the federal Constitution was considered acceptable, was required in the Bill of Rights by the states, in order to protect themselves as "states". The gun control laws being written today by federal and state legislatures are in violation of the supreme law of the land. It is the reverse of reality for the state to pass laws that infringe upon the Second Amendment, a condition, which if applied, threatens the security of a free State!

The sheriff is obligated by his oath to the state Constitution to protect the law and the security of a free state. The sheriff is also obligated by his oath to the federal Constitution, and is held responsible to the people of his county to see that their right to arms, drafted into the Second Amendment of the Bill of Rights remains the supreme law of the land! He has no option! He has an obligation to the people of his county to enforce this law, and to protect them from infringements against their right to arms, so necessary to the security of a free state. He is expected to keep his oath to the people who have elected him! The people have a right to depend upon the sheriff as their chief law enforcement officer! If he fails in these sworn obligations, the sheriff becomes an accomplice to the crimes of tyrannical totalitarians who have written laws calling for the States first to be disarmed; secondly, to be abolished and replaced by international 'new world order' regions.